

RRSSC Goals

The Committee is to select amongst options for the sewerage scheme the one that is overall the Best Practicable Option (BPO), and which:

1. Contributes best to improving the water quality in Lakes Rotoiti, Rotoehu and Rotoma by reducing such nutrient and contaminant flows from homes and properties as enter into the lakes.
2. Best meets the cultural needs of tangata whenua.
3. Achieves community environmental outcomes.
4. Best safeguards public health.
5. Complies with regulatory requirements – national and regional.
6. Retains the MoH subsidy if that is appropriate.
7. Is the most cost effective option for local rate payers as well as RDC
8. Has community support.
9. RDC Scheme options are to use proven, reliable, robust and resilient technology consistent with RDC's wastewater infrastructure requirements

Suggested by TAG

RRSSC Goals & TAG Evaluation

Goal	TAG Evaluation Criteria
Contributes best to improving the water quality in Lakes Rotoiti, Rotoehu and Rotoma by reducing such nutrient and contaminant flows from homes and properties as enter into the lakes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meets Lakes Action Plan nutrient reduction targets from sewage perspective. • Reduces other sewage contaminants. • Discharge/disposes treated sewage into appropriate catchments.
Best meets the cultural needs of tangata whenua	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kēpa to determine, based on project issues
Achieves community environmental outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well located sewerage infrastructure. • Odour potential and management. • Recreational/food gathering environment. • Maintains / enhances amenity value
Best safeguards public health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protects and enhances Public Health for residents and recreation activities • Gains Medical Officer of Health/Area Health Board approval. • Provision for urban and recreational growth
Complies with regulatory requirements – national and regional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alignment with key legislation and planning instruments. • Consentability • Provision for avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of the activity on the environment, as defined in the RMA

RRSSC Goals & TAG Evaluation

Goal	TAG Evaluation Criteria
Retains the MoH subsidy if that is appropriate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RDC scheme that will retain MoH subsidy
Is the most cost effective option for local rate payers as well as RDC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordability to property owners. If RDC scheme, most cost-effective for householders and businesses. (LGA purpose) If RDC scheme, equity and consistency of these and other sewerage/wastewater schemes.
Has community support.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overall socially acceptable. Perception of sewerage scheme type. Householder acceptance of siting and operation of onsite facilities. Acceptance of location of sewage facilities.
<p>RDC Scheme options are to use proven, reliable, robust and resilient technology consistent with RDC's wastewater infrastructure requirements</p> <p>TAG suggested addition</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proven and effective. Future flexibility, redundancy. Ease of operation and whole system management Acceptable risk and risk management profile. Meets RDC's wastewater policies and procedures or requires changes to these. Engineering resilience.