

Jenny Clarke

From: Clive Howard-Williams <Clive.Howard-Williams@niwa.co.nz>
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2012 1:18 p.m.
To: Andy Bruere; (lochmoigh@xtra.co.nz); (silvester@hnpl.net); Alison Lowe (alison.lowe@rdc.govt.nz); Anna Grayling; Chris C Palliser; David Hamilton (davidh@waikato.ac.nz); Dell Raerino (dell@tearawa.iwi.nz); Deniz Ozkundakci (denizo@waikato.ac.nz); Hera Smith; Janine Barber; Kit Rutherford; Mark Buckley; Max Gibbs; Paul Scholes; Paul White; Peter Dine (Peter.dine@RDC.govt.nz); Piet Verburg; Rob Donald; Roku Mihinui (Roku@Tearawa.iwi.nz)
Cc: Warwick Murray; John Paterson
Subject: RE: TAG notes
Attachments: Okaro wetland report v3_5x.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Andy and the TAG

As per the Action Points in the minutes of the last meeting I attach the last the NIWA report on the Lake Okaro Wetland.

I also asked Dr Chris tanner to send me a summary table of the key results of the report for distribution to the TAG. Chris' letter to me with the table(s) is copied below. The measurements of the attenuation of nutrients in this wetland are made very complex by the highly variable flow regime. This has provided quite a challenge. If we need Chris to attend the next TAG meeting to explain in more detail the Lake Okaro Wetland, and other constructed wetlands that he has been involved in just let me know. I am sure he would be happy to come.

Regards (Andy - please add my name to the meeting minutes attendees list)

Clive [letter from Chris Tanner follows]

Dr C. Howard-Williams
Chief Scientist Freshwater and Coasts
National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (Ltd.)
Box 8602, Christchurch, NZ.
Ph. +64 3 3488987; Mob. 027 4315 037

Hi Clive,

The wetland has consistently removed about 80% of the incoming nitrate-N load, 70-90% of TSS and around 1 log E. coli. Overall TN removal seems to have been more variable, likely due to differences in Org-N input and export. The wetland has generated some ammonium and DRP, but overall annual TP removal has ranged from 12-60%. It appears that performance has dropped off somewhat with maturation, but I think this may be largely due to variations in loading regime. We really need to look more closely at the implications of the results and what's behind them. I have a feeling that there has been a gradual loss of vegetated area in the wetlands –this would be worth assessing.

Cheers Chris

Highlights from Exec Summary shown below:

Period	Water quality variable							
	Total nitrogen				Total phosphorus			
	Mass load to wetland	Mass removed by	Proportion of target retained (%)	Proportion of catchment export load	Mass load to wetland	Mass removed by	Proportion of target retained (%)	Proportion of catchment export load

	(kg)	wetland (kg)	retained (%)	(kg)	wetland (kg)	retained (%)
Target		348	-		16	-
2008	1444	597	41	504	302	60
2009	876	146	17	251	56.8	23
2010	1250	149	12	249	30.5	12

For TN, wetland performance was exceptional in 2008, when more than 170% of the target mass was retained. Performance was more modest in 2009 and 2010, when 42% of the target was retained. The performance of the wetland is in part determined by the influent load – if the inflow load is large, the amount retained as proportion of the inflow appears large.

The wetland has consistently retained more TP than the target value. The performance of the wetland is in part determined by the influent load.

The proportion of target mass and catchment export mass retained within the wetland appears to have decreased over the assessment period. This apparent deterioration in performance is probably related to the smaller load of material exported from the catchment (because of the hydrological characteristics) and the impact of remedial actions undertaken in the upper catchment. The latter have probably reduced catchment exports (reducing the load entering the wetland), while wetland export has remained reasonably constant. The net effect is an apparent deterioration in performance.

The performance of the wetland is summarised in terms of annual attenuation of loads of a range of variables not identified in the Lake Okaro Action Plan below:

Assessment of wetland performance - measured attenuation of key forms of N and P.

Period	Water quality variable									
	Ammoniacal-N		Nitrate-N		Dissolved reactive phosphate		Suspended solids		<i>E. coli</i>	
	Mass (kg)	Prop. (%) ^a	Mass (kg)	Prop. (%) ^a	Mass (kg)	Prop. (%) ^a	Mass (t)	Prop. (%) ^a	(Log red.)	Prop. (%) ^a
2008	-4.7	-8	368.3	77	-12.8	-15	115.1	(87)	>1 log	92
2009	-39.2	-133	225	78	-6.8	-12	111.9	(88)	>1 log	96
2010	-28.9	-70	362.7	80	25.2	30	58.2	(71)	>1 log	89

Note:

^a Prop. (%) is the proportion of inflow load retained by the wetland expressed as a percentage

The wetland is a net source of ammoniacal-N, but this is a relatively insignificant component of the nitrogen balance, and concentrations are generally low. The wetland retains a significant proportion of the inflowing nitrate-N load, following biogeochemical transformation involving denitrification. In two of the three years of assessment, the wetland was a net source of DRP, but in the third year it retained almost a third of the inflowing load.

Similar trends are evident for suspended solids loads as for TN and TP, with 71% to 88% removal rates. Variability in removal rates is largely related to the variability in inflow loads.

Attenuation of *E. coli* loads was reasonably constant (between 1 and 2 log units), and is influenced to some extent by the hydrological conditions.

In addition to reducing the mass of material leaving the wetland, biogeochemical transformations within the wetland considerably reduce the proportion of readily available nitrogen leaving the wetland. Up to about

40% of the nitrogen load entering the wetland is in soluble, bioavailable forms. This proportion is reduced to between 15% and 21% in the wetland outflow.

Evaluating wetland performance should take place over a sufficiently long period of time, allowing extreme conditions and events to be detected and placed in a longer-term context. The wetland is one of a series of restoration tools that have been applied in the Lake Okaro catchment. Determining the overall performance of the wetland requires consideration of the contributions of within catchment attenuation activities as well.

Chris C. Tanner PhD

Principal Scientist: Aquatic Pollution
National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA)



Gate 10, Silverdale Rd
PO Box 11-115, Hamilton 3251
New Zealand
chris.tanner@niwa.co.nz
Ph: +64-7-8561792
Fax +64-7-8560151
Skype: chriswetfeet
www.niwa.co.nz

From: Andy Bruere [mailto:Andy.Bruere@envbop.govt.nz]
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2012 12:32 p.m.
To: (lochmoigh@xtra.co.nz); (silvester@hnpl.net); Alison Lowe (alison.lowe@rdc.govt.nz); Anna Grayling; Chris C Palliser; Clive Howard-Williams; David Hamilton (davidh@waikato.ac.nz); Dell Raerino (dell@tearawa.iwi.nz); Deniz Ozkundakci (denizo@waikato.ac.nz); Hera Smith; Janine Barber; Kit Rutherford; Mark Buckley; Max Gibbs; Paul Scholes; Paul White; Peter Dine (Peter.dine@RDC.govt.nz); Piet Verburg; Rob Donald; Roku Mihinui (Roku@Tearawa.iwi.nz)
Cc: Warwick Murray; John Paterson
Subject: TAG notes

Hi all,

Here are the TAG notes from the Sept meeting.

I suggest we aim for the next meeting in late Nov or early Dec,

Will send doodle request soon,

Cheers,

Andy Bruere | Lake Operations Manager | Bay of Plenty Regional Council | Rotorua, New Zealand | Ph: 0800 884 881 x7497 | Web: www.boprc.govt.nz
Please consider the environment before printing this email

Email disclaimer: This email and any attachments are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not copy, disclose or use the contents in any way. If you receive this message in error, please let us know by return email and then destroy the message. Bay of Plenty Regional Council is not responsible for any changes made to this message and/or any attachments after sending.

This e-mail has been checked for viruses and none were detected.

This email has been filtered by SMX. For more information visit smxemail.com

--
Please consider the environment before printing this email.
NIWA is the trading name of the National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research Ltd.

**This e-mail message has been swept for viruses and none was found.
Content was not checked**
