Evaluating the effects of invasive brown bullhead catfish (Ameiurus nebulosus) on kōura (freshwater crayfish, Paranephrops planifrons) in Lake Rotoiti

> A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (Research)

in Biological Sciences

at

The University of Waikato by Laura Beverley Francis



THE UNIVERSITY OF WAIKATO Te Whare Wananga o Waikato

2019

This thesis is dedicated to Margaret Francis, Tracey Wemyss and Margaret McQuarrie whom passed away peacefully during my studies. Gone but never forgotten.

Abstract

Brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) are opportunistic carnivores native to North America and were first detected in Te Weta Bay, Lake Rotoiti, in March 2016. A cordon was placed across the bay to try and contain catfish, but they had already established populations elsewhere. Spread of catfish in the lake raised concern for resident populations of freshwater crayfish or koura (*Paranephrops planifrons*), which are of ecological and cultural significance to Māori. Given the limited knowledge of the potential impacts of catfish on native biota, this thesis aims to evaluate the effects of catfish on koura in Lake Rotoiti. To do this, Bay of Plenty Regional Council (BOPRC) routine fyke netting data was used to explore species trends and relationships. A habitat survey was also conducted using whakaweku (bracken fern bundles) and fyke nets, to generate data on species' habitat preferences and koura metrics (e.g., sizes and sex ratios). In addition to fishing, koura and catfish stomachs were dissected to determine diet. Potential food items of catfish were also collected for stable isotope analysis to estimate dietary overlap between catfish and koura, and long-term resource acquisition.

Routine BOPRC fyke netting results indicated that kōura catch per unit effort (CPUE) has declined in Lake Rotoiti, with mean catch rates dropping from 10.6 kōura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016 to 4.2 kōura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2018. Over the same period, catfish CPUE has increased in the lake, with the highest catch rates in Te Weta Bay, where mean catch rates have increased from 1.1 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016 to 63.7 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2018. Catfish catch rates were negatively associated with catch rates of kōura (r = -0.180). Mean catfish density also had a significant effect on kōura catch rates, with significantly more kōura being caught at sites without catfish. The negative association between catfish and kōura could be due to catfish eating or competing with kōura, or their differing habitat preferences. Catfish were positively associated with sites that are weedy or muddy and kōura were negatively associated with rocky habitats. Whakaweku set at shallow depths <1 m in the lake littoral zone were ineffective at catching kōura during the habitat survey.

Catfish diet consisted largely of chironomid larvae (Chironomidae), detritus, common bullies (*Gobiomorphus cotidianus*), and to a lesser extent koura. Koura

were found in 12% of large catfish (>200 mm fork length; FL) stomachs analysed and contributed 5% volumetrically to large catfish diet. Unfortunately, the contribution of koura to catfish diet could not be established using stable isotopes because isotopic signatures of koura and bullies were too close to differentiate. Koura diet consisted primarily of animal remains (common bullies and invertebrates) and detritus. Stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen revealed that diets of koura and catfish overlapped, with koura sharing more of their diet with catfish than vice versa, likely reflecting catfish's broad diet. This study suggests that catfish are responsible for the recent decline in koura CPUE in Lake Rotoiti and that catfish are directly and indirectly affecting koura through predation and competition for shared food resources.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank Brendan Hicks my supervisor for taking me on as a student and helping me on my masters' journey. I would also like to thank Kevin Collier for his help with developing my methods and his endless advice and feedback, it's been much appreciated. Warmest thank you to the Ewart family, Geoff, Van and Mandy for letting me stay at their home in Rotorua during my fieldwork on Lake Rotoiti. I had a wonderful time and Geoff is an excellent cook. Ian Kusabs, I wouldn't be doing this project without you. Thank you for sharing your mātauranga Māori on whakaweku construction and tau kōura with me, kia ora. Also, thanks to David Bach on your help with sampling the whakaweku and catching me a trout, you're a legend.

Many thanks to Shane Grayling from the Bay of Plenty Regional Council for initiating the netting programme in Lake Rotoiti and allowing me to use the data. The Te Arawa Lakes Trust and the Komiti Whakahaere for granting me access to fish Lake Rotoiti. Cheers to Fish and Game, especially Matt Osborne for putting out the word that I required trout flesh. Sue Clearwater provided excellent advice on many subjects. Grant Tempero was also very helpful for reading the manuscript (chapter 3) and giving constructive feedback.

I would like to thank past and current students and staff for their help, who without which, my thesis wouldn't have been possible, Manawa Huirama, Kelly Le Quesne, Cheryl Ward, Anjana Rajendram, Judith Hoult, Ian Duggan, David Culliford, Lee Laboyrie, Anita Pearson, Bruce Patty, Dylan Smith, Simon Stewart, Nigel Binks, Matt Allan, Amy Waters, Tofeeq Khan, Chris McBride and Michéle Melchior, you guys are awesome.

Massive shout out to the R block crew Anita Pearson, Mike Davy, Dylan Smith, Kelly Le Quesne, Melissa Collins, Heather Taitibe, Februanty Purnomo, Michéle Melchior and Nicole Hanrahan, you all made the last two years amazing. Also, to my non-varsity mates' thanks for the quality banter and good times, I love you all. I would also like to extend my gratitude to Mike Lake, thank you for giving me a chance to work as a student intern at the Waikato Regional Council and introducing me to the freshwater world. Your passion has rubbed off. Thank you to my funders the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (New Zealand's Biological Heritage National Science Challenge, C09X1501) and the Waikato University for the masters' scholarships I received.

Last and foremost, I want to acknowledge my whānau for the support and encouragement I've received over the last six years of study; and Lou, thank you for putting up with me and helping me through my journey. I know it hasn't always been easy. Aroha nui.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgementsiii	
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	ii
List of Tables x	ĸi
List of Appendicesxii	ii
1 Chapter 1	1
General introduction	1
1.1 Brown bullhead catfish	1
1.1.1 Effects of catfish	3
1.1.2 Biology of the brown bullhead catfish	4
1.2 Freshwater crayfish in New Zealand	7
1.2.1 Loss of koura	8
1.2.2 The effects of catfish on koura	9
1.2.3 Biology of kōura10	0
1.3 Study objectives	3
1.4 Thesis overview	4
1.5 References	5
2 Chapter 2	2
Study area	2
2.1 Lake Rotoiti	2
2.1.1 Lake water quality22	3
2.1.2 Fish	5
2.1.3 Macrophytes	5
2.2 Study sites	6
2.2.1 Te Weta Bay	7
2.2.2 Southern Shoreline	8
2.2.3 Okere Inlet	9
2.2.4 Otaramarae	0
2.2.5 Okawa Bay	1

2.2.6	Te Arero Bay 32
2.3 I	References
3 Cha	apter 3
Relationsh abu	ip between invasive brown bullhead catfish and native fish and koura indance in Lake Rotoiti ¹
3.1	Abstract
3.2 I	ntroduction
3.3	Aims and objectives
3.4	Methods
3.4.1	Study area
3.4.2	Routine catfish monitoring
3.4.3	Habitat survey using whakaweku and fyke nets
3.4.4	Habitat characteristics
3.4.5	Water characteristics
3.4.6	Tank trial to assess the effectiveness of whakaweku in mediating $k\bar{o}ura$
preda	tion by catfish
3.4.7	Data analysis
3.5 I	Results
3.5.1	Routine catfish monitoring
3.5.2	Habitat survey using whakaweku and fyke nets
3.5.3	Koura population metrics
3.5.4	Effectiveness of whakaweku at reducing koura predation
3.6 I	Discussion
3.6.1	Effects of mesh size and choked entrances on species catch rates 64
3.6.2	Koura and catfish catch rates
3.6.3	Relationship between catfish, fish and koura catch rates
3.6.4	Comparison of koura size between sites
3.6.5	Evaluation of whakaweku and baited fine-meshed fyke nets as koura
captu	re techniques in lake littoral zones
3.6.6	Effectiveness of whakaweku at remediating koura predation
3.7 0	Conclusion 70
3.8 I	References
4 Ch	apter 4

Catfish co re	onsumption of koura in Lake Rotoiti and competition for shared food sources ²
4.1	Abstract
4.2	Introduction
4.3	Aims and objectives
4.4	Methods
4.4.1	Study area 81
4.4.2	2 Field sample collection
4.4.3	Catfish and koura stomach contents analysis
4.4.4	Isotope analysis
4.4.5	Gape-length relationship in catfish
4.4.6	5 Statistical analyses
4.5	Results
4.5.1	Catfish stomach contents analysis
4.5.2	2 Kōura stomach contents analysis
4.5.3	S Stable isotopes
4.5.4	Dietary overlap
4.5.5	Gape-length relationship for fresh and frozen catfish
4.6	Discussion 104
4.6.1	Catfish stomach contents analysis104
4.6.2	2 Koura stomach contents analysis 106
4.6.3	3 Stable isotopes
4.6.4	Gape-length relationship in catfish 111
4.7	Conclusion
4.8	References
5 CI	hapter 5 120
General d	liscussion 120
5.1	Catfish spread and effects 121
5.2	Catfish and koura diet and dietary overlap 122
5.3	Management implications and future work 123
5.4	References 125
Appendic	es

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Distribution of the brown bullhead catfish in New Zealand, with the recent discovery catfish in the Bay of Plenty Region. Data points for catfish were obtained from the NZFFDB
Figure 1-2. Catfish cordon installed in Te Weta Bay's entrance in April 2017 (photo: A. Pearson, University of Waikato.)
Figure 1-3. Large brown bullhead catfish caught in Lake Rotoiti (Photo: M. Dixon, NZ Fishing News)
Figure 1-4. Distribution of koura in New Zealand, with <i>Paranephrops</i> <i>planifrons</i> in the North Island and upper South Island and <i>P.</i> <i>zealandicus</i> in the South Island. Data points for <i>Paranephrops</i> spp. were obtained from the NZFFDB and the black line shows the approximate separation between both species
Figure 1-5. Large female northern koura (P. planifrons) caught in Lake Rotoiti. 12
Figure 2-1. Location of the Te Arawa lakes including Lake Rotoiti in the Bay of Plenty region, North Island, New Zealand
Figure 2-2. Bathymetry of Lake Rotoiti. Source data Waikato Coastal Marine Group. Data is interpolated to a 5 m grid. Map supplied by M. Allan, University of Waikato
Figure 2-3. Aerial view of the Ohau Channel diversion wall that diverts water from Lake Rotorua towards the Kaituna River outflow (Hamilton et al. 2018)
Figure 2-4. Locations of the six study sites at the western end of Lake Rotoiti 27
Figure 2-5. Photo images of Te Weta Bay, A. typical emergent vegetation in Te Weta Bay; B. macrophyte beds in Te Weta Bay (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato)
 Figure 2-6. Photo images of the Southern Shoreline site, A. close up of vegetation; B. vegetation of the Southern Shoreline consists of predominantly radiata pine and mānuka scrub (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato)
Figure 2-7. Photo images of Okere Inlet. A. close up of vegetation; B. Okere Inlet entrance (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato)
Figure 2-8. Photo images of Otaramarae. A. close up of emergent vegetation where catfish have been caught; B. entrance to Otaramarae looking

towards the main body of the lake; C. Otaramarae boat ramp (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato)
Figure 2-9. Photo images of Okawa Bay. A. close up of vegetation; B. looking south at Okawa Bay (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato) 32
Figure 2-10. Photo images of Te Arero Bay. A. western shoreline of Te Arero Bay; B. close up of typical mudstone cliffs, with lake side vegetation (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato)
Figure 3-1. Location of the six main study sites and additional sites encompassing the whole of Lake Rotoiti
Figure 3-2. Plastic mesh choke placed at the entrance of the net to reduce by- catch of dabchicks in fyke nets
Figure 3-3. Location and number of fine-meshed fyke nets (<i>n</i> net nights = 292) set at the six study sites between 28 August and 12 September 2018 used for the habitat survey
Figure 3-4. Photos of conditioned whakaweku (fern bundle). A. deployed whakaweku in the shallows (Photo: D. Bach, Te Arawa Lakes Trust); B. whakaweku on a kōrapa (landing net); C. whakaweku sitting on the bank with a Gobi block and a float attached
Figure 3-5. Location of whakaweku set in A. Te Weta Bay $(n = 10)$ and B. Otaramarae $(n = 10)$ in Lake Rotoiti
Figure 3-6. Measuring koura orbital-carapace length (OCL) using digital callipers (Photo: A. Pearson, University of Waikato)
Figure 3-7. Images of the tank setup during experiment one. A. tank setup showing the cobble only treatment; B. tank setup showing the cobble + whakaweku treatment
Figure 3-8. Catfish catch rates (number net ⁻¹ night ⁻¹) for all net types set in Lake Rotoiti between 2016-18 during routine monitoring for catfish. 53
Figure 3-9. Length frequencies of catfish (<i>n</i> catfish = $32,738$) caught in Te Weta Bay between March 2016 and September 2018 by fyke netting (<i>n</i> net nights = $5,812$). Relative frequency scales are normalised the modal length to 1
Figure 3-10. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of A. koura ($n = 976$) and B. catfish ($n = 1375$), caught in Lake Rotoiti by fyke netting over five different substrates between 28 August and 12 September. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals
Figure 3-11. Length frequency of koura caught in A. fine-meshed fyke nets set between August 28 and September 12, 2018 and B. whakaweku (fern bundles) set in Te Weta Bay and Te Karaka Bay, Lake Rotoiti between May and October 2018
Figure 3-12. A kraising its chelae in response to catfish

Figure 4-1	L Location of Lake Rotoiti in the Bay of Plenty region and the six study sites
Figure 4-2	2. Gape tool used to measure catfish gape height and gape width in fresh and frozen catfish
Figure 4-3	B. Mean stable isotope ratio plots of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C with 1 SE bars for A. catfish (all size classes), B. kōura, C. bullies and D. smelt at the six study sites in Lake Rotoiti. Note that no catfish we caught in Te Arero Bay
Figure 4-4	4. Stable isotope plot of organisms collected from six sites in Lake Rotoiti; error bars are 1 SE
Figure 4-5	5. Dual isotope plot for catfish diet in Te Weta Bay, with 1SE bars. Presumed trophic enrichment has been subtracted from catfish values
Figure 4-6	b. Dual isotope plot for koura diet in Te Weta Bay, with 1SE bars. Presumed trophic enrichment has been subtracted from koura values. 99
Figure 4-7	7. Probabilistic niche overlap (probability of species B overlapping with species A) showing 95% niche regions for bullies, catfish, goldfish and kōura. Means and 95% confidence intervals are displayed in green. 101
Figure 4-8	8. Relationships for catfish gape height (A) and gape width (B) against fork length; and the relationship between catfish gape width and gape height (C)
Figure 4-9	9. Relationships of gape height (Y) against fork length (X) for fresh and frozen catfish

Table 2-1	. Aquatic plant species recorded present and their status in Lake Rotoiti in between 1969 and 1985 (Coffey and Clayton 1988)
Table 3-1	. Number of coarse and fine mesh, choked and unchoked nets set during each season (3-monthly periods) from 2016 to 2018 in Lake Rotoiti
Table 3-2	. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) and the total number of net- nights for koura and fish caught in fyke nets set in Lake Rotoiti between March 2016 and April 2018. Total number of observations refers to the number of fyke nets, which we have species catch data for. <i>P</i> values refer to the interaction term of a two-way ANOVA for coarse or fine mesh size and choked or unchoked entrance. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bold
Table 3-3	. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) for koura and fish in fyke nets set in Lake Rotoiti between March 2016 and April 2018 using fine- meshed and coarse-meshed nets, unchoked and choked nets with <i>P</i> values. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bold
Table 3-4	. Mean catfish catch per unit effort (CPUE) for all sites in Lake Rotoiti for all netting years combined, 2016, 2017 and 2018 netting years, using choked and unchoked and fine and coarse meshed fyke nets, and their allocated catfish density class. Number of nets refers to the total number of fine-meshed fyke nets set between 2016 and 2018. 1 SD in brackets. * Only one fine-meshed fyke net was set in the Ohau Channel in 2017
Table 3-5	. Mean koura and fish catch per unit effort (CPUE) and the total number of unchoked and choked fine-meshed fyke nets set in Lake Rotoiti between 2016 and 2018. Two-way ANOVA <i>P</i> for catfish density, year and the interaction between catfish density and year. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bold
Table 3-6	Spearman rank order correlations for species' catch rates in choked and unchoked fine-meshed fyke nets. Significant correlations ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bold
Table 3-7	. Mean seasonal fish and koura catch per unit effort (CPUE) in Lake Rotoiti using all fyke nets types, with the number of observations for each species and associated <i>P</i> values comparing CPUE between seasons. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bold
Table 3-8	. Mean dissolved oxygen concentrations, water temperatures (1 SD in brackets), and median pH values for the six study sites in Lake Rotoiti. Spot measurements were taken between 7 June 2018 and 8 October 2018 at the time of sampling
Table 3-9	. Mean fish and koura catch per unit effort (CPUE) on the different substrate types, using unchoked and choked fine-meshed fyke nets. 1 SD in brackets. Two-way ANOVA <i>P</i> for substrate, choked entry,

	and the interaction between substrate and choked entry are given. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bold
Table 3-10	0. Mean fish and koura catch per unit effort (CPUE) with and without dense macrophyte beds around the entrance to the net, using unchoked and choked fine-meshed fyke nets. 1 SD in brackets. Two-way ANOVA P for the presence of aquatic macrophytes, choked entry and the interaction between the presence of aquatic macrophytes and choked entry are given. Significant values (P <0.05) are marked in bold
Table 3-11	. Whakaweku catch rates (whakaweku ⁻¹) for three sampling occasions in A. Te Weta Bay and B. Otaramarae, showing the number of whakaweku, total number of individuals caught (n), mean catch per unit effort (CPUE), and CPUE ranges. 1 SD in brackets 59
Table 3-12	2. Fine-meshed fyke netting data from the habitat survey sampled between 29 August and 12 September 2018, showing the total number of nets set, the percentage of nets that are choked, number of kōura, mean CPUE, mean OCL, OCL range, percentage of female kōura, percentage of female kōura in berry and the percentage of females with juveniles. 1 SD in brackets. Two-way ANOVA <i>P</i> value for interaction between OCL x site <0.001
Table 3-13	8. Whakaweku kōura catches at Te Weta Bay and Otaramarae on three sampling occasions. 1 SD in brackets
Table 3-14	Mean koura OCLs, OCL ranges, mean number of pincers, mean number of legs, mean weights, and gender ratios at the start and finish of Experiment one. 1SD in brackets
Table 4-1.	Number of small, medium and large catfish stomachs analysed from April 2016 to October 2018. Catfish used in the analysis were collected from Ohau Channel and Delta, Okawa Bay, Okere Inlet, Otaramarae, outside Te Weta Bay, Southern Shoreline and Te Weta Bay
Table 4-2.	Numbers, OCL ranges, weight ranges and gender ratios of koura ($n = 28$) collected from the six study sites in Lake Rotoiti for gut contents and stable analysis. 84
Table 4-3.	The number of small, medium, and large catfish stomachs and the combined percentage of stomachs that are empty, have trace remains, are half full, full, and distended
Table 4-4.	The average percentage occurrence of small, medium and large catfish stomachs containing one or more food items. Food items with combined percentages of <10% were omitted. Catfish were all caught from Lake Rotoiti between April 2016 and October 2018 90
Table 4-5.	The average volumetric percentage contribution of fish, detritus, kōura other invertebrates, chironomids, and unidentified animal matter to small, medium and large catfish diet. One-way ANOVA <i>P</i> values indicate whether there is a significant difference between size

classes. Total combined displaced volumes for each size class and 95% confidence intervals are given
Table 4-6. The number and percentage of koura stomachs analysed ($n = 28$) that are empty, have trace remains, were half full, full or distended 92
Table 4-7. Occurrence of the different food items in koura guts, including the number and percentage of individuals containing each food subgroup, and the average percentage contribution of each subgroup to koura diet
Table 4-8. Mean A. δ^{15} N and B. δ^{13} C values for catfish, bullies, smelt, and catfish, including sample sizes (<i>n</i>) and confidence intervals for the different study sites (<i>n</i> = 6). One-way ANOVA <i>P</i> values indicate whether there is a significant difference between sites
Table 4-9. Mean δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values for bullies, catfish, goldfish, and kōura caught in Te Weta Bay, showing the number of samples, with 1SD. 100
Table 4-10. Probabilistic niche (95%) overlap (the probability of species B overlapping with species A) between bullies, catfish, goldfish and kōura, showing 2.5 and 97.5% quantile probabilities
Table 4-11. Summary statistics for linear regressions (Y = a + bX) for catfish gape height (X) vs fork length (Y), gape width (X) vs fork length (Y) and gape width (X) vs gape height (Y)
Table 4-12. ANCOVA test for equality of regression slopes for gape height (Y)against fork length (X) and condition (fresh or frozen).103
Table 4-13. Summary statistics and regression equations $(Y = a + bX)$ for fresh and frozen catfish gape height (mm) (Y) vs fork length (mm) (X) 103

List of Appendices

Appendix	x 1. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of koura and fish in choked and
	unchoked fine-meshed meshed fyke nets, and the number of fine-
	meshed nets set for 2016-18 years126

Chapter 1

General introduction

1.1 Brown bullhead catfish

The brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) is a member of the Ictaluridae family, which originated from the brackish and freshwaters of North America (McDowall 1990). The native range of brown bullhead is east of the Rocky Mountains in southern Canada to central USA, with populations further establishing in eastern Canada (McDowall 1990). After subsequent introductions beyond their native ranges primarily for use as a game fish and in aquiculture, catfish can now be found on several continents including Europe, Asia, Middle East, South America, and some Pacific Islands (Hawaii and New Zealand) (Global Invasive Species Database 2018).

The brown bullhead is the only species of catfish in New Zealand, which established after 140 individuals were introduced for unknown reasons to Saint John's Lake in Auckland in 1877 (McDowall 1990; Hicks et al. 2010). As fish numbers multiplied, individuals were transported to Wellington and then Hokitika in 1885 to establish further populations (Barnes and Hicks 2003). Successive illegal introductions (both accidental and intentional) and movement through river networks (e.g. Waikato River and its tributaries) have aided their dispersal throughout New Zealand, with populations occurring throughout the North Island including the Waikato River system and Lake Taupo, isolated areas in the South Island (Barnes and Hicks 2003), and more recently lakes Rotoiti (Grayling 2017) and Rotorua (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.) in the Bay of Plenty region (Figure 1-1). Invasion risk modelling has indicated that lakes in the Waikato and Bay of Plenty regions, and isolated locations in the South Island are at the greatest risk (probability >0.60) of catfish invasion. Lakes that are most at risk of invasion are warm watered lakes, particularly lowland lakes (Leathwick et al. 2016).



Figure 1-1. Distribution of the brown bullhead catfish in New Zealand, with the recent discovery catfish in the Bay of Plenty Region. Data points for catfish were obtained from the NZFFDB.

Catfish were confirmed present in Lake Rotoiti in March 2016 when a weed harvesting contactor observed two live catfish in Te Weta Bay and caught one. Two-weeks later, an incursion response began in Lake Rotoiti using fyke nets baited with sardines and mussels to capture catfish (Bay of Plenty Regional Council 2018a). In a one-year period (2016-17), 3,272 catfish were caught, with >98% of the catch being caught in Te Weta Bay (Grayling 2017). In an attempt to contain catfish in Te Weta Bay, a large net was used to cordon off the bay entrance in April 2017 (Figure 1-2). Catfish capture and removal using fyke netting continues at numerous sites around the lake, as well in neighbouring lakes to establish catfish presence. More recently, catfish were confirmed present in Lake Rotorua in December 2018 and were presumed to have entered via the Ohau Channel from

Lake Rotoiti. There is also potential for catfish to get into the Kaituna River and spread throughout its tributaries, but they have not been caught there to date.

Prior to catfish confirmation in Lake Rotoiti, the Bay of Plenty Regional Council (BOPRC) received numerous reports of catfish sightings. These included a live fish being presented to the Department of Conservation in 1993, after several juvenile catfish were observed falling out of a hollow-framed boat trailer in 1998 (Bay of Plenty Regional Council 2018a), a sighting of a presumed abandoned catfish nest on a sandy beach in 2003, and a body of a large 7-year old catfish washed up on the shores of Okawa Bay in January 2009 (Blair and Hicks 2009). Surveillance efforts following catfish sightings repeatedly failed to locate live catfish (Blair and Hicks 2009; Bay of Plenty Regional Council 2018a).



Figure 1-2. Catfish cordon installed in Te Weta Bay's entrance in April 2017 (photo: A. Pearson, University of Waikato.).

1.1.1 Effects of catfish

Globally, brown bullhead catfish have been reported to be having adverse unanticipated effects on native ecosystems (Froese and Pauly 2017) and have been associated with reductions in native biodiversity (Global Invasive Species Database 2018). Catfish have the ability to modify invertebrate communities, reduce water quality, and alter the internal nutrient status through excretion and the resuspension of sediments during feeding (Cline et al. 1994). For Lake Rotoiti, nutrient excretion by catfish has been estimated to contribute 0.6 to 11.7% of the internal phosphorus load and 2.3 to 28.9% to the internal nitrogen load (Hicks and Allan 2018).

Resuspension of bottom sediment increases nitrate and nitrogen concentrations in the water column, which can result in heightened lake productivity (Cline et al. 1994). Turbid waters caused by sediment resuspension can also lead to the collapse of submerged macrophytes in lakes, which can ultimately lead to algal dominance (van Vierssen and Prins 1985).

The flexible, carnivorous diets of catfish suggest there is likely dietary overlap between catfish and some native species, which can result in competition when catfish are at high densities (Barnes 1996). Catfish were found to have dietary overlap with eels in shallow Waikato lakes (Collier et al. 2018), and have been associated with negatively impacting eel populations and trout fisheries when at high densities (Hicks et al. 2010). Another way in which catfish can affect aquatic communities is through the direct consumption of native species (Scott and Crossman 1973; Barnes and Hicks 2003). By consuming native biota, catfish transform the movement of energy to the food chain creating novel food webs (Scott and Crossman 1973). Currently there is a lack of evidence on the environmental impacts caused by catfish (Global Invasive Species Database 2018).

1.1.2 Biology of the brown bullhead catfish

Catfish (Figure 1-3) are distinguished from other New Zealand fish by the presence of eight whisker-like barbels around the mouth along with their dorsal and pectoral fins, which have a strong, sharp spine (McDowall 1990). Catfish are thick-skinned fish with no scales and have a uniquely shaped broad, sloping, and dorso-ventrally flattened head (McDowall 1990). Adult catfish are dark brown to olive green in colour with lightly coloured sides. Smaller fish are typically similar in colour to adults, but can be a lighter green-gold colour (McDowall 1990).

Catfish occupy a vast range of habitats including lakes, rivers, constructed channels, and streams that are typically warm, sluggish, sandy, muddy, or weedy (Scott and Crossman 1973). In lakes, catfish prefer shallow depths spending most of their time in Lake Taupo at depths <10 m, often traveling to depths of between 0 and 17 m (Dedual 2002). They are also extremely tolerant and can survive for extended time periods out of water if their skin is kept moist (Collier and Grainger 2015). In their native range in America, catfish have been found to survive in low oxygen

conditions (>0.2 ppm) at temperatures of 36.1°C, with their critical temperature limits being between 0 and 38°C (Scott and Crossman 1973). They have also been reported to thrive in areas with contaminated sediments containing polycyclic hydrocarbons and heavy metals (Lesko et al. 1996). Catfish fecundity and size were all higher in the contaminated sites than in the control (Lesko et al. 1996). Increased fecundity in females was attributed to reduced competition for food resources and the lack of predators that were largely excluded from the degraded habitats. Catfish consumption by predators, including piscivorous fish, is restricted by their strong pectoral and dorsal spines, which can lock into an upright position upon attack (Scott and Crossman 1973).

Sexual maturity is typically reached in female catfish at ≥ 3 years when they are around 200-230 mm in length (Scott and Crossman 1973). Studies have reported female catfish having between 2,000-13,000 eggs in their ovaries (Scott and Crossman 1973), but Sinnott and Ringler (1987) found this number was lower with an average of 2,169 eggs (range 1,154-3,812 eggs). Fecundity is proportional to female size, with number of eggs per catfish increasing with increasing length (Sinnott and Ringler 1987). When it comes time to breed, male and female catfish form a pair bond and become territorial during the formation of the nest and laying of eggs (McDowall 1990). Spawning usually occurs in shallow depressions in muddy or sandy substrates averaging 48 cm depth (Blumer 1985) from September to December (McDowall 1990). Once the eggs are laid, males have the task of fanning and guarding the eggs, which is a crucial process as it takes approximately 6-9 days at 20.6 to 23.3°C for the eggs to hatch (Scott and Crossman 1973). Posthatching of the eggs, male catfish and less so female catfish, continue to provide care for juvenile catfish for up to 19 days post-oviposition (Blumer 1985). This parental care for their young significantly increases the survival of their offspring (Blumer 1985). Full-grown catfish typically reach lengths of 200-300 mm, with some growing larger to around 500 mm in length and weighing up to 3 kg (Scott and Crossman 1973). There are reports of some catfish in New Zealand having reached lengths of 480 mm and weighing over 2 kg (McDowall 1990).

Catfish are nocturnal feeders that depend on their sense of smell and several taste sensors on their skin to locate food items in low water visibility (McDowall 1990). They can also track swimming prey using hydrodynamic and chemical signatures left by fish in their wake, being able to judge the size and suitability of the prev items (Pohlmann et al. 2004). Although catfish are often referred to as opportunistic omnivores (Barnes and Hicks 2003), studies have found that catfish obtain more of their nutrition from animals than from detritus and algae (Collier et al. 2018); the latter thought to be inadvertently consumed during foraging for invertebrates and fish (Patchell 1977; Collier et al. 2018). Juvenile catfish have simpler diets than adults, comprising mostly cladocerans, amphipods, and chironomid larvae (McDowall 1990). Diets of small catfish (<150 mm fork length; FL) caught in Lake Taupo from weedy habitats contained mostly caddisfly larvae with smaller amounts of chironomids, cladocerans, and gastropods. Dragonfly larvae, fish, koura, detritus, and plant material were absent from small catfish diet (Barnes and Hicks 2003). Diets of New Zealand adult catfish often consist of invertebrate larvae and molluscs (McDowall 1990), with lower quantities of koura, worms, detritus, plant material, offal, fish, and fish eggs (Scott and Crossman 1973). In addition to catfish size, catfish diet can be dependent on habitat type (e.g., weedy vs. rocky; Barnes and Hicks 2003), likely because it affects food availability.



Figure 1-3. Large brown bullhead catfish caught in Lake Rotoiti (Photo: M. Dixon, NZ Fishing News).

1.2 Freshwater crayfish in New Zealand

New Zealand is home to two endemic species of freshwater crayfish belonging to the Parastacoidea superfamily, often referred to as kēwai or kōura by Māori, including the northern kōura (*Paranephrops planifrons*, White 1842) and the southern kōura (*P. zealandicus*, White 1842) (McDowall 2011). The northen kōura is the only species of freshwater crayfish in the North Island, with populations also located in the upper West Coast of the South Island (Figure 1-4). The southern kōura is restricted to the South Island with populations occurring on the eastern and southern parts of the mainland and Stewart Island (Chapman et al. 2011). There is evidence that kōura have been in New Zealand *c*.60 to 80 million years when it broke off from Gondwanaland, making these species among its earliest inhabitants (Kusabs 2017). Recently, there has been suggestion of a third cryptic species of kōura, occurring on the west coast of the South Island. DNA analysis revealed that it was more closely related to southern kōura than northern kōura and diverged sometime during mountain formation in the mid-Pliocene (Apte et al. 2007).

To Māori, kōura are a taonga (treasured) species, which traditionally acted as an important and prized dietary item, and an item of value that was traded with outsiders (McDowall 2011). Kōura currently sustain a limited number of recreational fisheries in New Zealand particularly where populations of kōura remain abundant in central North Island waterbodies, including the Te Arawa lakes (particularly lakes Okataina, Rotomā, Rotoiti, and Tarawera (Kusabs 2017), where Māori customary kōura fishing practices continue (Kusabs 2015).

In addition to being a culturally important species, kōura carry out crucial roles in aquatic environments and are regarded as a keystone species and ecosystem engineers where they occur in high numbers (Parkyn et al. 1997). Crayfish alter macroinvertebrate community structure by acting as shredders, sediment bioturbators, and predators (Parkyn et al. 1997; Parkyn et al. 2001). Kōura are also important food items for some native fish and bird species, being common prey items of eels (*Anguilla* spp.) (Hicks 1997) and shags (*Phalacrocoracidae* spp.) (Kusabs 2015). Their importance ecologically, their habitat requirements (e.g., oxygen concentrations >5 mg L⁻¹), their preference for course substrates (Kusabs 2015), and cultural significance mean that freshwater crayfish are increasingly

being selected as an indicator species in aquatic ecosystems in New Zealand (Reynolds and Souty-Grosset 2011).



Figure 1-4. Distribution of koura in New Zealand, with *Paranephrops planifrons* in the North Island and upper South Island and *P. zealandicus* in the South Island. Data points for *Paranephrops* spp. were obtained from the NZFFDB and the black line shows the approximate separation between both species.

1.2.1 Loss of koura

Although koura are not considered threatened in New Zealand (Grainger et al. 2014), anecdotal evidence suggests northern koura numbers have declined since the early 1900s (Hiroa 1921; Dedual 2002; McDowall 2011). Koura loss has been associated with fish (particularly trout, catfish, and native eels) and exotic macrophyte introductions along with the drainage and eutrophication of water

bodies owing to large-scale changes in land use (Parkyn et al. 2001; Kusabs and Quinn 2009; McDowall 2011; Kusabs et al. 2015a). Cannibalism, and reduced food and habitat availability (e.g. reductions in-stream wood) further affect freshwater crayfish abundance in New Zealand (Parkyn et al. 2001). Declines in kōura abundance upstream of the Waikato River have been linked to the transfer of elvers upstream of Lake Karāpiro, the introduction of non-native fish (particularly catfish), disease (reported local die out of kōura in Lake Waipapa in 1995), hydro-dam flow ramping, and deteriorations in habitat quality (Clearwater et al. 2014).

More recently, long-term monitoring of koura populations in Lake Rotoiti between 2005 and 2016 has indicated koura abundances and biomasses are in decline (Kusabs 2016). Reasons for the drop in koura catch rates are unknown, but could be associated with the installation of the Ohau Channel diversion wall that may have altered physicochemical conditions, prolific exotic aquatic macrophyte growth, and increased predation by catfish (Kusabs 2016).

Countries outside of New Zealand are also reporting declines in freshwater crayfish abundance (Edsman et al. 2010; Füreder et al. 2010), for reasons including waterborne biocides and toxins, overharvesting and disease (Reynolds 2011). For example, transfer of North American freshwater crayfish species to Europe in the late 1900s has had disastrous consequences for local crayfish populations. North American crayfish were vectors of the crayfish plague (*Aphanomyces astaci*), resulting in widespread mortality of crayfish in Europe. The white-clawed crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*) lost 70% of its population since the 1970s and is now classified as endangered on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Peay and Füreder 2011). Additionally, the transfer and establishment of crayfish species beyond their native ranges has created novel food webs, altering predation and competition interactions (Degerman et al. 2007).

1.2.2 The effects of catfish on koura

The most important predators of koura, in terms of affecting growth, behaviour, and abundance are predatory fish (Westman 1985), and catfish are no exception being established predators of koura (e.g., Barnes and Hicks 2003). Catfish in Lake Taupo were found to increasingly feed on koura at lengths >150 mm FL (Barnes and Hicks

2003), and are thought to be partially responsible for the decline or absence of koura when in high densities in Waikato hydro-lakes (Clearwater et al. 2014). In addition to directly feeding on koura, catfish may compete with freshwater crayfish for shelter and food resources (Barnes 1996). They may also modify habitat (Cline et al. 1994) and slow growth rates of koura by reducing their activity rates, potentially leading to heightened mortality (Collier and Winterbourn 2000).

Currently, there are no overseas studies on the effects of *Ameiurus nebulosus* on freshwater crayfish species, but there are reports of other non-native catfish species consuming freshwater crayfish with varying consumptive effects. The invasive flathead catfish (*Pylodictis olivaris*) in Lake Mitchell, south Dakota, was found to primarily consume crayfish (*Orconectes* spp.), and included fish in their diet at around 400 mm (Lucchesi et al. 2017). Similarly, the invasive channel catfish was found to consume large numbers of crayfish in an Atlantic river, North Carolina, USA, accounting for 25% of catfish diet (Baumann and Kwak 2011). However, channel catfish in Coachella Canal in California were found to consume minimal amounts of crayfish, occurring in 3% of stomachs (Marsh 1981).

1.2.3 Biology of koura

Kōura (Figure 1-5) have two prominent antennae on their head, which sit below the shorter antennules (Chapman et al. 2011). When bent directly back, the antennae extend beyond the fourth segment of the abdomen in *Paranephrops planifrons*, but only reaches a third of the abdomen in *P. zealandicus*. Kōura possess efficient thoracic limbs for walking, and have large chelipeds (arms with pincers) for defending themselves and to attack. *P. zealandicus* chelipeds are typically hairier than that of *P. planifrons* (Chapman and Lewis 1976).

Kōura occur in a range of freshwater habitats including lakes, reservoirs, ponds, swamps, and streams, on muddy and gravel substrates (Chapman et al. 2011). Kōura require shade during the day that sees them retreat to the depths to hide, often under rocks and in burrows or furrows in soft substrates. At night they become active and move to shallow depths to feed (Devcich 1979). Cobble-sized substrate acts as an important cover for kōura, providing refuge from predation and cannibalism (Stein 1977), and is often identified as the most important factor

affecting crayfish abundance (Capelli and Magnuson 1983; Kusabs et al. 2015b). In addition to habitat, freshwater crayfish require certain chemical and physical conditions, including suitable bottom dissolved oxygen levels, calcium concentrations, and water temperatures (Kusabs et al. 2015b). In Lake Rotoiti, Devcich (1979) found a strong correlation between kōura numbers and dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations at 30 m and 50 m depths. When oxygen concentrations fell to $<5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ kōura abundances were considerably less, although they were still present in concentrations as low as 1.6 mg L⁻¹. Westman (1985) also found abundances of other crayfish species dropped when DO concentrations $<5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, due to avoidance of those low oxygen areas. Under laboratory conditions, Landman et al. (2005) calculated the dissolved oxygen (DO) LC₅₀ (the level at which 50% of organisms die) for kōura at 0.77 mg L⁻¹ (duration of 48 h at 17°C).

Calcium (Ca) is an essential element for crayfish survival and production, and can dictate crayfish presence and absence (Lodge and Hill 1994). Adequate calcium is important as it allows for the re-calcification or hardening of the crayfishes exoskeleton post moult (Vedia et al. 2017). Low calcium environments would result in crayfish being more vulnerable to predation and cannibalism, as well as limiting crayfish reproduction and survival (Stein 1977). A calcium concentration between 20-30 mg L⁻¹ is thought to be an ideal concentration for *P. zealandicus* survival and reproduction (Hammond et al. 2006), with a survival threshold of between 1 and 2.5 mg L⁻¹ (Cairns and Yan 2009). However, kōura have been found in West Coast New Zealand streams with calcium concentrations as low as 0.9 mg L⁻¹ (Olsson et al. 2006).

Water temperature is a very important factor, dictating koura body temperature, metabolic activity, growth, and feeding regime (Verhoef and Austin 1999). Jones (1981) found the optimum mean daily temperature for koura to be 19°C, with a mean critical limit of 31.9°C (Simons 1985). Koura in Lake Rotoiti were found to be tolerant of temperatures up to 35°C (Devcich 1979), but prolonged periods of high temperatures could be detrimental to their survival (Kusabs 2015).

Sexual maturity of *P. planifrons* in the Te Arawa lakes is reached at around 2 years of age, with 50% of female koura bearing eggs at 26.3 mm OCL (Kusabs 2015). The breeding period of koura in Lake Rotoiti is continuous with berried (i.e., egg-

bearing) females being found throughout the year (Devcich 1979; Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Females from Bay of Plenty lakes had between 26 and 345 eggs (average 104 eggs), with female fecundity increasing with increasing size (Kusabs 2015). Most koura in Lake Rotoiti breed during late autumn and carry their eggs over winter (Devcich 1979). Eggs develop into juveniles at around 3 weeks and attach themselves, post–hatching, onto the female's pleopods as they undergo development (Devcich 1979). There could also be a second koura breeding season in the lake between spring and summer, with females carrying eggs from October to December and juvenile koura being released between September and December (Devcich 1979). Once developed, juvenile koura are released in the littoral zone where food and water temperatures are adequate (Devcich 1979).

In order to grow, koura must shed their exoskeleton. In the first year of growth, juvenile koura can moult nine times, followed by three times the second year and two times the following year (Parkyn et al. 2002). Koura growth is typically faster in pasture streams than in native forest streams, with larger moult increments and more frequent moults occurring (Parkyn et al. 2002).

Kōura have varied omnivorous diets consisting of invertebrates, detritus, and plants (Chapman et al. 2011). Freshwater crayfish diet is influenced by land use and food availability, with leaf litter being the predominant food source in forested streams and invertebrates in pasture streams in the Waikato region (Parkyn et al. 2001). Although kōura diets are varied, animal protein contributes most to kōura growth, being commonly sourced from chironomids, aquatic snails, and mayflies (Parkyn et al. 2001). Kōura diet also changes with size, with larger crayfish consuming more plant material and less animal protein than juvenile crayfish (Whitmore et al. 2000).



Figure 1-5. Large female northern koura (P. planifrons) caught in Lake Rotoiti.

1.3 Study objectives

Extensive studies exist on the effects of predatory fish on freshwater crayfish species (Stein 1977; Dorn and Mittelbach 1999; Englund and Krupa 2000; Usio and Townsend 2000; Nyström et al. 2006; Vedia et al. 2017), including some New Zealand studies on the effects of widespread introduced brown trout (Salmo trutta) on koura populations (Usio and Townsend 2000; Olsson et al. 2006; Brown 2009; Kusabs et al. 2015b). However, only one study has tried to quantify the effects of introduced brown bullhead catfish and koura by conducting a two-lake comparison with and without catfish, and likely under sampled the area where catfish and koura are most likely to interact (depths <10 m; Kusabs and Taiaroa 2015). The current knowledge gap on catfish and koura interactions, more specifically predation, is concerning given the current prevalence of catfish in New Zealand and their high potential to spread to other lakes in the Bay of Plenty and Waikato regions (Leathwick et al. 2016). Therefore, the primary aim of this thesis is to quantify the effects of catfish on koura populations in Lake Rotoiti, a lake that has been recently invaded by catfish and that has high natural abundances of koura (Kusabs 2015). Understanding what impact non-native catfish are having on koura populations will help to establish whether an intervention is needed to sustain this culturally important resource.

To serve as a species monitoring tool and incorporate mātauranga Māori, whakaweku, a traditional kõura capture technique using bundles comprised of bracken fern (*Pteridium esculentum*) (Kusabs and Quinn 2009), was deployed at high and low catfish density sites to monitor kõura populations in Lake Rotoiti. This method has been used successfully on a number of different substrates, depths, and habitats, but has not yet been tested as a kõura capture technique in shallow lake littoral zones, where catfish and kõura are most likely to interact.

The main aims of this thesis are as follows:

- 1. Compare koura numbers and sizes between high and low density catfish sites using fine-meshed fyke nets and whakaweku in Lake Rotoiti;
- Determine if habitat variables and catfish densities can explain koura and fish abundance in Lake Rotoiti;

- Test the effectiveness of whakaweku as a koura sampling method on lake shorelines, and as a potential refuge from catfish predation;
- 4. Identify the diets of catfish and koura in Lake Rotoiti, based on stomach contents and stable isotope analysis of carbon and nitrogen;
- 5. Determine if catfish and koura have any dietary overlap using stomach contents and stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen; and
- 6. Establish the relationship between catfish gape size and fish length for fresh and frozen fish, so in future, sizes of food items found in catfish guts can be related back to the gape size of fish.

1.4 Thesis overview

Aside from the introduction, there are four remaining chapters in this thesis. Chapter 2 introduces the study area and sites. Chapter 3 examines the influence of habitat variables and catfish densities on koura and native fish abundances in Lake Rotoiti. In addition, it addresses the effectiveness of whakaweku as a koura capture technique in shallow lake habitats and as a refuge from catfish predation. Chapter 4 uses stable isotopes and stomach contents to (i) identify the diet of different-sized catfish and koura in Lake Rotoiti, (ii) establish the contribution of koura to the diet of catfish, and (iii) determine the degree of dietary overlap between catfish and koura. A gape-size for catfish length regression was calculated for fresh and frozen catfish so catfish gape size can be calculated from catfish length and then related to feeding habits. Chapters 3 and 4 are in journal article format for submission to the New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research. Chapter 3 was submitted on 8 February 2019 and chapter 4 will be submitted in the near future. The final chapter, Chapter 5, will summarise the main findings of chapters 3-4 and provides recommendations for the management of koura populations in Lake Rotoiti with the recent catfish incursion.

1.5 References

- Apte S, Smith P, Wallis G. 2007. Mitochondrial phylogeography of New Zealand freshwater crayfishes, *Paranephrops* spp. Molecular Ecology Resources 16:1897-1908.
- Barnes G. 1996. The biology and general ecology of the brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) in Lake Taupo. [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Barnes GE, Hicks BJ. 2003. Brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) in Lake Taupo. Wellington, N.Z.: Department of Conservation.
- Baumann JR, Kwak TJ. 2011. Trophic relations of introduced flathead catfish in an Atlantic river. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 140:1120-1134.
- Bay of Plenty Regional Council. 2018. Lakes Water Quality Society annual general meeting notes January 2018 brown bullhead catfish.
- Blair JM, Hicks BJ. 2009. An investigation to determine origin: age and otolith chemistry of a brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) found at Okawa Bay, Lake Rotoiti. Hamilton, N.Z.: Centre for Biodiversity and Ecology Research, University of Waikato.
- Blumer LS. 1985. Reproductive natural history of the brown bullhead *Ictalurus nebulosus* in Michigan. American Midland Naturalist 114:318-330.
- Brown L. 2009. Habitat determinants and predatory interactions of the endemic freshwater crayfish (koura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in the lower North Island, New Zealand [MSc thesis]. Palmerston North, N.Z.: Massy University.
- Cairns A, Yan N. 2009. A review of the influence of low ambient calcium concentrations on freshwater daphniids, gammarids, and crayfish. Environmental Reviews 17:67-79.
- Capelli GM, Magnuson JJ. 1983. Morphoedaphic and biogeographic analysis of crayfish distribution in Northern Wisconsin. Journal of Crustacean Biology 3:548-564.
- Chapman MA, Lewis MH. 1976. An introduction to the freshwater crustacea of New Zealand. Auckland, N.Z.: Collins.

- Chapman MA, Lewis MH, Winterbourn MJ. 2011. Astacida (Crayfish). In: Guide to freshwater crustacea of New Zealand. Christchurch, N.Z.: New Zealand Freshwater Sciences Society; p. 149-155.
- Clearwater SJ, Kusabs IA, Budd R, Bowman E. 2014. Strategic evaluation of koura populations in the upper Waikato River. Hamilton, N.Z.: NIWA.
- Cline JM, East TL, Threlkeld ST. 1994. Fish interactions with the sediment-water interface. Hydrobiologia 275:301-311.
- Collier K, Francis L, Garrett-Walker J, Melchior M, Özkundacki D, Pingram MA. 2018. Trophic overlap between non-native brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) and native shortfin eel (*Anguilla australis*) in contrasting shallow lakes. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 27:888–897.
- Collier KJ, Grainger N. 2015. Introduction to invasive fish. In: New Zealand Invasive Fish Management Handbook. Hamilton, N.Z.: LERNZ; The University of Waikato and Department of Conservation; p. 3-6.
- Collier KJ, Winterbourn MJ. 2000. New Zealand stream invertebrates: ecology and implications for management. New Zealand Limnological Society.
- Dedual M. 2002. Vertical distribution and movements of brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus* Lesueur 1819) in Motuoapa Bay, southern Lake Taupo, New Zealand. In: Thorstad EB, Fleming IA, Næsje TF, editors. Aquatic Telemetry: Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Fish Telemetry in Europe. The Netherlands: Springer Netherlands; p. 129-135.
- Degerman E, Nilsson PA, Nyström P, Nilsson E, Olsson K. 2007. Are fish populations in temperate streams affected by crayfish? a field survey and prospects. Environmental Biology of Fishes 78:231-239.
- Devcich AA. 1979. An ecological study of *Paranephrops planifrons* white (Decapoda: Parastacidae) in Lake Rotoiti, North Island [PhD thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Dorn N, Mittelbach G. 1999. More than predator and prey: a review of interactions between fish and crayfish. Vie et Milieu 49:229-237.
- Edsman L, Füreder L, Gherardi F, Souty-Grosset C. 2010. *Astacus astacus* [cited 27/11/17]. Available from http://www.iucnredlist.org/.
- Englund G, Krupa JJ. 2000. Habitat use by crayfish in stream pools: influence of predators, depth and body size. Freshwater Biology 43:75-83.

- Froese R, Pauly D. 2017. *Ameiurus nebulosus* (Lesueur, 1819) brown bullhead [cited 09/08/17]. Available from http://fishbase.org/.
- Füreder L, Gherardi F, Holdich D, Reynolds J, Sibley P, Souty-Grosset C. 2010. Austropotamobius pallipes [cited 27/11/17]. Available from http://www.iucnredlist.org/.
- Global Invasive Species Database. 2018. Species profile: *Ameiurus nebulosus* [cited 09/02/18]. Available from http://www.iucngisd.org/gisd/species.php?sc=612
- Grainger N, Collier K, Hitchmough R, Harding J, Smith B, Sutherland D. 2014. Conservation status of New Zealand freshwater invertebrates, 2013. Wellington: Department of Conservation.
- Grayling S. 2017. Bay of Plenty regional pest management plan 2011-2016 annual report for 2016/2017. Whakatane, N.Z.: Bay of Plenty Regional Council.
- Hammond KS, Hollows JW, Townsend CR, Lokman PM. 2006. Effects of temperature and water calcium concentration on growth, survival and moulting of freshwater crayfish, *Paranephrops zealandicus*. Aquaculture 251:271-279.
- Hicks BJ. 1997. Food webs in forest and pasture streams in the Waikato region, New Zealand: a study based on analyses of stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen, and fish gut contents. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 31:651-664.
- Hicks BJ, Allan MG. 2018. Estimation of potential contributions of brown bullhead catfish to the nutrient budgets of lakes Rotorua and Rotoiti. Environmental Research Institute, The University of Waikato, Hamilton, N.Z.: E.R.I report 115.
- Hicks BJ, Ling N, Wilson BJ. 2010. Introduced fish. In: Collier KJ, Hamilton DP, Vant W et al., editors. The Waters of the Waikato: ecology of New Zealand's longest river. Hamilton, N.Z.: Environment Waikato and the Centre for Biodiversity and Ecology Research, The University of Waikato; p. 209-228.
- Hiroa TR. 1921. Māori food-supplies of Lake Rotorua, with methods of obtaining them, and usages and customs appertaining thereto. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 26:429-451.
- Jones JB. 1981. Growth of two species of freshwater crayfish (*Paranephrops* spp.) in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 15:15-20.

- Kusabs IA. 2015. Koura (*Paranephrops planifrons*) populations in the Te Arawa lakes: an ecological assessment using the traditional Maori tau koura harvesting method and recommendations for sustainable management [PhD thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Kusabs IA. 2016. Ohau Channel diversion wall: monitoring of koura and kakahi populations in the Okere Arm and Lake Rotoiti. Rotorua, N.Z.: Bay of Plenty Regional Council.
- Kusabs IA. 2017. Kōura the ancient survivor. In: Taura Y, Schravendijk-Goodman C, Clarkson C, editors. Te reo o te repo = The voice of the wetland: connections, understandings and learnings for the restoration of our wetlands. Hamilton, N.Z.: Manaaki Whenua Landcare Research and Waikato Raupatu River Trust; p. 90-93.
- Kusabs IA, Hicks BJ, Quinn JM, Hamilton DP. 2015a. Sustainable management of freshwater crayfish (kōura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Te Arawa (Rotorua) lakes, North Island, New Zealand. Fisheries Research 168:35-46.
- Kusabs IA, Quinn JM. 2009. Use of a traditional Māori harvesting method, the tau kõura, for monitoring kõura (freshwater crayfish, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Lake Rotoiti, North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 43:713-722.
- Kusabs IA, Quinn JM, Hamilton DP. 2015b. Effects of benthic substrate, nutrient enrichment and predatory fish on freshwater crayfish (kōura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) population characteristics in seven Te Arawa (Rotorua) lakes, North Island, New Zealand. Marine and Freshwater Research 66:631-643.
- Kusabs I, Taiaroa R. 2015. Koura populations in Lake Taupo and comments on the effects of catfish. Rotorua, N.Z.: Ian Kusabs & Associates Ltd and Tūwharetoa Māori Trust Board.
- Landman MJ, Van Den Heuvel MR, Ling N. 2005. Relative sensitivities of common freshwater fish and invertebrates to acute hypoxia. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 39:1061-1067.
- Leathwick JR, Collier KJ, Hicks BJ, Ling N, Stichbury G, de Winton M. 2016. Predictions of establishment risk highlight biosurveillance priorities for invasive fish in New Zealand lakes. Freshwater Biology 61:1522-1535.
- Lesko LT, Smith SB, Blouin MA. 1996. The effect of contaminated sediments on fecundity of the brown bullhead in three Lake Erie tributaries. Journal of Great Lakes Research 22:830-837.

- Lodge DM, Hill AM. 1994. Factors governing species composition, population size, and productivity of coolwater crayfishes. Nordic Journal for Freshwater Resources 69:111-136.
- Lucchesi DO, Wagner MD, Stevens TM, Graeb BDS. 2017. Population dynamics of introduced flathead catfish in Lake Mitchell, South Dakota. Journal of Freshwater Ecology 32:323-336.
- Marsh PC. 1981. Food of channel catfish in the Coachella Canal, California. Journal of the Arizona-Nevada Academy of Science 16:91-95.
- McDowall RM. 1990. New Zealand freshwater fishes: a natural history and guide. Rev. ed. Auckland, N.Z. Wellington, N.Z., Auckland: Heinemann Reed; Wellington: MAF Publishing Group.
- McDowall RM. 2011. Ikawai: freshwater fishes in Māori culture and economy. Christcurch, N.Z.: University of Canterbury.
- Nyström PER, Stenroth P, Holmqvist N, Berglund O, Larsson PER, Granèli W. 2006. Crayfish in lakes and streams: individual and population responses to predation, productivity and substratum availability. Freshwater Biology 51:2096-2113.
- Olsson K, Stenroth P, Nyström P, Lijebäck N, McIntosh A, Winterbourn M. 2006. Does natural acidity mediate interactions between introduced brown trout, native fish, crayfish and other invertebrates in West Coast New Zealand streams? Biological Conservation 130:255-267.
- Parkyn SM, Collier KJ, Hicks BJ. 2001. New Zealand stream crayfish: functional omnivores but trophic predators? Freshwater Biology 46:641-652.
- Parkyn SM, Collier KJ, Hicks BJ. 2002. Growth and population dynamics of crayfish *Paranephrops planifrons* in streams within native forest and pastoral land uses. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 36:847-862.
- Parkyn SM, Rabeni CF, Collier KJ. 1997. Effects of crayfish (*Paranephrops planifrons*: Parastacidae) on in-stream processes and benthic faunas: a density manipulation experiment. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 31:685-692.
- Patchell GJ. 1977. Studies on the biology of the catfish: *Ictalurus Nebulosus* Le Sueur in the Waikato Region [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.

- Peay S, Füreder L. 2011. Two indigenous European crayfish under threat how can we retain them in aquatic ecosystems for the future? Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 401:33.
- Pohlmann K, Atema J, Breithaupt T. 2004. The importance of the lateral line in nocturnal predation of piscivorous catfish. Journal of Experimental Biology 207:2971-2978.
- Reynolds JD. 2011. A review of ecological interactions between crayfish and fish, indigenous and introduced. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 401:10.
- Reynolds JD, Souty-Grosset C. 2011. Management of freshwater biodiversity: crayfish as bioindicators. Cambridge University Press.
- Scott WB, Crossman EJ. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Bulletin 184. Fisheries Research Board of Canada 1973. International Review of Hydrobiology 95:1-102.
- Simons M. 1985. Species-specific responses of freshwater organisms to elevated water temparures. Hamilton, N.Z.: Waikato Valley Authority Technical Report.
- Sinnott TJ, Ringler NH. 1987. Population Bbology of the brown bullhead (*Ictalurus nebulosus* Lesueur). Journal of Freshwater Ecology 4:225-234.
- Stein RA. 1977. Selective predation, optimal foraging, and the predator-prey interaction between fish and crayfish. Ecology 58:1237-1253.
- Usio N, Townsend CR. 2000. Distribution of the New Zealand crayfish *Paranephrops zealandicus* in relation to stream physico-chemistry, predatory fish, and invertebrate prey. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 34:557-567.
- van Vierssen W, Prins TC. 1985. On the relationship between the growth of algae and aquatic macrophytes in brackish water. Aquatic Botany 21:165-179.
- Vedia I, Galicia D, Baquero E, Oscoz J, Miranda R. 2017. Environmental factors influencing the distribution and abundance of the introduced signal crayfish in the north of Iberian Peninsula. Marine and Freshwater Research 68:900-908.

- Verhoef GD, Austin C. 1999. Combined effects of temperature and density on the growth and survival of juveniles of the Australian freshwater crayfish *Cherax destructor* (Clark): part 2. Aquaculture 170:49-57.
- Westman K. Year. Effects of habitat modification on freshwater crayfish. Symposium on Habitat Modification and Freshwater Fisheries. p. 245–255.
- Whitmore N, Huryn AD, Arbuckle CJ, Jansma F. 2000. Ecology and distribution of the freshwater crayfish *Paranephrops zealandicus* in Otago. Science for Conservation 148:5-42.
2 Chapter 2

2.1 Lake Rotoiti

Lake Rotoiti (38.039895°S, 176.345803°E; Figure 2-1) is one of 12 major lakes in the Bay of Plenty Region that are collectively known as the Te Arawa lakes (Kusabs et al. 2015b), and is situated about 278 m a.s.l. on the Central Volcanic Plateau, North Island, New Zealand (von Westernhagen 2010). The lake was formed c. 8.5 to 9 thousand years ago when river valleys became dammed with lava following a volcanic eruption (Lowe and Green 1987). The basin of Lake Rotoiti is unusually long and narrow compared to other lakes in the district (Fish 1975), and consists of two distinct basins including a shallow western basin (maximum depth 25 m) and a deep eastern basin, separated by a narrow constriction (von Westernhagen 2010).

The lake is deep (maximum depth 124 m, average depth 32 m; Figure 2-2), large (surface area 34.6 km²), warm, mesotrophic, and monomictic (von Westernhagen 2010). For 9 months of the year, the lake is stratified and mixes once in late autumn before stratifying again in the spring (Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Rotoiti receives multiple geothermal inputs, with the Tikitere geothermal field being the main input (Environment Bay of Plenty 2009). Approximately 80% of the main inflow into Lake Rotoiti comes from Lake Rotorua through the Ohau Channel which flows into the western basin. The sole outflow of the lake is the Kaituna River through the Okere Inlet (Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Currently, 24% of the surrounding catchment area is used for agriculture, 43% is forest, and 1% is urban (Bruesewitz et al. 2011).



Figure 2-1. Location of the Te Arawa lakes including Lake Rotoiti in the Bay of Plenty region, North Island, New Zealand.



Figure 2-2. Bathymetry of Lake Rotoiti. Source data Waikato Coastal Marine Group. Data is interpolated to a 5 m grid. Map supplied by M. Allan, University of Waikato.

2.1.1 Lake water quality

Water quality in Lake Rotoiti has gradually deteriorated since the 1950s (Burns et al. 1997), coinciding with changes in catchment land use. In the warmer months, the lake experiences cyanobacteria blooms (Burger et al. 2007) and deoxygenation in its bottom waters during periods of stratification (Hamilton et al. 2004). When the lake is stratified, about 20 t of phosphorus and 50 t of nitrogen are mobilised to

the water column from the bottom sediments (Hamilton et al. 2004). To improve water quality in Lake Rotoiti, the Ohau Diversion Wall (1,275 m long; Figure 2-3) was installed to divert nutrient-rich water exiting Lake Rotorua through the Ohau Channel, away from Lake Rotoiti (Gillies et al. 2010). Construction of the wall took roughly a year starting in June 2007, being completed in July 2008. The wall is estimated to prevent 180 t of nitrogen and 50 t of phosphorus from entering the lake each year, and was expected to improve water quality within five years (Gillies et al. 2010). Further attempts to improve lake water quality have seen the Bay of Plenty Regional Council (BOPRC) remove large quantities of exotic macrophytes, including hornwort (Ceratophyllum demersum) from the lakebed using a weed harvester (S. Grayling, BOPRC, pers. comm.). Alum dosing of the Utuhina and Puarenga streams flowing into Lake Rotorua, may have also reduced phosphorus concentrations (Environment Bay of Plenty 2009). Recent water quality results are showing gradual improvement in the condition of Lake Rotoiti, with the lake shifting from a eutrophic state (average TLI score of 4 from 2004 to 2006) to a mesotrophic state (average TLI score of 3.5 from 2014 to 2016; Land Air Water Aotearoa 2016).



Figure 2-3. Aerial view of the Ohau Channel diversion wall that diverts water from Lake Rotorua towards the Kaituna River outflow (Hamilton et al. 2018).

2.1.2 Fish

Lake Rotoiti is home to three species of native fish including kōaro, common bullies (*Gobiomorphus cotidianus*), and common smelt (*Retropinna retropinna*) (Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Although common smelt are native to New Zealand, they were introduced to the lake to provide an alternative food source to kōaro for trout (McDowall 2011). Longfin eels (*Anguilla dieffenbachii*) are also present in Lake Rotoiti but are found in very low densities (Martin et al. 2007). In addition to native fish species, Lake Rotoiti has five species of non-native fish including rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), catfish, gambusia (*Gambusia affinis*), and goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Brown trout and rainbow trout were introduced to the catchment in 1888 and 1889, respectively (Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Catfish were confirmed present in Lake Rotoiti in March 2016 (Bay of Plenty Regional Council 2018a).

2.1.3 Macrophytes

There are four main species of exotic macrophytes in Lake Rotoiti, including Canadian pondweed (*Elodea canadensis*), egeria (*Egeria densa*), Lagarosiphon (*Lagarosiphon major*), and hornwort (Lakes Water Quality Society 2017). The introduction and establishment of hornwort in the 1970s has been particularly problematic for lake managers and general lake health, and has been implicated with the loss of native flora in Lake Rotoiti over the past half century (Lakes Water Quality Society 2017). A flora survey by Coffey and Clayton (1988) recorded a number of macrophyte species present in Lake Rotoiti between 1965 and 1985 (Table 2-1), but what species remain and their distributions are unknown.

Common and scientific name	Status
Tall Growing macrophytes	
Hornwort (Ceratophyllum demersum L,)	Introduced
Blunt pondweed (Potamogeton ochreatus Raoul)	Native
Lagarosiphon (Lagarosiphon major Ridley)	Introduced
Curly leaved pondweed (Potamogeton crispus L.)	Introduced
Red pondweed (Potamogeton cheesemanii A. Benn.)	Native
Canadian pondweed (Elodea canadensis Michx.)	Introduced
Water milfoil (Myriophyllum triphyllum Orchard)	Native
Common water milfoil (Myriophyllum propinquum A. Cunn)	Native
Stonewort (Chara corallina Klein)	Native
Nitella hookeri A. Br.	Native
Low-mound community	
Small mudmat (Glossostigma elatinoides Benth.)	Native
Zealandia chain sword (Lilaeopsis lacustris Hill)	Native
Mudwort (Limosella lineata Gluck)	Native
Waterwort (Elatine gratioloides A. Cunn.)	Native
Elatine sp.	Native
Quillwort (Isoetes kirkii A. Braun)	Native
Mud buttercup (Ranunculus limosella F. Muell)	Native
Eleocharis pusilla R. Braun	Native
Bladderwort (Utricularia protrusa F. Hook)	Native
Triglochin (Triglochin striata Ruiz)	Native
Horses' mane weed (Ruppia polycarpa R. Mason)	Native
Stonewort (Nitella pseudoflabellala A. Braun)	Native
Glossostigma submersum Petrie	Native

Table 2-1. Aquatic plant species recorded present and their status in Lake Rotoiti in between 1969 and 1985 (Coffey and Clayton 1988).

2.2 Study sites

Six sites were selected around the western end of Lake Rotoiti (Te Weta Bay, Southern Shoreline, Okere Inlet, Otaramarae, Okawa Bay, and Te Arero Bay; Figure 2-4) for this study, based on their similarities including bathymetry, proximity to one another, dominant substrate type, and catfish density. Descriptions of each of the sites follow.



Figure 2-4. Locations of the six study sites at the western end of Lake Rotoiti.

2.2.1 Te Weta Bay

Te Weta Bay is located to the north west of Lake Rotoiti (38.032768°S, 176.352794°E; Figure 2-5) and is the site to have the most catfish caught to date. The bay is largely shallow (<6 m deep), reaching depths of up to 10 m at its entrance (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.). There are several stands of dense exotic macrophytes in the bay, and it experiences problems with excessive filamentous algae growth and cyanobacteria blooms in summer. Te Weta Bay also hosts stands of great spike rush (*Eleocharis sphacelata*) and raupō (*Typha orientalis*) along its shorelines. The dominant substrate type is silt, with small localised areas of sand and rocks at the entrance to the bay (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.). Silt in Te Weta Bay could have originated from erosion from the surrounding hills. The predominant land use is low-density housing, farming and forestry.



Figure 2-5. Photo images of Te Weta Bay, A. typical emergent vegetation in Te Weta Bay; B. macrophyte beds in Te Weta Bay (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato).

2.2.2 Southern Shoreline

Southern Shoreline is a geothermally active site located on the southern shores of Lake Rotoiti (38.042243°S, 176.357657°E; Figure 2-6), and was the second site found to have catfish after Te Weta Bay (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.). The shallows are predominately weedy, shallow, and rocky (mostly bedrock), with a thin coverage of gravely sand. There are also some steep drop-offs in places. The site has several stands of emergent great spike rush and raupō, which is where catfish were first caught in the area (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.). The surrounding land use is predominately exotic and native forestry.



Figure 2-6. Photo images of the Southern Shoreline site, A. close up of vegetation; B. vegetation of the Southern Shoreline consists of predominantly radiata pine and mānuka scrub (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato).

2.2.3 Okere Inlet

Okere Inlet is located in the far north western end of Lake Rotoiti (38.029692°S, 176.347429°E; Figure 2-7). The site is shallow, weedy, and reasonably sandy, with some rocky outcrops (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.). There are several large stands of raupō around the entrance of Okere Inlet, which is where the majority of catfish have been caught. The inlet receives large volumes of nutrient rich water from Lake Rotorua because of the diversion wall, and is connected to the sole outlet for the lake, the Kaituna River (Hamilton et al. 2018). The dominant surrounding land-use is low-density housing, tourism (rafting down the Kaituna River) and native vegetation.



Figure 2-7. Photo images of Okere Inlet. A. close up of vegetation; B. Okere Inlet entrance (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato).

2.2.4 Otaramarae

Otaramarae is located to the north east of Lake Rotoiti (38.014734°S, 176.373263°E; Figure 2-8). Although catfish have been caught at the site, it's only in recent times that catches are increasing. The bay is shallow with dense stands of hornwort. Lake bed substrate is composed of sand around the edges, with areas of silt. There are some isolated stands of emergent vegetation around the lake edges (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.). The predominant surrounding land use is low-density housing and farming.



Figure 2-8. Photo images of Otaramarae. A. close up of emergent vegetation where catfish have been caught; B. entrance to Otaramarae looking towards the main body of the lake; C. Otaramarae boat ramp (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato).

2.2.5 Okawa Bay

Okawa Bay is located to the south west of Lake Rotoiti (38.049295°S, 176.334870°E; Figure 2-9). The bay is very shallow and sandy around the edges, with some stands of emergent vegetation. The bay has no rocky outcrops (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.). In the summer, Okawa Bay experiences blooms of bluegreen algae (i.e., Cyanobacteria) (Environment Bay of Plenty 2009). The lake is also sprayed with diquat herbicide in summer to kill off aquatic macrophytes. The land use surrounding the bay is predominately low-density housing, with areas of native and exotic forest.



Figure 2-9. Photo images of Okawa Bay. A. close up of vegetation; B. looking south at Okawa Bay (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato).

2.2.6 Te Arero Bay

Te Arero Bay is located to the north east of Lake Rotoiti (38.014193°S, 176.389174°E; Figure 2-10), and has not had a catfish caught in the bay to date. Te Arero Bay is a relatively deep, weedy, and sandy, with isolated areas of rock and silt (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.). The bay hosts no stands of emergent vegetation, but has wetlands of ecological significance. Te Arero Bay is covered largely in native forest except for some small areas cleared for sheep and beef farming and has no housing or commercial forestry.



Figure 2-10. Photo images of Te Arero Bay. A. western shoreline of Te Arero Bay; B. close up of typical mudstone cliffs, with lake side vegetation (Photos: A. Pearson, University of Waikato)

2.3 References

- Bay of Plenty Regional Council. 2018. Lakes Water Quality Society annual general meeting notes January 2018 brown bullhead catfish.
- Bruesewitz DA, Hamilton DP, Schipper LA. 2011. Denitrification potential in lake sediment increases across a gradient of catchment agriculture. Ecosystems 14:341-352.
- Burger DF, Hamilton DP, Pilditch CA, Gibbs MM. 2007. Benthic nutrient fluxes in a eutrophic, polymictic lake. In Shallow Lakes in a Changing World; Dordrecht.
- Burns NM, Deely J, Hall J, Safi K. 1997. Comparing past and present trophic states of seven Central Volcanic Plateau lakes, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 31:71-87.
- Coffey B, Clayton J. 1988. Changes in the submerged macrophyte vegetation of Lake Rotoiti, central North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 22:215-223.
- Environment Bay of Plenty. 2009. Rotorua lakes water quality report 2009. Whakatane, N.Z.: Environment Bay of Plenty.
- Fish GR. 1975. Lakes Rotorua and Rotoiti, North Island, New Zealand: their trophic status and studies for a nutrient budget. Wellington, N.Z.: Fisheries Research Division, New Zealand Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries.
- Gillies R, Bycroft C, Shaw W, Bawden R. 2010. Bird monitoring in the vicinity of the Ohau Channel diversion structure at Lake Rotoiti - 2010 progress report. Whakatane, N.Z.: Wildland Consulants.
- Hamilton DP, Alexander W, Burger DF. 2004. Nutrient budget for lakes Rotoiti and Rotorua. Part I: internal nutrient loads. https://hdl.handle.net/10289/3795.
- Hamilton DP, Paul W, McBride C, Immenga D. 2018. Water flow between Ohau Channel and Lake Rotoiti following implementation of a diversion wall. Hamilton, N.Z.: Centre for Biodiversity and Ecology Research, The University of Waikato.
- Kusabs IA, Hicks BJ, Quinn JM, Hamilton DP. 2015. Sustainable management of freshwater crayfish (koura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Te Arawa (Rotorua) lakes, North Island, New Zealand. Fisheries Research 168:35-46.

- Kusabs IA, Quinn JM. 2009. Use of a traditional Māori harvesting method, the tau kõura, for monitoring kõura (freshwater crayfish, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Lake Rotoiti, North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 43:713-722.
- Lakes Water Quality Society. 2017. Weeds [cited 21/03/18]. Available from https://lakeswaterquality.co.nz/weeds/.
- Land Air Water Aotearoa. 2016. Lake Rotoiti [cited 22/05/18]. Available from https://www.lawa.org.nz/explore-data/bay-of-plenty-region/lakes/lake-rotoiti/.
- Lowe DJ, Green JD. 1987. Origins and development of the lakes. In: Viner AB, editor. Inland Waters of New Zealand. Wellington, N.Z.: New Zealand Department of Scientific and Industrial Research; p. 1-64.
- Martin M, Boubee J, Kusabs IA. 2007. Taonga and mahinga kai of the Te Arawa lakes: a review of current knowledge tuna. Hamilton, N.Z.: National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research.
- McDowall RM. 2011. Ikawai: freshwater fishes in Māori culture and economy. Christcurch, N.Z.: University of Canterbury.
- von Westernhagen N. 2010. Measurements and modelling of eutrophication processes in Lake Rotoiti, New Zealand [PhD thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.

3 Chapter 3

Relationship between invasive brown bullhead catfish and native fish and kōura abundance in Lake Rotoiti¹

3.1 Abstract

Catch rates of koura (freshwater crayfish, Paranephrops planifrons) have declined significantly in Lake Rotoiti over the last decade and we conclude that brown bullhead catfish (Ameiurus nebulosus) are primarily responsible. The brown bullhead catfish is a predator and scavenger that is native to North America, and was confirmed to be present in Lake Rotoiti in 2016. To assess the effects of catfish on koura and native fish populations in the lake, routine fyke netting data generated by the Bay of Plenty Regional Council (BOPRC) since March 2016 was used. Koura mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) has declined in Lake Rotoiti since the initiation of fyke netting, with mean catch rates dropping from 10.6 koura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016 to 4.2 koura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2018. Over the same period, mean catfish CPUE has increased, with the highest catch rates in Te Weta Bay, where mean catch rates have increased from 1.1 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016 to 63.7 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ ¹ in 2018. Routine fyke netting data revealed that catfish CPUE was negatively associated with koura catch per unit effort (r = -0.180). Mean catfish density also had a significant effect on koura catch rates, with significantly more koura being caught at sites without catfish. The negative association between catfish and koura could be due to catfish eating or competing with koura, or their differing habitat preferences. Additionally, fine-meshed fyke nets and whakaweku (bracken fern bundles) were used to obtain data on koura population metrics (e.g., sizes and sex ratios) and species habitat preferences. Catfish were positively associated with weedy or muddy habitats and koura were negatively associated with muddy habitats and were positively associated with rocky habitats.

¹ Francis LB, Hicks BJ, Kusabs IA (2019). Submitted. Relationship between invasive brown bullhead catfish and native fish and koura abundance in Lake Rotoiti. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research.

3.2 Introduction

Monitoring of kōura (*Paranephrops planifrons*) populations in Lake Rotoiti from 2005 to 2016 found that kōura catch rates and biomasses are in decline, with a 24% reduction in kōura catch rates from 2014 to 2016 (Kusabs 2016). Invasion of brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*), prolific invasive macrophyte growth, and physicochemical changes in the lake could be potential causes (Kusabs 2016). Other studies have identified physicochemical conditions, the presence of predatory fish, food supply, and refuge availability, as important factors affecting freshwater crayfish abundances and size-class distributions (Lodge and Hill 1994; Kershner and Lodge 1995; Usio and Townsend 2000; Nyström et al. 2006; Kusabs 2015).

Internationally, fish are important predators of crayfish and also affect their growth, behaviour, and abundance (Westman 1985). In New Zealand, introduced fish species known to prey on koura include trout, perch (Perca fluviatilis), and brown bullhead catfish (Parkyn and Kusabs 2007). Until recently, the Te Arawa lakes in the Rotorua region have remained comparatively free of introduced fish, with only rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), which are stocked by Fish and Game New Zealand for recreational purposes, naturalised brown trout (Salmo trutta) (Kusabs et al. 2015a), gambusia (Gambusia affinis), and goldfish (Carassius auratus). The impact of trout on koura populations in lakes appears to be comparatively low compared to lotic waters. Diets of rainbow trout (>200 mm fork length; FL) in central North Island lakes were found to primarily consist of common smelt (Retropinna retropinna), with koura, common bully (Gobiomorphus cotidianus), and koaro (Galaxias brevipinnis) being infrequent items (Blair et al. 2012). Kusabs et al. (2015a) found that relative trout abundance was unrelated to koura size or abundances in the Te Arawa lakes, suggesting that the impacts of trout on koura population structures are not significant. Eels (Anguilla spp.) are the only native freshwater fish that are capable of exerting significant predation pressure on koura. For example, in Waikato streams, 22-25% of longfin eel (Anguilla dieffenbachii) stomachs contained koura (Hicks 1997), suggesting koura were an important component of their diet. However, longfin and shortfin eel (Anguilla australis) densities are low in Lake Rotoiti due to the distance inland and difficulty in migrating upstream past the Okere Falls (Martin et al. 2007). Therefore, it is likely that fish predation has not been a significant influence on Lake Rotoiti koura prior to the invasion of catfish.

Catfish eat kōura, comprising up to 64% of dietary items in the stomachs of large catfish (>250 mm FL) from rocky habitats in Lake Taupo (Barnes and Hicks 2003). Negative correlations of kōura abundance in relation to catfish density have also been observed in Waikato River hydro lakes (Clearwater et al. 2014). Kusabs and Taiaroa (2015) compared a high-density catfish lake (Lake Taupo) and a lake with no catfish, and hypothesised that catfish in combination with exotic macrophytes likely excluded kōura from the littoral zones of Lake Taupo (Kusabs and Taiaroa 2015). In addition to kōura, catfish also consume varying quantities of fish. In shallow Waikato lakes, common bullies comprised 47% of catfish diet (Collier et al. 2018). Lake Rotoiti has resident populations of native common bullies, common smelt and kōaro, which could all be potential food items of catfish due to their small size.

Habitat complexity provides refugia from predation for crayfish, with several studies finding a positive relationship between crayfish abundance or crayfish survival and increasing particle size and abundance (Stein and Magnuson 1976; Lodge and Hill 1994; Nyström et al. 2006; Ramberg-Pihl et al. 2017). Cobble-sized substrates are frequently identified as the most important factor explaining crayfish abundance in lakes (Capelli and Magnuson 1983; Lodge and Hill 1994; Johnsen and Taugbøl 2008; Kusabs et al. 2015a; Ramberg-Pihl et al. 2017), suggesting they provide shelter from predation and cannibalism of juveniles, post-moult softshelled individuals, and egg-bearing females (Stein 1977; DiDonato and Lodge 1993). In the Te Arawa lakes, the substrate is of volcanic origin and is composed of fine material (<1 mm in diameter) consisting of predominately silt, mud and sand, with small localised areas of cobble (Kusabs et al. 2015a), suggesting that preferred kōura habitat in the lake is minimal.

Crayfish abundance has been negatively associated with exotic macrophyte cover, although the effects are not well studied. The littoral zone of Lake Rotoiti is largely covered by four species of exotic macrophytes including Canadian pondweed (*Elodea canadensis*), egeria (*Egeria densa*), lagarosiphon (*Lagarosiphon major*), and hornwort (*Ceratophyllum demersum*). Dense stands of Canadian pond weed in Lake Rotoiti are thought to hinder kōura movement, influence food availability and sediment characteristics, but may also provide refuge from predation (Kusabs 2015). A study on the effects of Canadian pond weed on the distribution of noble

crayfish (*Astacus astacus*) in Lake Steinsfjorden, Norway, found that crayfish were virtually excluded from the shallow littoral zone where the weed had established (Hessen et al. 2004). Another study conducted in central North Hampshire, USA, also observed a negative relationship between northern crayfish (*Orconectes virilis*) abundance and macrophyte cover (Ramberg-Pihl et al. 2017).

Bracken fern (*Pteridium esculentum*) bundles, or whakaweku, are a traditional tool used by Te Arawa and Tūwharetoa iwi to capture kõura. Whakaweku have been shown to be a superior kõura capture technique over conventional methods, because they capture kõura from all size classes and both genders (Kusabs 2015). They are also routinely used to monitor kõura populations in Lake Rotoiti and other Te Arawa lakes (Kusabs 2015). In addition to monitoring kõura populations, whakaweku can be used to monitor small fish (e.g. common bullies) and other invertebrates (e.g., dragonfly larvae) (Kusabs 2015; Kusabs et al. 2018).

Whakaweku bundles consist of about 10-12 bracken fern fronds bound together at the stems using plastic cable ties. In lakes, multiple whakaweku are typically set along a tāuhu (rope line) to form a tau koura that can be set over a range of depths (3-34 m; Kusabs and Quinn 2009; Kusabs et al. 2015a). Once deployed, tau koura are left in place for at least 2 weeks to allow colonisation by koura and fish (Kusabs et al. 2018), but they can also be left deployed for up to 6 months in eutrophic lakes and >2 years in oligotrophic lakes, before they start to deteriorate (Kusabs and Quinn 2009; Kusabs et al. 2015a). Upon retrieval, koura are shaken from the whakaweku into a landing net or korapa for processing. This technique has proven highly effective in surveying koura from below the littoral zone, but they have yet to be tested in shallow littoral zones in the presence of submerged macrophytes. The effectiveness of whakaweku in capturing koura in the Te Arawa lakes may be related to the lack of preferred cobble habitat (Devcich 1979), with whakaweku providing habitat and additional foraging areas (Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Their persistence and low-cost construction make whakaweku a potential tool for mitigating catfish predation of koura in fine particulate sediment lakes such as Lake Rotoiti.

3.3 Aims and objectives

Brown bullhead catfish were confirmed present in Lake Rotoiti in March 2016 and in neighbouring Lake Rotorua in December 2018, with the potential to spread to the Kaituna River catchment. The main objective of this chapter was to determine if koura and native fish populations were affected by the establishment of catfish in Lake Rotoiti, and to ascertain whether habitat composition played a role in determining koura and fish distributions within the lake. This was approached by (i) comparing fyke net catches of koura and native fish at low and high catfish densities, (ii) examining the size-class distribution of koura, and (iii) observing the effects of habitat on koura capture rates. The Bay of Plenty Regional Council (BOPRC) has been conducting an extensive fyke netting programme throughout the lake to systematically remove catfish since March 2016, generating a spatial and temporal dataset of fish and koura abundance. This dataset was analysed to determine if an inverse catch per unit effort (CPUE) relationship between catfish and koura existed. Such a relationship could indicate interactions such as predation or interspecific competition between the two species.

A netting survey independent of the BOPRC programme employing both whakaweku and fyke nets was also conducted in order to collect koura size-class data, and determine the effectiveness of whakaweku in the shallow littoral zone of the lake. Examination of this data would help determine if sites with lower catch rates of koura were missing smaller size-classes of koura, due to size-selective predation by catfish. The independent netting survey also allowed for localised habitat around the whakaweku and fyke to be characterised in more detail, in order to identify potential effects of habitat on fish and koura capture rates. A secondary objective of this study was to investigate whether koura would utilise the structure of whakaweku on the lake shorelines as refugia from catfish predation or competition. To test this hypothesis, two tank trials employing cobble structure and whakaweku were conducted to evaluate their effectiveness in mediating catfish and koura interactions.

3.4 Methods

3.4.1 Study area

Lake Rotoiti (38.039895°S, 176.345803°E) sits at 278 m a.s.l. on the Central Volcanic Plateau, North Island, New Zealand (von Westernhagen 2010), and is one of many lakes, collectively known as the Te Arawa lakes, in the Bay of Plenty region (Kusabs et al. 2015b). The lake is large (3460 ha) and deep (average depth 32 m, maximum depth 124 m), and consists of a shallow western basin (maximum depth 25 m) and a deeper eastern basin. For 9 months of the year, the lake is stratified and mixes once in late autumn (Kusabs and Quinn 2009).

To compare koura and fish abundances with varying densities of catfish, BOPRC fyke-netting data was used, which encompassed the whole of Lake Rotoiti (Figure 3-1). In addition, six sites at the western end of Lake Rotoiti (Te Weta Bay, Okere Inlet, Otaramarae, Te Arero Bay, Okawa Bay, and Southern Shoreline) where chosen to investigate koura population metrics and species habitat preferences.



Figure 3-1. Location of the six main study sites and additional sites encompassing the whole of Lake Rotoiti.

3.4.2 Routine catfish monitoring

Fyke nets (*n* net nights = 6954) were set in Lake Rotoiti between 29 March 2016 and 27 September 2018 by the BOPRC, as part of their catfish eradication programme. The nets used were a mixture of fine (mesh size 4 mm, n = 4471) and coarse meshed (mesh size 6 mm, n = 2483), which were either unchoked (n = 3385) or choked (n = 3569; Table 3-1). The purpose of the added plastic mesh choke was to avoid unwanted by-catch, particularly of waterfowl such as the threatened dabchick or weweia (Poliocephalus rufopectus). The choke was a square of plastic mesh with an 85-mm by 85-mm opening (Figure 3-2). In 2016, a majority of fyke nets used were coarse-meshed, resulting in smaller fish such as bullies and smelt not being captured. Fyke nets were single-winged, with two internal throats to prevent fish escaping, and a 600-mm high D hoop at the front. In each net, one 106g tin of sardines in soya bean oil and one or two crushed green-lipped mussels (Perna canaliculus) were used as bait. The contents of the sardine tins were not emptied into the nets, instead several holes (~8 per side) were punched into both sides of the tins. Bait was not reused in subsequent nets. Fyke nets were typically set in the shallow water (<7 m deep) for about 24 h. Catfish caught by netting were measured or averaged to a size range of <150 mm FL. Koura and other fish were counted but not measured. Catfish were removed from the lake, but koura and other fish species were returned to the lake.

		Coarse	Coarse mesh		nesh
Year	Season	Unchoked	Choked	Unchoked	Choked
	Spring	402		44	
2016	Summer	75		155	
2016	Autumn	514			
	Winter	253			
	Spring	190	75	233	206
2017	Summer	235	53	405	141
2017	Autumn	105	95	228	249
	Winter	89	60	179	180
	Spring			52	506
2019	Summer	29	31	118	221
2018	Autumn	16	188	12	995
	Winter		73	51	496
	N net nights	1908	575	1477	2994

Table 3-1. Number of coarse and fine mesh, choked and unchoked nets set during each season (3-monthly periods) from 2016 to 2018 in Lake Rotoiti.



Figure 3-2. Plastic mesh choke placed at the entrance of the net to reduce by-catch of dabchicks in fyke nets.

3.4.3 Habitat survey using whakaweku and fyke nets

To analyse habitat-specific catch rates and the length frequency of koura caught by fyke netting, baited fine-meshed fyke nets (n net nights = 292) that were either choked (n = 211) or unchoked (n = 81) were set at six sites at the western end of Lake Rotoiti, between 28 August and 12 September 2018. Sites included Te Weta Bay, Okere Inlet, Otaramarae, Te Arero Bay, Okawa Bay, and Southern Shoreline (Figure 3-3). Sites had differing numbers of nets in order to obtain a large enough koura sample size. All fykes were baited with crushed mussels and a tin of sardines, and were set in the shallow water (<7 m deep) for about 24 h.

Whakaweku (Figure 3-4) were constructed of 10-12 fronds of freshly cut, mature woody bracken fern that were held together at the base with two cable ties, as used by Kusabs et al. (2015a). To set the whakaweku, braided nylon rope (1.5 m x 7 mm) was tied around the base of the stems between the cable ties, and attached by a shark clip to a 6-kg concrete Firth Gobi block as an anchor. A float was attached to the end of the nylon rope to aid retrieval.

Ten whakaweku were set in Te Weta Bay, a high catfish density site, and 10 in Otaramarae (Figure 3-5), a low catfish density site, at depths of between 0.5 and 1 m. Whakaweku were not deployed in areas of dense weed, as it can smother the bundles making retrieval difficult. In order to maximise the comparability of koura

CPUE between sites, whakaweku were only set on sandy substrates, as habitat strongly influences koura catch rates (i.e., Devcich 1979; Kusabs et al. 2015a). Sandy sheltered bays were not common at the study sites, therefore whakaweku were placed in close proximity to one another, between 6 and 25 m apart.

Whakaweku were left for 1 month before their first collection, and were then reset a further two times, 3 and 5 months after their initial deployment. On retrieval, a kōrapa (landing net) was slid under the whakaweku to collect invertebrates and fish. The whakaweku was shaken vigorously to dislodge its contents onto the kōrapa for counting and measurement.

Numbers of fish and invertebrates (i.e., koura, dragonfly larvae, damselfly larvae, and snails) were recorded for whakaweku catches. For fyke net catches, only fish and koura were recorded, as smaller invertebrates were not captured. Catfish fork length, koura orbital-carapace length (OCL), and sex, were recorded from captures between 28 August 2018 and 12 September 2018. All species, except catfish, were released after counts were made. Catfish were euthanised as per Biosecurity Act 1993, Sections 52 & 53 requirements.



Figure 3-3. Location and number of fine-meshed fyke nets (n net nights = 292) set at the six study sites between 28 August and 12 September 2018 used for the habitat survey.



Figure 3-4. Photos of conditioned whakaweku (fern bundle). A. deployed whakaweku in the shallows (Photo: D. Bach, Te Arawa Lakes Trust); B. whakaweku on a kōrapa (landing net); C. whakaweku sitting on the bank with a Gobi block and a float attached.



Figure 3-5. Location of whakaweku set in A. Te Weta Bay (n = 10) and B. Otaramarae (n = 10) in Lake Rotoiti.

3.4.4 Habitat characteristics

During the habitat survey, habitat in the vicinity of the fyke net or whakaweku was assessed to determine whether habitat characteristics had an observable effect on koura and fish catch rates. This included dominant substrate type, macrophyte density, and in-lake vegetation. The dominant substrate type directly under the fyke net or whakaweku was determined by pushing a long stick into the ground to gauge compactness, followed by a visual assessment to determine rough particle size. The presence of exotic macrophytes around the entrance to the net or distance to the whakaweku was noted. Aquatic macrophytes were classified as present when the macrophyte bed covered the entrance to the net, or absent when macrophytes were sparse or not in close proximity to the net. In-lake vegetation was classified as present when submerged vegetation was in close proximity (<3 m) to the fyke net or whakaweku.

3.4.5 Water characteristics

Water characteristics including dissolved oxygen, temperature, and pH, were measured on five occasions during the independent netting survey between 7 June 2018 and 8 October 2018. Measurements were made using YSI digital multimeters and a hand-held pH meter from approximately 20 cm below the surface. For the sites with whakaweku, water temperature was recorded every 15 minutes using a HOBO MX2202 temperature logger attached to a length of rope on to the same Gobi block as the whakaweku, with a float attached to keep it suspended approximately 30 cm from the lake bed.

3.4.6 Tank trial to assess the effectiveness of whakaweku in mediating koura predation by catfish

Kōura (n = 136, OCL range 5.5-26.0 mm) were obtained from lakes Rotoiti and Rotorua using fine-meshed fyke nets and whakaweku, with permission from the Te Arawa Lakes Trust and the Komiti Whakahaere. Kōura <26.0 mm OCL were selected for the experiments as it was assumed that they would be the most vulnerable to predation by catfish, and the size most likely to be encountered in lake shorelines where catfish and kōura co-exist.

Catfish were collected using overnight fyke netting from University of Waikato campus lakes on 1 June 2018. Catfish >200 mm were retained for trials (n = 16, fork length range 216-267 mm), as Barnes (1996) found catfish >200 mm FL contained a greater proportion of koura in their stomachs, suggesting they would be

capable of consuming koura. Catfish were held for at least 1 week prior to the trails starting to allow for acclimation.

Tank trials were run using four 1,425-L concrete tanks (1.85-m diameter x 0.53-m high). Strips of polyethylene plastic (40-cm wide x 0.2-cm thick) were placed over the rim of the tank and secured using duct tape to prevent the koura from escaping. Tanks were supplied with a continuous flow of oxygen and dechlorinated tap water. The water level of the tanks was moderated to ~0.3 m high to give a volume of 810 L, controlled with rotatable overflow pipes. Each tank had a fitted lid, consisting of a metal frame with two layers of white and green shade cloth. Tank water temperature was recorded every 15 minutes using HOBO MX2202 loggers.

Before placing koura into the allocated tank, they were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm for orbital-carapace length (OCL; Figure 3-6), sex was determined, and the number of pincers was recorded. For the first experiment (experiment one), koura weights and the number of legs were also recorded. Catfish were measured for fork length and weighed to the nearest 1.0 g. Catfish were not reused in subsequent trials in order to avoid any learned behaviour from previous trials. Koura were reused in successive trials to minimise the number required.



Figure 3-6. Measuring koura orbital-carapace length (OCL) using digital callipers (Photo: A. Pearson, University of Waikato).

3.4.6.1 Experiment one

Experiment one consisted of four treatments comprising (i) cobbles with catfish, (ii) cobbles without catfish, (iii) whakaweku with catfish, and (iv) whakaweku without catfish (Figure 3-7). Each of the tanks had 20 koura (equivalent of 7.4 koura m^{-2}), and catfish tanks each contained three catfish. The experiments ran for 2

weeks beginning 2 July 2018. Catfish and koura were fed bloodworms (tubificids) every 2 days.

A total of 40 cobbles were placed in each tank of mean diameter 81.4 (\pm 13.1 mm, 1 SD), in a double layer to provide crevices for koura refuge. For the treatments with whakaweku, seven fronds of 1-day-old fern were bundled together, then submerged to condition for 2 days, before being placed on top of the cobble pile. All tanks were equipped with a polyethylene pipe (630 mm x 100 mm) for catfish refuge. Mean water temperature (\pm 1 SD) in the tanks was 10.3 \pm 2.5°C and the temperature range was 4.2-15.4°C.



Figure 3-7. Images of the tank setup during experiment one. A. tank setup showing the cobble only treatment; B. tank setup showing the cobble + whakaweku treatment.

3.4.6.2 Experiment two

Experiment two consisted of four treatments including (i) no whakaweku with catfish, (ii) no whakaweku and no catfish, (iii) whakaweku with catfish, and (iv) whakaweku without catfish. Each of the tanks contained 10 koura (equivalent of 3.7 koura m⁻²), and three catfish. The experiments ran for 3 days starting on 15 October 2018. Catfish were starved for 6 days (last fed on the 8 October 2018) leading up to the experiment and placed in an outdoor tank 2 days before the experiment to acclimatise. No food was added to the tanks due to the short duration of the experiment. Mean water temperature (± 1 SD) in the tanks was 15.3 ± 1.2 °C and the temperature range was 12.3-23.6°C.

3.4.7 Data analysis

Effects of net type (i.e., fine or coarse-meshed, choked or unchoked entrance) on species catch rates was analysed using a two-way ANOVA. If there was no significant interaction in catch rates between mesh size and choke status, a one-way ANOVA of catch rate for each main effect was used to determine where there are differences in catch rates. Catfish length frequencies in Te Weta Bay were analysed to determine if the removal of large catfish (>200 mm FL) differed between 2016-2017 and 2018 with a one-way ANOVA.

The effects of catfish density and time on koura and fish catch rates in unchoked fine-meshed fyke nets, was analysed using a two-way ANOVA. To test for relationships between species catch rates for the different netting combinations, a Shapiro–Wilk's test was performed in Statistica (version 13.2) to test for normality and a Levene's test for the homogeneity of variances. Because the data was found to be non-parametric, species catch rates were analysed using Spearman rank-order correlation to determine the association between the different species for net combinations. The seasonal effects on species catch rates was analysed using one-way ANOVAs.

Species catch rates in fine-meshed fyke nets set between 28 August and 12 October 2018 were compared against habitat characteristics using a two-way ANOVA. Differences in koura and fish catch rates between sites and methods was explored using one-way ANOVAs in R. Unless otherwise stated, all analyses were performed in R statistical software version 3.4.1; $\alpha = 0.05$ was used as the critical *P* value.

3.5 Results

3.5.1 Routine catfish monitoring

3.5.1.1 Effects of mesh size and net chokes on species' catches

Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) for each of the netting combinations (i.e., coarse and fine-meshed nets with choked and unchoked entrances) were compared for fish species and koura. Not all species had the same number of observations, due to some smaller fish species not being recorded at the start of the netting programme. Mesh type and choking status had a significant effect on the catch rates of bullies, koura, goldfish, and koaro (Table 3-2). Fine-meshed unchoked nets caught the highest numbers of fish and koura (mean all species combined 224.6 individuals net⁻¹ night⁻¹), while the least amount were caught in coarse-meshed choked nets (mean all species combined 14.2 individuals net⁻¹ night⁻¹). Coarse-meshed fyke nets caught significantly more longfin eels (ANOVA P < 0.05; Table 3-3), and significantly fewer bullies, koura, goldfish, catfish, smelt, and koaro, than finemeshed fyke nets. Coarse-meshed fyke nets had similar mean catches of trout as fine-meshed fyke nets. Choked nets caught significantly fewer bullies, koura, and goldfish (ANOVA P < 0.001), and significantly more longfin eels (ANOVA P<0.05). Choking did not have an effect on the mean CPUE of catfish, smelt, trout, or kōaro (ANOVA *P* >0.05).

Table 3-2. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) and the total number of net-nights for koura and fish caught in fyke nets set in Lake Rotoiti between March 2016 and April 2018. Total number of observations refers to the number of fyke nets, which we have species catch data for. *P* values refer to the interaction term of a two-way ANOVA for coarse or fine mesh size and choked or unchoked entrance. Significant values (P < 0.05) are marked in bold.

	CP	UE (mean nur				
	Coarse	mesh	Fine n	nesh	Number of	
Species	Unchoked	Choked	Unchoked	Choked	net-nights	P value
Bullies	10.2	6.9	165.9	96.0	6954	<0.001
Kōura	2.9	2.7	6.6	3.3	6933	<0.001
Goldfish	1.9	1.0	18.0	9.5	6933	<0.001
Smelt	1.8	0.6	26.7	26.0	6933	0.966
Catfish	0.5	2.9	7.2	9.6	6681	1.000
Trout	0.021	0.019	0.055	0.012	6649	0.249
Kōaro	0.048	0.026	0.173	0.267	6553	0.029
Longfin eel	0.017	0.024	0.007	0.016	5807	0.745

Table 3-3. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) for koura and fish in fyke nets set in La	ake
Rotoiti between March 2016 and April 2018 using fine-meshed and coarse-meshed ne	ets,
unchoked and choked nets with P values. Significant values ($P < 0.05$) are marked in bo	old.

	CPUE (mean number net ⁻¹ night ⁻¹)							
Species	Mesh	type		Choking	status			
species	Coarse mesh	Fine mesh	Р	Unchoked	Choked	P value		
Bullies	9.3	119.1	<0.001	87.3	81.7	<0.001		
Kōura	2.8	4.4	<0.001	4.6	3.2	<0.001		
Goldfish	1.6	12.3	<0.001	9.6	8.1	<0.001		
Catfish	1.1	8.8	<0.001	3.4	8.5	0.209		
Smelt	1.3	26.2	<0.001	18.2	21.9	0.870		
Kōaro	0.043	0.236	<0.001	0.103	0.228	0.170		
Trout	0.021	0.026	0.453	0.036	0.013	0.204		
Longfin eel	0.019	0.013	0.010	0.013	0.017	0.029		

3.5.1.2 Koura and fish catch rates with site and time and the effects of catfish

Catch rates of fish and koura in choked and unchoked fine-meshed fyke nets set between 2016 and 2018 were grouped by catfish density (i.e., high, medium, low density, or no catfish) and year, to observe CPUE trends and to determine if catfish had an association with species' catch rates. Mean species catch rates in finemeshed fyke nets by site and year can be found in Appendix 1. Due to differences in catch rates between coarse and fine-meshed fyke nets (Table 3-4), only finemeshed fyke nets (*n* net nights = 4471) were used for this analysis. Choked nets were also included in the analysis as differences in species CPUE between choked and unchoked fyke nets was less than that of mesh size. Catfish CPUE has increased in Lake Rotoiti over the past three years, most notably in Te Weta Bay, where catch rates have increased from 1.1 ± 2.3 (mean ± 1 SD) in 2016 to 63.7 ± 248.1 in 2018 (Table 3-5). The number of sites where catfish were detected also increased. Considering all net types, catfish were found at six sites 2016 and 11 sites in 2018, showing a range expansion (Figure 3-8). Koura CPUE has declined significantly (ANOVA P < 0.001) in Lake Rotoiti over the same period, including the sites without catfish (Table 3-5). Across all sites, koura catch rates declined from 10.6 kōura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016, to 5.4 kōura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2017 and 3.2 kōura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2018. Koura CPUE was negatively associated with catfish CPUE, with the highest koura CPUE at the sites without catfish. Bully and goldfish catch rates were positively associated with catfish, while koaro, smelt and longfin eel catch rates were negatively associated with catfish.

Table 3-4. Mean catfish catch per unit effort (CPUE) for all sites in Lake Rotoiti for all netting years combined, 2016, 2017 and 2018 netting years, using choked and unchoked and fine and coarse meshed fyke nets, and their allocated catfish density class. Number of nets refers to the total number of fine-meshed fyke nets set between 2016 and 2018. 1 SD in brackets. * Only one fine-meshed fyke net was set in the Ohau Channel in 2017.

Catfish		CPUE (catfish net ⁻¹ night ⁻¹)					
density	Site	All years	2016	2017	2018	nets	
High	Te Weta Bay	15.58 (119.89)	1.10 (2.29)	3.49 (39.53)	63.70 (248.08)	2078	
	Okere Inlet	5.93 (28.57)	0.04 (0.23)	0.10 (0.36)	17.48 (47.26)	863	
Medium	Outside Te Weta Bay	2.12 (10.47)	0.10 (0.50)	0.05 (0.26)	11.37 (22.45)	524	
	Ohau Channel	1.69 (6.61)	0	0*	1.75 (6.72)	913	
	Outside North	1.26 (6.98)	0.07 (0.34)	0.03 (0.21)	3.07 (10.79)	447	
	Okawa Bay	0.96 (5.04)	0	0.05 (0.25)	2.12 (7.43)	477	
Low	Southern Shoreline	0.84 (4.46)	0.02 (0.15)	0.07 (0.27)	2.26 (7.27)	622	
	Delta	0.50 (1.98)	0	0	0.64 (2.22)	300	
	Otaramarae	0.05 (0.31)	0.01 (0.10)	0.01 (0.10)	0.15 (0.51)	278	
	Mid-Lake	0.05 (0.27)	0	0	0.13 (0.43)	156	
	South	0.02 (0.18)	0	0	0.17 (0.58)	122	
None	Eastern Lake	0	0	0	0	56	
none	Te Arero Bay	0	0	0	0	118	

Table 3-5. Mean koura and fish catch per unit effort (CPUE) and the total number of unchoked and choked fine-meshed fyke nets set in Lake Rotoiti between 2016 and 2018. Two-way ANOVA *P* for catfish density, year and the interaction between catfish density and year. Significant values (P < 0.05) are marked in bold.

CPUE (mean number net ⁻¹ night ⁻¹)										
Catfish density	Year	Catfish	Kõura	Bullies	Goldfish	Smelt	Kōaro	Trout	Longfin eel	<i>n</i> nets
	2016	0.94	4.8	145.4	6.5	1.1	0	0.014	0	71
High	2017	4.82	2.3	204.4	18.8	9.4	0.03	0.005	0.001	776
	2018	65.27	0.9	106.6	19.9	3.6	0.2	0.01	0	407
	2016	0	13.5	137.7	15.8	13.9	0	0	0.023	44
Medium	2017	0.07	8.6	108.5	18.4	19.9	0.29	0.053	0.014	435
	2018	16.66	6.3	115.7	14.7	42.4	0.21	0.012	0.038	346
	2016	0	14.2	75.8	6.2	6.7	0	0	0.014	71
Low	2017	0.04	6.5	121.2	12.3	39.3	0.25	0.101	0.007	587
	2018	1.85	2.9	88.3	5.9	36.7	0.30	0.014	0.019	1627
	2016	0	12.3	44.9	1.4	13.7	0	0	0	13
None	2017	0	18.8	78.0	13.1	13.3	3.48	0	0	23
	2018	0	7.1	61.5	8.3	7.1	0.63	0	0.014	71
P catfish density		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.730	<0.001	
P year		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.008	0.388	0.036	0.170	0.018	
P interact	ion	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.598	0.005	0.730	0.308	



Figure 3-8. Catfish catch rates (number net⁻¹ night⁻¹) for all net types set in Lake Rotoiti between 2016-18 during routine monitoring for catfish.

3.5.1.3 Relationship between catfish, native fish and koura catch rates

Fyke netting CPUE of catfish, bullies, kõura, kõaro, goldfish, longfin eel, smelt and trout were compared against each other to establish relationships between species for choked and unchoked fine-meshed fyke nets (Table 3-6). Catches of longfin eels and trout were too low to make any meaningful comparisons, with >98% of catches being zero. Kõura CPUE was negatively associated with catfish (r = -0.180), with the most negative significant relationship of any species. Kõura were also positively associated with catches of goldfish, kõaro, smelt and bullies. Catfish CPUE was positively associated with bully and goldfish catches and negatively associated with catches of smelt. Catfish CPUE had no effect on kõaro catches. Season had a significant effect on catch rates of all species excluding trout (Table 3-7), with the lowest catch rates occurring in the spring for catfish and winter for kõura.

Table 3-6. Spearman rank order correlations for species' catch rates in choked and unchoked fine-meshed fyke nets. Significant correlations (P < 0.05) are marked in bold.

Species	Catfish	Bullies	Kōura	Kōaro	Goldfish	Smelt
Catfish	1.000	0.116	-0.180	0.010	0.257	-0.133
Bullies	0.116	1.000	0.060	-0.030	0.485	0.246
Kōura	-0.180	0.060	1.000	0.101	0.106	0.111
Kōaro	0.010	-0.030	0.101	1.000	0.008	0.054
Goldfish	0.257	0.485	0.106	0.008	1.000	0.108
Smelt	-0.133	0.246	0.111	0.054	0.108	1.000

Table 3-7. Mean seasonal fish and koura catch per unit effort (CPUE) in Lake Rotoiti using all fyke nets types, with the number of observations for each species and associated P values comparing CPUE between seasons. Significant values (P < 0.05) are marked in bold.

Spanias	C	PUE (mean nur	nber net ⁻¹ night	-1)	Number of	
species -	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer	observations	P value
Bullies	49.2	48.4	126.8	136.4	6553	<0.001
Smelt	21.3	16.8	31.4	12.3	5807	0.044
Catfish	8.2	4.9	2.0	8.1	6954	0.014
Goldfish	5.9	6.4	9.6	15.0	6649	<0.001
Kōura	3.1	2.9	3.2	6.7	6681	<0.001
Kōaro	0.193	0.384	0.099	0.001	6933	<0.001
Trout	0.040	0.017	0.019	0.011	6933	0.456
Longfin eel	0.022	0.007	0.010	0.017	6933	0.001

3.5.1.4 Catfish length frequencies in Te Weta Bay

Lengths of catfish from Te Weta Bay between May 2016 and September 2018, shows that successful recruitment of juveniles occurred in the lake during this time (Figure 3-9). This was particularly apparent in February 2018, where the number of

juveniles caught were higher than the same time period, the previous year. Large catfish (>200 mm FL) appeared to be absent from Te Weta Bay from January and July 2017, and from February 2018 on; however, the number of large catfish caught during 2016-17 and 2018 years was not significantly different.



Figure 3-9. Length frequencies of catfish (n catfish = 32,738) caught in Te Weta Bay between March 2016 and September 2018 by fyke netting (n net nights = 5,812). Relative frequency scales are normalised the modal length to 1.

3.5.2 Habitat survey using whakaweku and fyke nets

3.5.2.1 Water characteristics

Mean oxygen saturation across the sites was $98.0 \pm 12.6\%$ (mean ± 1 SD), and site means ranged between 93.3-103.4% (Table 3-8). Mean water temperatures ranged between $11.4-13.7^{\circ}$ C, and median water pH values were 7.3 and 7.4. Mean water temperatures in Otaramarae and Te Weta Bay were 11.5° C in winter, reaching a maximum daily temperature of 15.3° C in May and October 2018.

Table 3-8. Mean dissolved oxygen concentrations, water temperatures (1 SD in brackets), and median pH values for the six study sites in Lake Rotoiti. Spot measurements were taken between 7 June 2018 and 8 October 2018 at the time of sampling.

Site	Mean dissolved oxygen (%)	Mean dissolved oxygen (mg L ⁻¹)	Mean temperature (°C)	Median pH
Okawa Bay	96.8 (5.1)	10.5 (0.6)	11.4 (0.3)	7.3
Okere Inlet	98.6 (0.8)	10.6 (0.2)	12.1 (0.5)	7.3
Otaramarae	95.0 (12.4)	9.9 (1.3)	13.1 (1.1)	7.4
Southern Shoreline	100.8 (8.1)	10.6 (0.8)	13.7 (1.5)	7.3
Te Arero Bay	93.2 (5.7)	9.8 (0.5)	12.7 (1.1)	7.4
Te Weta Bay	103.4 (6.3)	10.9 (0.4)	12.7 (1.3)	7.3

3.5.2.2 Effects of habitat on koura and fish catch rates in fyke nets

Fine-meshed fyke nets were set in the habitat survey between 28 August and 12 September 2018. For this survey, choking of fine-meshed fyke nets did not have a significant effect on koura CPUE, therefore, netting data for choked and unchoked nets was combined. Substrate was the only habitat variable to have a significant effect on koura CPUE (ANOVA P < 0.001; Table 3-9). Mean koura catch rates ranged from 1.42 koura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ on mud substrates to 9.16 koura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ on broken rock substrates (Figure 3-10). Bedrock, sand, and silt, had similar mean catch rates. The presence of macrophyte beds around the entrance to the net (Table 3-10) and in-lake vegetation and wood, did not have a significant effect on the number of koura caught.

The effects of substrate and dense macrophyte beds around the entrance of the net on fish catch rates (excluding trout and longfin eels) were also examined. CPUE of catfish and goldfish were highest on mud, while bully and smelt catches were highest on sand, and kōaro catches were highest on silt. Significantly more catfish and goldfish were caught at sites with dense macrophyte beds than those without. Fewer bullies were caught at sites with dense aquatic macrophyte beds, while catches of smelt and koaro were not significantly different between sites.

Table 3-9. Mean fish and koura catch per unit effort (CPUE) on the different substrate types, using unchoked and choked fine-meshed fyke nets. 1 SD in brackets. Two-way ANOVA *P* for substrate, choked entry, and the interaction between substrate and choked entry are given. Significant values (P < 0.05) are marked in bold

	CPUE (mean number net ⁻¹ night ⁻¹)								
Substrate type	Kōura	Catfish	Goldfish	Bullies	Smelt	Kōaro	<i>n</i> nets		
Broken rock	9.2 (10.0)	7.7 (29.9)	7.8 (8.4)	59.3 (73.3)	7.3 (18.7)	0.105 (0.315)	19		
Sand	3.4 (5.9)	1.77 (9.0)	13.4 (15.9)	153.9 (155.2)	79.0 (537.6)	0.301 (0.684)	173		
Bedrock	3.3 (3.9)	0.5 (1.0)	6.9 (8.6)	90.6 (67.6)	34.4 (51.6)	0.059 (0.243)	17		
Silt	2.9 (6.7)	4.9 (11.7)	16.3 (13.1)	139.5 (125.5)	18.2 (28.7)	0.600 (0.968)	30		
Mud	1.4 (5.1)	14.4 (39.6)	20.4 (15.4)	120.9 (96.2)	14.6 (40.9)	0.226 (0.505)	53		
P substrate	< 0.001	0.002	0.002	0.020	0.809	0.031			
P choked	0.773	0.968	0.448	0.030	0.059	0.964			
P interaction	0.466	0.182	0.6115	0.470	0.762	0.524			

Table 3-10. Mean fish and koura catch per unit effort (CPUE) with and without dense macrophyte beds around the entrance to the net, using unchoked and choked fine-meshed fyke nets. 1 SD in brackets. Two-way ANOVA *P* for the presence of aquatic macrophytes, choked entry and the interaction between the presence of aquatic macrophytes and choked entry are given. Significant values (P < 0.05) are marked in bold.

	CPUE (mean number net ⁻¹ night ⁻¹)						
Macrophyte density	Kōura	Catfish	Goldfish	Bullies	Smelt	Kōaro	nets
None/low	3.2 (5.4)	1.0 (4.4)	11.1 (14.4)	165.6 (157.0)	86.3 (547.8)	0.331 (0.758)	166
High	3.5 (7.3)	9.6 (30.2)	18.3 (15.2)	98.3 (92.6)	11.1 (42.7)	0.238 (0.513)	126
P macrophytes	0.554	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	0.050	0.990	
P choked or unchoked	0.910	0.990	0.918	0.029	0.023	0.677	
P interaction	0.629	0.752	0.351	0.167	0.189	0.449	






B. Catfish

Figure 3-10. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of A. koura (n = 976) and B. catfish (n = 1375), caught in Lake Rotoiti by fyke netting over five different substrates between 28 August and 12 September. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals

3.5.2.3 Whakaweku catch rates

Water levels in Okawa Bay, Lake Rotoiti, varied between 279.0 and 279.3 m during the period of whakaweku deployment (Bay of Plenty Regional Council 2018b), meaning whakaweku were always submerged as they were set at depths between 0.5 and 1.0 m. Whakaweku caught a wide range of fish and invertebrate species, including dragonfly larvae, bullies, snails, kōaro, damselflies, gambusia (*Gambusia affinis*), goldfish, and kōura. Numbers of dragonflies, damselflies, and goldfish, were significantly higher (ANOVA P < 0.05) in Otaramarae than in Te Weta Bay, with no significant difference in the numbers of bullies, snails, kōaro, gambusia, and kōura between sites (Table 3-11). The effects of habitat and catfish densities on kōura whakaweku catches were not analysed, as too few kōura (n = 21) were caught over the sampling period to produce meaningful results. During sampling, two of the whakaweku set in Otaramarae were stolen and another tampered with.

		7 Jun-18			8 Aug-18			8 Oct-18		
Species	п	Mean CPUE	CPUE range	n	Mean CPUE	CPUE range	п	Mean CPUE	CPUE range	
Snails	46	4.6 (2.5)	0-10	149	14.9 (8.9)	0-30	155	15.5 (6.9)	10-30	
Bullies	30	3.0 (1.8)	1-5	75	7.5 (3.4)	3-13	136	13.6 (7.7)	5-25	
Dragonfly	0	0	0	16	1.6 (3.0)	0-10	16	1.6 (1.4)	0-4	
Kōaro	0	0	0	1	0.1 (0.3)	0-1	0	0	0	
Damselflies	0	0	0	1	0.1 (0.3)	0-1	1	0.1 (0.3)	0-1	
Gambusia	0	0	0	4	0.4 (0.7)	0-2	0	0	0	
Kōura	0	0	0	5	0.5 (1.3)	0-4	0	0	0	
Goldfish	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
N whakaweku	10			10			10			

A. Te Weta Bay

B. Otaramarae

		7 Jun-18			8 Aug-18			8 Sep-18		
Species	п	Mean CPUE	CPUE range	n	Mean CPUE	CPUE range		п	Mean CPUE	CPUE range
Snails	23	2.3 (3.5)	0-10	55	6.1 (1.6)	0-10		221	27.6 (44.7)	1-100
Bullies	99	9.9 (8.8)	0-30	99	11.0 (9.0)	0-25		126	15.8 (8.2)	7-27
Dragonfly	35	3.5 (3.7)	0-10	110	12.2 (9.2)	2-26		29	3.6 (2.2)	1-7
Kōaro	1	0.1 (0.3)	0-1	0	0	0		0	0	0
Damselflies	0	0	0	6	0.7 (1.3)	0-4		20	2.5 (2.5)	0-7
Gambusia	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Kōura	2	0.2 (0.4)	0-1	10	1.1 (1.3)	0-3		4	0.5 (0.8)	0-2
Goldfish	0	0	0	2	0.2 (0.4)	0-1		0	0	0
N whakaweku	10			9				8		

Table 3-11. Whakaweku catch rates (whakaweku⁻¹) for three sampling occasions in A. Te Weta Bay and B. Otaramarae, showing the number of whakaweku, total number of individuals caught (n), mean catch per unit effort (CPUE), and CPUE ranges. 1 SD in brackets.

3.5.3 Koura population metrics

Fyke nets caught 976 kõura over the 15-day sampling period across the six study sites (29 August to 12 September 2018). Mean CPUE ranged from 0.52 kõura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in Okawa Bay to 8.28 kõura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in Te Arero Bay (Table 3-12). In comparison to baited fyke nets, whakaweku caught far fewer kõura (21 individuals over a 5-month period; Table 3-13). Otaramarae had the highest kõura CPUE of the two sites (0.89 kõura whakaweku⁻¹), and kõura were captured on all three sampling occasions. Whakaweku set in Te Weta Bay caught kõura on only one occasion, during the August sampling period. For both sites, kõura catches using whakaweku peaked at 3-months soak time.

Site-specific mean koura OCLs during the 15-day period ranged from 30.4 mm in Otaramarae to 39.2 mm in Okawa Bay, with koura caught in Okawa Bay being significantly larger (ANOVA P < 0.001) than the other sites (Figure 3-11). Koura caught using fyke nets ranged from 13.4 mm to 53.5 mm OCL, with the smallest koura been caught in Te Arero Bay and largest on the Southern Shoreline. In comparison to fyke nets, koura caught using whakaweku were significantly smaller (ANOVA P < 0.001), with a mean OCL of 15.0 mm. Sizes of the koura caught in whakaweku ranged from 11.0 to 31.0 mm OCL, with the smallest and largest koura caught in Otaramarae.

Fyke nets caught more males than females across all sites, with a male to female ratio of 3.5:1 (n = 976). The lowest proportion of females were in Te Weta Bay were 11.8% of the catch was female, while the highest proportion (26.9%) of females occurred in Te Arero Bay. Of the females caught using fyke nets, approximately half were in berry. The highest proportion of berried females were caught in Otaramarae, with 53.7% of all females in berry. In comparison, whakaweku male to female ratio was 1:1 (n = 21). All females caught in the whakaweku were not in berry.

Table 3-12. Fine-meshed fyke netting data from the habitat survey sampled between 29 August and 12 September 2018, showing the total number of nets set, the percentage of nets that are choked, number of koura, mean CPUE, mean OCL, OCL range, percentage of female koura, percentage of female koura in berry and the percentage of females with juveniles. 1 SD in brackets. Two-way ANOVA *P* value for interaction between OCL x site <0.001.

Site	Catfish density	Total number of nets set	Percentage of nets choked (%)	Number of kōura	Mean CPUE (number net ⁻¹ night ⁻¹)	Mean OCL (mm)	OCL range (mm)	Percent female (%)	Percent soft (%)	Percentage of females in berry (%)	Percentage of females with juveniles (%)
Te Arero Bay	None	40	82.5	331	8.3 (8.5)	31.5 (6.4)	13.4-46.0	26.9	5.1	55.1	1.1
Okere Inlet	Medium	40	65	235	5.9 (7.4)	31.3 (6.2)	15.0-45.0	18.3	5.1	46.5	0
Southern Shoreline	Low	40	65	166	4.3 (7.2)	31.8 (6.1)	17.0-53.5	19.3	4.2	40.6	3.1
Otaramarae	Low	40	80	162	4.1 (7.3)	30.4 (7.0)	14.0-45.0	25.3	4.9	53.7	0
Te Weta Bay	High	72	66.7	51	0.7 (2.0)	30.7 (6.5)	19.0-45.0	11.8	2	33.3	0
Okawa Bay	Low	60	76.7	31	0.5 (1.2)	39.2 (7.8)	23.0-52.0	12.9	3.2	50	0

Table 3-13. Whakaweku koura catches at Te Weta Bay and Otaramarae on three sampling occasions. 1 SD in brackets.

Site	Catfish density	Sampling occasion in 2018	Number of whakaweku	Number of kõura	Mean kōura (whakaweku ⁻¹)	Mean OCL (mm)	OCL range (mm)	Percent female (%)	Percentage of females in berry (%)
		7-Jun	10	0	0				
Te Weta Bay	High	8-Aug	10	5	0.50	14.9 (2.7)	12.0-18.0	56	0
		8-Oct	10	0	0				
		7-Jun	10	2	0.20	12.0 (0.1)	11.3-12.7	50	0
Otaramarae	Low	8-Aug	9	10	1.11	15.3 (5.9)	11.0-31.0	60	0
		8-Oct	8	4	0.50	15.8 (2.5)	13.0-19.0	25	0

A. Length frequency of koura (n = 976) caught in fine-meshed fyke nets (n net nights = 292) at six sites.



B. Length frequency of koura (n = 21) caught in whakaweku at two sites (n = 57) whakaweku hauls).



Figure 3-11. Length frequency of koura caught in A. fine-meshed fyke nets set between August 28 and September 12, 2018 and B. whakaweku (fern bundles) set in Te Weta Bay and Te Karaka Bay, Lake Rotoiti between May and October 2018.

3.5.4 Effectiveness of whakaweku at reducing koura predation

3.5.4.1 Experiment one

Overall koura mortality was low (10%); of the 80 koura used in experiment one, 72 remained at the end of the experiment, with two koura lost from each tank. Koura missing from the treatments without catfish were found to have died through cannibalism or illness, rather than predation. Koura missing from the catfish treatments were not recovered, but catfish stomachs were dissected after the experiment, revealing empty stomachs with only hard remains of fish (e.g., vertebrae and otoliths) from feeding prior to capture. All catfish stomachs were

devoid of koura and bloodworm remains. Mean koura OCL at the start of experiment one was $15.6 (\pm 4.9, SD)$ mm, with a size range of 5.5-25.3 mm (Table 3-14). Mean number of pincers, legs and koura weights were similar at the start and end of the experiment. Koura in the tanks were observed using the whakaweku and cobbles when provided, regardless of catfish presence.

Table 3-14. Mean koura OCLs, OCL ranges, mean number of pincers, mean number of legs, mean weights, and gender ratios at the start and finish of Experiment one. 1SD in brackets.

	Mars OCI	OCI	Mean	Mean	Maan he	Gender ratios
Experiment	(mm)	(mm)	number of	number of	weights (g)	(unknown :male:
	(IIIII)	(IIIII)	pincers	legs	weights (g)	female)
Start	15.6 (4.9)	5.5-25.3	1.7 (0.4)	7.3 (0.9)	3.8 (2.8)	20:34:26
Finish	16.2 (4.2)	10.0-25.5	1.7 (0.4)	7.2 (1.1)	4.1 (2.9)	1:37:34

3.5.4.2 Experiment two

There was no koura mortality during experiment two; all 40 koura at the start of the experiment were present 3 days later at the end. Mean koura size was 19.2 (\pm 1.1, SD) mm OCL, with a size range of 14.0-26.0 mm OCL. All of the koura had two pincers. The sex ratios of the koura were 26:14 male: female. Koura in the tanks could be observed raising their chelae in response to the catfish, and even attacked catfish nipping their tails and whiskers when they approached (Figure 3-12).



Figure 3-12. A kraising its chelae in response to catfish.

3.6 Discussion

The objective of this study was to understand the effects of catfish on koura and native fish species, and to determine the effects of habitat on species' catch rates. This was approached by (i) analysing routine catfish fyke-net monitoring data for 2016-18 for trends in catch rates, and (ii) a habitat survey for koura with finemeshed fyke netting between 29 August and 12 September 2018. The habitat survey was conducted at sites with varying densities of catfish to obtain data on species catch rates, habitat types, and koura metrics (e.g., sizes and sex ratios). Whakaweku (bundles of bracken fern) were also deployed at a high and low catfish density site, to enable further comparisons of koura metrics. Lastly, a series of tank trials were preformed to determine if whakaweku could be deployed in habitats were catfish and koura co-exist to minimise predation.

3.6.1 Effects of mesh size and choked entrances on species catch rates

More fish and kōura were caught in fine-meshed fyke nets than in coarse-meshed nets. The lower catch rates in coarse-meshed nets can be attributed to smaller individuals escaping through the larger mesh. Choking of the nets also had an effect on the capture rates of bullies, goldfish, longfin eels, and kōura, with fewer individuals caught in choked nets. Choking did not have a significant effect on catfish catch rates. Chokes or by-catch reduction devices are often added to fishing gear to avoid the unwanted capture of non-target species (Broadhurst 2000). In this case, the addition of the choke was to avoid the capture of dabchicks, but adding the choke also reduced the catch rate of some fish species and kōura. It is not uncommon for chokes to lower the capture rates of targeted species in nets. For example, the addition of otter guards to eel fyke nets in Danish rivers significantly reduced legal sized eel and salmonid catch rates by 30% and 53-55%, respectively (Koed and Dieperink 2001). Unfortunately, chokes have not made a difference to dabchick by-catch and other solutions are being investigated (G. Ewart, BOPRC, pers. comm.).

3.6.2 Koura and catfish catch rates

Data from fine-meshed fyke netting shows that mean catfish CPUE has increased in Lake Rotoiti between 2016 and 2018. The highest catch rates were in Te Weta Bay, where mean catch rates have increased from 1.1 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016 to 63.7 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2018. The number of sites where catfish have been detected has also increased from six sites in 2016 to 11 sites in 2018, encompassing the whole of the western end of the lake. Catfish catch rates in 2018 from Te Weta Bay were higher than in 2012-13 summer from Waihi, Motuoapa and Whakaipo Bay in Lake Taupo using unbaited fyke nets, which had a mean CPUE of 35.6 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ (*n* net nights = 74; Department of Conservation 2013). Comparisons are somewhat compromised by the use of baits in Lake Rotoiti fyke nets, because bait increased catch rates of catfish and eels 6-fold in Waikato drains (ANOVA *P* = 0.036; Bannon 2001). Catfish expansion in Lake Rotoiti is largely inevitable, with the size, range, and density of catfish likely to increase into the future (Hicks and Allan 2018). This is because the lake has abundant food resources (e.g., koura and bullies) and has adequate catfish habitat, including dense stands of aquatic macrophytes, some rocky outcrops (Barnes 1996), large areas that are <6 m depth (Hicks and Allan 2018), and a number of sheltered bays.

Following catfish detection in 2016, mean kōura catch rates have declined in Lake Rotoiti from 10.6 kōura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016 to 4.2 kōura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2018. This is consistent with long-term monitoring over the last decade (Kusabs and Taiaroa 2015). Potential factors causing kōura decline were identified as catfish, changes in physicochemical conditions following the installation of the Ohau Channel diversion wall in July 2008, and prolific macrophyte growth (Kusabs and Taiaroa 2015). Catfish are most likely to affect kōura abundance in littoral habitats (<10 m deep) where both catfish and kōura co-occur (Kusabs and Taiaroa 2015). The Ohau Channel diversion wall was installed to direct nutrient-rich water from Lake Rotorua into Okere Inlet and down the Kaituna River rather than into the deep basins of Lake Rotoiti (Kusabs 2016).

The installation of the Ohau Channel diversion wall could have influenced koura catch rates in a number of ways. When the wall was installed, water clarity greatly improved in both Lake Rotoiti and Lake Rotorua, lowering primary production in Lake Rotoiti and potentially reducing the food availability for koura (Kusabs 2016). Increased water clarity may have also facilitated the spread of invasive macrophytes in the lake (Kusabs 2016), which could exclude koura from the littoral zone (Hessen et al. 2004). In addition, redirecting the water could have minimised underflow to

the western end of Lake Rotoiti during periods of stratification, potentially reducing mixing and dissolved oxygen dispersion during these times (I. Kusabs, Ian Kusabs and Associates and C. McBride, University of Waikato, pers. comm.).

3.6.3 Relationship between catfish, fish and koura catch rates

Kōura CPUE was negatively correlated with catfish CPUE, suggesting that catfish reduced or competitively excluded kōura from some of the lakes littoral zones through predation or competition. Habitat preferences of catfish also differ to those of kōura, and may be contributing to the negative relationship. Catfish typically prefer shallow depths (<12 m), and habitats that are muddy or weedy (Scott and Crossman 1973). Data from this study supports this, with significantly more catfish caught on muddy substrates and sites with dense aquatic macrophytes. In contrast, kōura have been positively associated with rocky habitats and deeper waters (Devcich 1979), and negatively associated with weedy (Hessen et al. 2004) and muddy habitats (Devcich 1979). Similar relationships were observed in this study, with broken rock substrates resulting in higher catch rates of kōura than nets set over sand, silt, mud and bedrock. Catch rates of goldfish and catfish were positively correlated, with goldfish having similar habitat preferences to catfish of muddy and weedy habitats (Collier and Grainger 2015).

3.6.4 Comparison of koura size between sites

Okawa Bay had the largest kõura OCL (mean 39.2 mm, minimum 23.0 mm) of the sites, mostly because no juveniles were caught there. A possible reason why no juveniles were caught in Okawa Bay is because the bay has limited structural habitat (e.g., wood and cobbles). Large kõura are likely to dominate the limited habitat in the bay (personal observation) and could be excluding juveniles, making them vulnerable to predation (Bergman and Moore 2003; Stewart and Tabak 2011). Sizes of kõura at the other five sites were similar, with mean OCLs ranging from 30.4 mm in Otaramarae, to 31.8 mm on the Southern Shoreline. Compared to fyke nets, whakaweku caught smaller kõura, and sizes of kõura were not different between the sites.

3.6.5 Evaluation of whakaweku and baited fine-meshed fyke nets as koura capture techniques in lake littoral zones

Assessing koura abundances and size structures can be difficult, due to the lack of representative sampling methods (Rabeni et al. 2008; Kusabs and Quinn 2009). In this study, we used two different methods to sample koura populations in Lake Rotoiti, including baited fyke nets and whakaweku. When any capture method is used, the general assumption is that it accurately depicts the population size and characteristics (e.g., sex ratios, size classes, berried females; Rabeni et al. 2008). It is also important to understand the technique's limitations (Rabeni et al. 2008). Fishing of Lake Rotoiti found baited fine-meshed fyke nets were biased towards the capture of larger individuals and male koura. Other studies have also found baited traps to be biased towards the capture of males (Devcich 1979; Capelli and Magnuson 1983), with one study in Lake Rotoiti catching male to females at a ratio of 2.1:1 (n koura = 4207; Devcich 1979). Selectivity towards larger individuals could be due to the dominance hierarchy in koura, where larger individuals are more dominant, increasing their chances of winning agonistic encounters, gaining access to high quality habitat, food, and space (Bergman and Moore 2003; Stewart and Tabak 2011). Males are also typically more aggressive than females (Bruski and Dunham 1987).

Whakaweku caught an even sex ratio of kõura (10:11 male to females, M:F), compared to baited fyke nets that were biased towards the capture of male kõura (761:215 M:F). Whakaweku sex ratios were similar to what tau kõura set in Lake Rotoiti caught (817:647 M:F; Kusabs 2015). Whakaweku also caught significantly smaller individuals (ANOVA P < 0.001) than baited fyke nets. Higher catch rates of juvenile kõura are likely because most of the juvenile population permanently resides in the shallows; and their sensitivity to light (>150-205 lux) makes them seek out shelter (Devcich 1979). Additionally, only 10-20% of the adult population occupy the shallows with most living in the depths, which may explain the lower catch rates of larger kõura in the whakaweku (Devcich 1979). Adult kõura move into the shallows at night to feed (Devcich 1979), which is presumably when they enter the baited fyke nets to gain shelter or food.

It is unclear why whakaweku caught so few koura given the long soak time of 5 months and their success in deeper water (3-20 m; Kusabs and Quinn 2009). We

hypothesised that it would take >2 weeks for koura to colonise the whakaweku, as it takes time for koura to locate whakaweku and for invertebrate species to colonise them (Kusabs et al. 2018). In our study, koura numbers peaked after a 3-month soak time, and the highest mean koura CPUE was low (1.89 ± 1.27, SD koura whakaweku⁻¹) compared to the mean CPUE of 25.5 to 96.7 koura whakaweku⁻¹ recorded by Kusabs and Quinn (2009) for tau koura. Cooler water temperatures over the June-October period were a potential influence, as koura activity and trapping rates are often reduced during cold water periods (Momot and Gowing 1972). For example, Momot and Gowing (1972) trapped more crayfish (Orconectes virilis) in Marl Lakes, USA, in summer than in autumn, and when temperatures were <10°C crayfish activity reduced rapidly. Abundances of other crayfish species (Pacifastacus leniusculus) have also been related to water temperatures, with catch rates peaking at 18-21°C (Usio et al. 2006). This theory is supported by fyke net CPUE analysis where season was found to be a predictor of koura trapping rates in routine monitoring, with catch rates in summer being double of that in any other season. The optimum water temperature for koura is 19°C (Jones 1981). Water temperatures in May 2018 (late autumn) when the whakaweku were deployed averaged 14.3°C and 14.7°C in Otaramarae and Te Weta Bay, respectively, but dropped in winter to mean temperatures of 11.5°C and 11.6°C, respectively. Water temperatures can also influence lake stratification, which affects bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations and the distribution of koura. In summer, koura in Lake Rotoiti occupied depths of 5-10 m, which may contribute to the higher summer catch rates (Devcich 1979). When the thermocline broke down in late autumn, koura re-dispersed to depths of up to 50 m (Devcich 1979).

There is an abundance of structural habitat along the lake shorelines of Te Weta Bay and Otaramarae, mainly in-lake vegetation, macrophyte beds, root wads, and fallen trees. Although there was no statistical relationship between in-lake vegetation and wood, and koura abundance, such bodies provide structural complexity and have been closely associated with koura abundances in streams (Jowett et al. 2008). The abundance of habitat complexity in the lake littoral zone may make the whakaweku less attractive to koura. In contrast, at depth, habitat complexity is reduced with only soft mud and the occasional rocky outcrop available (Devcich 1979). Whakaweku set as a tau koura (i.e., attached to a rope line) at these depths perform better than in the littoral zone. For example, a tau

kōura set at 11-16 m depth just outside Te Weta Bay, when sampled in April, July and November 2009, produced mean kōura catches of 32.4, 21.9, 10.9 kōura whakaweku⁻¹, respectively (Kusabs et al. 2015a). More recently, however, the same tau kōura caught 3.1 kōura whakaweku⁻¹ in August and 4.9 whakaweku⁻¹ in November 2018 (I. Kusabs, Ian Kusabs and Associates, pers. comm.). Tau kōura also work well on bedrock. A tau kōura set in Okere Inlet at 3-6 m depth on bedrock had a mean catch rate of 33.8 kōura whakaweku⁻¹ in August and 28.7 kōura whakaweku⁻¹ in November 2018 (I. Kusabs, Ian Kusabs, Ian Kusabs and Associates, pers. comm.). The higher kōura catch rates in these deeper waters and less structurally complex habitats may be because the whakaweku provide a form of structural complexity in areas with little available habitat (Kusabs et al. 2018).

3.6.6 Effectiveness of whakaweku at remediating koura predation

There was little evidence to suggest that catfish had consumed koura in either of the tank experiments. In experiment one, the lack of koura predation by catfish was attributed to the presence of cobbles in all tanks providing sufficient refuge to avoid predation. A refuge experiment conducted in tanks that compared the effectiveness of cobbles and gravel as shelter for juvenile koura found that survival was highest in cobble substrates, regardless of catfish presence, with koura survival rates of 95 \pm 6% (mean \pm 1 SD) (Clearwater et al. 2018). Other studies have also found that cobbles are the most important factor relating to koura abundance, providing effective refuge from predators and cannibalism (Capelli and Magnuson 1983; Lodge and Hill 1994; Johnsen and Taugbøl 2008; Kusabs et al. 2015a; Ramberg-Pihl et al. 2017). Because of the effectiveness of cobbles at providing shelter, cobbles were not used in the tanks for our second experiment. However, still no koura were consumed by catfish, even in the absence of whakaweku (i.e., no habitat) with catfish treatment. This result was unexpected, given catfish were observed coming in contact with the koura on multiple occasions (personal observation).

Mean koura size used in the experiments was 16.6 mm OCL (OCL range 5.5–26.0 mm). These sizes were larger than juvenile koura used by Clearwater *et al.* (2018), which had a mean size of 3.9 (\pm 0.3, SD) mm OCL. Juvenile crayfish are more vulnerable to predatory fish consumption because of their small size, softer

exoskeletons, with their vulnerability decreasing with size due to the gape limitations of these predators (DiDonato and Lodge 1993). The larger crayfish used in the experiments were also observed displaying effective defensive behaviour. Threat displays are used by koura as an anti-predator response when a predator is physically near (Shave et al. 1994).

Catfish used in the current experiments had a mean size of 230 mm FL, and were the same size or larger than the catfish from Lake Taupo that had consumed koura (>150 mm FL; Barnes 1996). As the koura used in this experiment were within the size range that catfish were capable of consuming, we conclude that koura aggression towards catfish and the naivety of the catfish towards koura reduced predation. Catfish used in the experiments had not encountered koura before the tank trials. Catfish may have also been too stressed to feed.

Although there was no evidence of koura predation in the treatments, koura were still observed actively seeking out and utilising the whakaweku and cobble habitat when provided. In other experimental studies, utilisation of cobble and other habitats by crayfish is a common response to predatory fish to avoid predation (Blake and Heart 1993). Whakaweku in the tanks gave koura a structured habitat that they utilize in the same way that they use natural refugia (Kusabs and Quinn 2009). When deployed, whakaweku can last up to 6 months in eutrophic lakes and 2 years in oligotrophic lakes, they are easy and cheap to construct, and can be set at any depth (>0.2 m; Kusabs and Quinn 2009; Kusabs et al. 2018). These factors make whakaweku an effective sampling tool, and a means to add additional habitat where it is lacking (e.g., on sandy or muddy substrates or bedrock). However, it is possible for catfish to also utilise the whakaweku, as was observed during the tank trials. During the seasonal sampling of the tau koura on the 15 November, 2018 a small catfish (97 mm FL) was also found in a bundle at 13.3 m depth; suggesting that catfish utilisation of the bundles, could pose a threat to the fish and koura inside (I. Kusabs, Ian Kusabs and Associates, pers. comm.).

3.7 Conclusion

Koura catch rates have significantly declined in Lake Rotoiti over the last decade (Kusabs 2016), and we conclude that brown bullhead catfish are primarily

responsible. Other factors that could have led to the decline of koura are the spread of exotic macrophytes and changes in physicochemical conditions. In our study, catfish were the only species to be negatively correlated with koura abundance. The inverse relationship between catfish and koura was thought to be due to direct consumption of koura, and competitive exclusion from the littoral zone. Habitat preferences could have contributed to the inverse relationship between catfish and koura, but declining koura catch rates in Te Weta Bay as catfish numbers increased from 2016 to 2018 suggest that the impact of catfish is real. Habitat does influence koura catch rates, which were highest on broken rock substrates, while catfish were associated with mud substrates and macrophytes. Koaro abundances were not negatively correlated with catfish, suggesting they may not be potential prey items of catfish. Further research is needed to observe the effects of exotic macrophytes and physicochemical changes on koura in the lake.

Whakaweku were unsuccessful at catching koura in the littoral zones at depths <1 m in Lake Rotoiti, which was attributed to reduced autumn catch rates, abundant alternative habitat along the lake shoreline, and the fact that the majority of the adult koura population lives at 3-20 m depth. Although whakaweku caught few koura in shallow depths in the littoral zone, koura used whakaweku in experimental trials. It is possible that koura would use whakaweku in the deeper littoral zone, but the extensive macrophyte growth makes this very difficult to test.

3.8 References

- Bannon HJ. 2001. Biological responses to grass carp (*Ctenopharnygodon idella*) in Waikato drains [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Barnes G. 1996. The biology and general ecology of the brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) in Lake Taupo [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Barnes GE, Hicks BJ. 2003. Brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) in Lake Taupo. Wellington, N.Z.: Department of Conservation.
- Bay of Plenty Regional Council. 2018. Lake Rotoiti at Okawa Bay: lake level [cited 15/02/19]. Available from https://monitoring.boprc.govt.nz/MonitoredSites.
- Bergman DA, Moore PA. 2003. Field observations of intraspecific agonistic behavior of two crayfish species, *Orconectes rusticus* and *Orconectes virilis*, in different habitats. Biological Bulletin 205:26-35.
- Blair JM, Hicks BJ, Pitkethley R, Ling N. 2012. Diet of rainbow trout in Lake Rotoiti: an energetic perspective. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 46:557-565.
- Blake MA, Heart PJB. 1993. The behavioural responses of juvenile signal crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus* to stimuli from perch and eels. Freshwater Biology 29:89-97.
- Broadhurst MK. 2000. Modifications to reduce bycatch in prawn trawls: a review and framework for development. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 10:27-60.
- Bruski CA, Dunham DW. 1987. The importance of vision in agonistic communication of the crayfish *Orconectes Rusticus*. I: an analysis of bout dynamics. Behaviour 103:83-107.
- Capelli GM, Magnuson JJ. 1983. Morphoedaphic and biogeographic analysis of crayfish distribution in Northern Wisconsin. Journal of Crustacean Biology 3:548-564.
- Clearwater SJ, Graham ES, Albert MA, Bell S, Thompson KJ, Martin ML. 2018. Managing predation to increase the survival of juvenile freshwater crayfish (*Paranephrops planifrons*). Poster presented at: New Zealand Freshwater Sciences Society Conference, Nelson, NZ; 20/12/17; Nelson, N.Z.

- Clearwater SJ, Kusabs IA, Budd R, Bowman E. 2014. Strategic evaluation of koura populations in the upper Waikato River. Hamilton, N.Z.: NIWA.
- Collier K, Francis L, Garrett-Walker J, Melchior M, Özkundacki D, Pingram MA. 2018. Trophic overlap between non-native brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) and native shortfin eel (*Anguilla australis*) in contrasting shallow lakes. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 27:888–897.
- Collier KJ, Grainger N. 2015. Introduction to invasive fish. In: New Zealand Invasive Fish Management Handbook. Hamilton, N.Z.: LERNZ; The University of Waikato and Department of Conservation; p. 3-6.
- Department of Conservation. 2013. Lake Taupo catfish monitoring programme 2012/13 annual report. Department of Conservation, Taupo, N.Z.
- Devcich AA. 1979. An ecological study of *Paranephrops planifrons* white (Decapoda: Parastacidae) in Lake Rotoiti, North Island [PhD thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- DiDonato GT, Lodge DM. 1993. Species replacements among Orconectes crayfishes in Wisconsin lakes: the role of predation by fish. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50:1484-1488.
- Hessen DO, Skurdal J, Braathen JE. 2004. Plant exclusion of a herbivore; crayfish population decline caused by an invading waterweed. Biological Invasions 6:133-140.
- Hicks BJ. 1997. Food webs in forest and pasture streams in the Waikato region, New Zealand: a study based on analyses of stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen, and fish gut contents. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 31:651-664.
- Hicks BJ, Allan MG. 2018. Estimation of potential contributions of brown bullhead catfish to the nutrient budgets of lakes Rotorua and Rotoiti. Environmental Research Institute, The University of Waikato, Hamilton, N.Z.: E.R.I report 115.
- Johnsen S, Taugbøl T. 2008. Add stones, get crayfish is it that simple? Freshwater Crayfish 16:47-50.
- Jones JB. 1981. Growth of two species of freshwater crayfish (*Paranephrops* spp.) in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 15:15-20.

- Jowett IG, Parkyn SM, Richardson J. 2008. Habitat characteristics of crayfish (*Paranephrops planifrons*) in New Zealand streams using generalised additive models (GAMs). Hydrobiologia 596:353-365.
- Kershner MW, Lodge DM. 1995. Effects of littoral habitat and fish predation on the distribution of an exotic crayfish, *Orconectes rusticus*. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 14:414-422.
- Koed A, Dieperink C. 2001. Otter guards in river fyke-net fisheries: effects on catches of eels and salmonids. Fisheries Management and Ecology 6:63-69.
- Kusabs IA. 2015. Kōura (*Paranephrops planifrons*) populations in the Te Arawa lakes: An ecological assessment using the traditional Māori tau kōura harvesting method and recommendations for sustainable management [PhD thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Kusabs IA. 2016. Ohau Channel diversion wall: Monitoring of koura and kakahi populations in the Okere Arm and Lake Rotoiti. Rotorua, N.Z.: Bay of Plenty Regional Council.
- Kusabs IA, Hicks BJ, Quinn JM, Hamilton DP. 2015b. Sustainable management of freshwater crayfish (koura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Te Arawa (Rotorua) lakes, North Island, New Zealand. Fisheries Research 168:35-46.
- Kusabs IA, Hicks BJ, Quinn JM, Perry WL, Whaanga H. 2018. Evaluation of a traditional Māori harvesting method for sampling koura (freshwater crayfish, *Paranephrops planifrons*) and toi toi (bully, *Gobiomorphus* spp.) populations in two New Zealand streams. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 52:1-23.
- Kusabs IA, Quinn JM. 2009. Use of a traditional Māori harvesting method, the tau kõura, for monitoring kõura (freshwater crayfish, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Lake Rotoiti, North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 43:713-722.
- Kusabs IA, Quinn JM, Hamilton DP. 2015a. Effects of benthic substrate, nutrient enrichment and predatory fish on freshwater crayfish (koura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) population characteristics in seven Te Arawa (Rotorua) lakes, North Island, New Zealand. Marine and Freshwater Research 66:631-643.
- Kusabs IA, Taiaroa R. 2015. Kõura populations in Lake Taupō and comments on the effects of catfish. Rotorua, N.Z.: Ian Kusabs & Associates Ltd and Tūwharetoa Māori Trust Board.

- Lodge DM, Hill AM. 1994. Factors governing species composition, population size, and productivity of coolwater crayfishes. Nordic Journal for Freshwater Resources 69:111-136.
- Martin M, Boubee J, Kusabs IA. 2007. Taonga and mahinga kai of the Te Arawa lakes: a review of current knowledge tuna. Hamilton, N.Z.: National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research.
- Momot WT, Gowing H. 1972. Differential seasonal migration of the crayfish, *Orconectes virilis* (Hagen) in Marl Lakes. Ecology 53:479-483.
- Nyström PER, Stenroth P, Holmqvist N, Berglund O, Larsson PER, Granèli W. 2006. Crayfish in lakes and streams: individual and population responses to predation, productivity and substratum availability. Freshwater Biology 51:2096-2113.
- Parkyn S, Kusabs I. 2007. Toanga and mahinga kai species of the Te Arawa lakes a review of current knowlege kōura. Hamilton, N.Z.: NIWA.
- Rabeni CF, Collier KJ, Parkyn SM, Hicks BJ. 2008. Evaluating techniques for sampling stream crayfish (*Paranephrops planifrons*). New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 31:693-700.
- Ramberg-Pihl NC, Yurewicz KL, Boucher TR. 2017. The influence of lake attributes and predatory bass on the distribution of northern crayfish (*Orconectes virilis*) in central New Hampshire. Canadian Journal of Zoology 96:87-95.
- Scott WB, Crossman EJ. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Bulletin 184. Fisheries Research Board of Canada 1973. International Review of Hydrobiology 1-102.
- Shave CR, Townsend CR, Crowl TA. 1994. Anti-predator behaviours of a freshwater crayfish (*Paranephrops zealandicus*) to a native and an introduced predator. New Zealand journal of ecology 18:1-10.
- Stein RA. 1977. Selective predation, optimal foraging, and the predator-prey interaction between fish and crayfish. Ecology 58:1237-1253.
- Stein RA, Magnuson JJ. 1976. Behavioral response of crayfish to a fish predator. Ecology 57:751-761.

- Stewart C, Tabak J. 2011. Size-based dominance hierarchies in the New Zealand freshwater crayfish (koura) *Paranephrops zealandicus*. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 45:281-285.
- Usio N, Nakajima H, Kamiyama R, Wakana I, Hiruta S, Takamura N. 2006. Predicting the distribution of invasive crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) in a Kusiro Moor marsh (Japan) using classification and regression trees. Ecological Research 21:271-277.
- Usio N, Townsend CR. 2000. Distribution of the New Zealand crayfish *Paranephrops zealandicus* in relation to stream physico-chemistry, predatory fish, and invertebrate prey. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 34:557-567.
- von Westernhagen N. 2010. Measurements and modelling of eutrophication processes in Lake Rotoiti, New Zealand [PhD thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Westman K. Year. Effects of habitat modification on freshwater crayfish. Symposium on Habitat Modification and Freshwater Fisheries. p. 245–255.

4 Chapter 4

Catfish consumption of koura in Lake Rotoiti and competition for shared food resources ²

4.1 Abstract

Invasive brown bullhead catfish (Ameiurus nebulosus) were first discovered in Lake Rotoiti in the Bay of Plenty region in March 2016. The presence of catfish in the lake is concerning given the carnivorous and opportunistic feeding habits of catfish as well as the lake's resident populations of freshwater crayfish or koura (Paranephrops planifrons) and koaro (Galaxias brevipinnis), which have ecological and cultural significance to Māori. Previous studies have demonstrated that koura are important prey items of catfish, and koaro could be important food items of catfish due to their small size. In this study, we assessed the threat that catfish pose to koura and native fish species in Lake Rotoiti by analysing catfish and koura stomach contents, in combination with stable isotope analysis to determine resource acquisition and dietary overlap. Catfish diet consisted largely of chironomid larvae (Chironomidae), detritus, common bullies (Gobiomorphus cotidianus), and to a lesser extent koura. Koura were found in 12% of large catfish stomachs (>200 mm fork length; FL) analysed, and contributed 5% volumetrically to large catfish diet. This suggests that the effects of catfish on koura will likely increase in the future, as the number of adult catfish increases in the lake. Diets of koura consisted primarily of animal remains (common bullies and invertebrates) and detritus. Stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen revealed that diets of koura and catfish overlapped, with koura sharing between 30-90% of their niche space with catfish, and catfish sharing between 12-79% of their niche space with koura. This research determined that catfish can directly and indirectly affect koura through consumption and competition for shared food resources.

² Francis LB and Hicks BJ. In preparation. Catfish consumption of koura in Lake Rotoiti and competition for shared food resources. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research.

4.2 Introduction

Determination of animal diet and its trophic position in the food web has traditionally been based on stomach contents. Although stomach contents of an animal provides useful dietary information, it only provides a glimpse at what the organism has eaten over the last one to two days and is subject to human error (Mann and Orr 1969). Dietary items in the animal's gut are often difficult to identify due to food items undergoing digestion (Gannon 1976). Items of small size such as invertebrates and organic matter can also be difficult to accurately identify and count, and are therefore, potentially misrepresented. The more recent development and use of stable isotope analysis (SIA) has provided ecologists with another means to trace flows of organic carbon and nitrogen through food webs, and is a major advancement on traditional methods as it provides long-term integrated dietary information, reducing temporal bias (Post 2002).

Isotopes (both stable and radioactive) are atoms of the same element that differ in their atomic masses due to having different numbers of neutrons (Ehleringer and Rundel 1988; Krigbaum 2008). Slight differences in mass gives each isotope a unique set of physical properties, which results in their variation or fractionation in natural systems, with a tendency to favour the lighter isotope (Ehleringer and Rundel 1988; Krigbaum 2008). It is this variation (i.e., the proportion of heavier to lighter isotope) that SIA is concerned with, as each organism holds a unique isotopic signature that can be measured and used for identification purposes (Krigbaum 2008). Stable isotopes frequently used in ecology include isotopes of carbon and nitrogen (Rounick and Winterbourn 1986). Carbon has two stable isotopes consisting of ¹²C and ¹³C, with natural abundances of 98.89 and 1.11 atom %, respectively. Similarly, nitrogen has two stable isotopes consisting of ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N, with natural abundances of 99.63 and 0.37 atom %, respectively (Ehleringer and Rundel 1988). To express the ratio of the heavier isotope to the lighter isotope (e.g., $^{13}C/^{12}C$ and $^{15}N/^{14}N$) the standard notation δ (delta) is used, which compares the sample against a known standard material giving a value in parts per mil or parts per thousand (‰) (Krigbaum 2008).

Isotopic signatures of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C of basal resources and consumers can be used to indicate an organism's relative positioning in a food web, enabling inferences to be made about trophic structure and diet (Elsdon et al. 2010). Values of δ^{13} C vary

among basal resources due to the photosynthetic pathway and the carbon source (e.g., CO₂ in air and HCO₃⁻ in water) used (Ehleringer and Rundel 1988; Krigbaum 2008), but change little among trophic transfers making them good indicators of important organic carbon sources for animal nutrition (DeNiro and Epstein 1978). In comparison, nitrogen is preferentially incorporated into the tissues of the animal relative to its diet, resulting in an orderly enrichment of the heavier ¹⁵N isotope with each trophic level increase (DeNiro and Epstein 1981). The systematic enrichment of ¹⁵N with each trophic level can be used as an indicator of an organisms' positioning in a food web and is referred to as a trophic shift (Focken & Becker, 1998; Kelly, 2000). With each trophic shift, organisms theoretically become consistently enriched with a >3‰ increase in δ^{15} N (DeNiro and Epstein 1981) and a 0-1% increase in δ^{13} C (DeNiro and Epstein 1978), compared to their food source, although increases are not always consistent (McCutchan et al. 2003). Variations in isotope ratios arise from differences in animal diet, differential digestion rates (McCutchan et al. 2003), discrimination of particular enzymes, and preferences for certain isotopic species (Ehleringer and Rundel 1988; Focken and Becker 1998; Birchall et al. 2005).

Stable isotope plots provide a useful tool to show ecological responses to anthropogenic impacts, including pest fish introductions (Pilger et al. 2010; Collier et al. 2018). Isotopes of carbon and nitrogen can be used to show if a species diet is being restricted and can identify if there is potential competition over a shared food resource (Pilger et al. 2010; Collier et al. 2018). Brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) is an invasive fish from North America, which was confirmed present in Lake Rotoiti in the Bay of Plenty region in 2016 (Bay of Plenty Regional Council 2018a). There are several negative impacts associated with the fish; two of which are the formation of novel food webs (Scott and Crossman 1973; Collier et al. 2018), and the consumption of indigenous biota (Barnes 1996).

Catfish are opportunistic feeders that consume a wide variety of food types and have been implicated with sharing a similar trophic niche with native eels (*Anguilla* spp.) (Collier et al. 2018). Catfish are also renowned for consuming large amounts of small native fish such as common bullies (*Gobiomorphus cotidianus*) (Collier et al. 2018), and consume a number of invertebrates, ranging from small (e.g., chironomids) to large (e.g., kōura) size (Barnes 1996; Barnes and Hicks 2003).

Investigation into the consumption of freshwater crayfish or koura (*Paranephrops planifrons*) and native fish species by catfish and dietary overlap is of particular interest in this current study, as koura and koaro (*Galaxias brevipinnis*) are taonga to the local iwi and the effects of catfish on native species are yet to be quantified for the lake.

4.3 Aims and objectives

The main aim of this chapter is to determine whether catfish pose a significant threat to koura and native fish species in Lake Rotoiti through predation or competition for shared food resources. This was approached by (i) analysing catfish and koura gut contents in combination with stable isotopes to quantify patterns of resource use over time, (ii) determining the degree of dietary overlap between catfish, koura, goldfish and bullies, and (iii) observing if catfish diet changes with increasing size. The secondary objective of this study was to create a gape-length equation for fresh and frozen catfish, so catfish length could be used to predict gape size. Although not in the scope in this study, gape size can be used to make inferences about a fish's feeding habitats, by relating gape size to the number and size of prey consumed (e.g., Boubee and Ward 1997).

4.4 Methods

4.4.1 Study area

Lake Rotoiti (38.039895°S, 176.345803°E; Figure 4-1) is one of 12 major lakes in the Bay of Plenty Region, collectively known as the Te Arawa lakes (Kusabs et al. 2015b), situated about 278 m a.s.l on the Central Volcanic Plateau, North Island, New Zealand (von Westernhagen 2010). Lake Rotoiti is deep (maximum depth 124 m, average depth 32 m), large (surface area 34.6 km²), warm, monomictic, and mesotrophic (von Westernhagen 2010). The lake stratifies for nine months of the year, mixing in late autumn (Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Currently, 24% of the catchment area of Lake Rotoiti is used for agriculture, 43% is forest, and 1% is urban (Bruesewitz et al. 2011).

Six sites were chosen at the western end of Lake Rotoiti (Okawa Bay, Southern Shoreline, Te Weta Bay, Okere Inlet, Otaramarae, and Te Arero Bay; Figure 4-1) for dietary analyses based on catfish densities.



Figure 4-1. Location of Lake Rotoiti in the Bay of Plenty region and the six study sites.

4.4.2 Field sample collection

Samples were collected from the six sites around Lake Rotoiti from 15 April 2016 to 25 October 2018. Potential catfish food items including common smelt (Retropinna retropinna), common bullies, goldfish (Carassius auratus), gambusia (Gambusia affinis), koura, dragonfly larvae (Hemicordulia australiae), damselfly zealandica), (Xanthocnemis chironomid larvae (Chironomidae), larvae oligochaetes, snails (*Physa* spp.), water boatmen (*Corixidae sigara*), zooplankton, phytoplankton, seston, terrestrial vegetation, coarse particulate organic matter (CPOM), periphyton and submerged macrophytes were collected during 2018 for stable isotope analysis. Catfish and other potential predators of koura including rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and longfin eels (Anguilla dieffenbachii) were also collected for analysis. Trout flesh was obtained from local fisherman and clips of longfin eel fin were collected from BOPRC fyke net catches. Longfin eel fin clips are very similar isotopically to longfin muscle tissue, and offer a nondestructive alternative (Smith et al. In preparation).

Fish and kōura were caught using baited fyke nets set overnight. Upon capture, fish and kōura were immediately placed on ice and frozen for later analysis. Snails, dragonfly larvae, and water boatmen were obtained from the lake's littoral zone by opportunistically sweep-netting vegetation. Sweep-net samples were washed in a sieve bucket before being bagged by site and placed on ice. In addition to collecting invertebrates, left-over plant material obtained from sweep netting was used as CPOM (fragment size >500 μ m). Samples of damselfly larvae were obtained from the whakaweku set in shallow waters (<1 m). A Ponar grab sampler or a coarsemesh sieve was used to collect oligochaetes and chironomid larvae. Material collected in the Ponor grab was washed in a sieve bucket before being placed on ice for separation back in the lab. Sorted invertebrates were placed in plastic bags and frozen until analysis.

Samples of zooplankton and phytoplankton were collected using a 40-µm mesh plankton tow net in winter and a 25-µm mesh net in summer. The net was towed horizontally for 9 m in the littoral zone three times and samples were pooled for each site. Any organic material larger than zooplankton caught in the net was manually removed. The resulting sample was poured into a polypropylene bottle, before being stored on ice for later separation in the lab. Vegetation samples were

obtained by picking off the actively growing tips of macrophytes, and taking the leaves off common terrestrial plants. Aquatic samples were shaken vigorously in the lake to remove epiphytic algae before being bagged by species and placed on ice. To obtain a sample of periphyton, rocks were scraped using a spoon. The resulting material was bagged and immediately placed on ice.

4.4.3 Catfish and koura stomach contents analysis

Catfish (n = 238, fork length range 51-365 mm) were collected for analysis from the BOPRC freezers that had been caught from various sites around Lake Rotoiti between 15 April 2016 and 25 October 2018 (Table 4-1). Catfish were thawed out overnight or placed in a warm water bath for several hours. Each catfish was weighed to the nearest 0.1 g and had its fork length (snout to tail fork) measured to the nearest 0.01 mm and classified into one of three class sizes (small <100 mm, medium 100-200 mm and large >200 mm), before removing its gut. For the first 100 catfish, only the stomach was removed for analysis. However, it was thought that important dietary information was being missed. As such, in subsequent dissections, the oesophagus, stomach, and lower intestines were removed from the animal. Stomachs were stored in labelled vials containing 75% ethanol for later analysis. Koura (n = 28, OCL range 21.1–31.7 mm) were also collected for analysis from the six main study sites between 13 June and 31 August 2018 (Table 4-2). Koura were thawed overnight to enable stomach and flesh extraction. Each koura was weighed to the nearest 0.1 g, had its gender recorded, and orbital-carapace length (OCL) measured to the nearest millimetre, before having its stomach removed and placed in ethanol.

Prior to stomach contents analysis, the fullness of each catfish or koura gut was estimated as empty, trace, half full, full, and distended, before being cut open using a scalpel blade. For catfish, the contents of the stomach and intestines were flushed out with tap water into a 100- μ m mesh sieve and transferred onto a white square plastic tray (11.5 cm x 11.5 cm). Using a dissection microscope at 10 x magnification, stomach items were identified and recorded to the lowest possible taxa. Two separate methods were used to estimate the contribution of different food items to the diet of catfish diet. Firstly, the occurrence method was used that counts the number of times a species occurs in the catfish's stomach. Secondly, the displacement method was used to obtain the contribution of each food group (koura, dipterans, fish, detritus, unidentified animal matter and other invertebrates) to catfish diet. Food items were separated into food groups and placed on mesh sieves to remove excess water, before being placed in a measuring cylinder to record water displacement. Water displacement by each food group was divided by the combined water displacement to give a percentage contribution for each food group.

Kōura gut contents were washed out onto a clear, circular petri dish (8.5 cm diameter), which was placed on top of a white square plastic tray (11.5 cm x 11.5 cm), with a 1 cm x 1 cm grid pattern. Using a dissection microscope, items were identified and percentage cover was estimated in 10 randomly selected grid squares (1 cm x 1 cm). Food items were identified to the lowest possible taxon practicable.

Table 4-1. Number of small, medium and large catfish stomachs analysed from April 2016 to October 2018. Catfish used in the analysis were collected from Ohau Channel and Delta, Okawa Bay, Okere Inlet, Otaramarae, outside Te Weta Bay, Southern Shoreline and Te Weta Bay.

Site	Small (<100 mm)	Medium (100-200 mm)	Large (>200 mm)	<i>n</i> stomachs
Ohau Channel and Delta	6			6
Okawa Bay	9	5	7	21
Okere Inlet	7	14	10	31
Otaramarae	5	5	2	12
Outside Te Weta Bay			3	3
Southern Shoreline	14	16	7	37
Te Weta Bay	40	33	55	128
N stomachs	81	73	84	238

Table 4-2. Numbers, OCL ranges, weight ranges and gender ratios of koura (n = 28) collected from the six study sites in Lake Rotoiti for gut contents and stable analysis.

Site	Number of koura	OCL range (mm)	Weight range (g)	Gender ratios (m: f)
Okawa Bay	2	21.6-30.0	7.2-19.4	2:0
Okere Inlet	5	21.9-27.4	6.8-15.3	4: 1
Otaramarae	5	23.9-31.7	14.1-24.1	3:2
Southern Shoreline	5	24.8-30.9	10.1-19.8	3: 2
Te Arero Bay	5	22.1-29.6	6.8-16.9	3: 2
Te Weta Bay	6	21.1 29.7	6.8-17.0	5:1
Summary	28	21.1-31.7	6.8–24.1	20:8

4.4.4 Isotope analysis

Stable isotope analyses were performed at the University of Waikato Stable Isotope Unit using a fully automated Europa Scientific continuous flow 20/20 mass spectrometer. Stable isotope values of carbon ($^{13}C/^{12}C$) and nitrogen ($^{15}N/^{14}N$) were given as δ values (Equation 1), which were expressed against the reference standard leucine, which was calibrated against Pee Dee Belemnite for $\delta^{13}C$, and nitrogen in air for $\delta^{15}N$. Precision of the analyser was approximately 0.3‰ for $\delta^{13}C$ and 0.5‰ for $\delta^{15}N$.

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & Equation \ 1: \\ \delta^{13}C \ or \ \delta^{15}N = [(R_{sample}/R_{standard})\text{--}1] \times 1000 \end{split}$$

R is the ratio of ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ or ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$ of the sample or standard

Fish and crayfish were measured to the nearest 1 mm for either fork length or orbital-carapace length (OCL), weighed to the nearest 0.1 g, and sex determined if possible. Plugs of white muscle tissue were taken from below the dorsal fin in larger fish, and from both sides in smelt and bullies, as white muscle was shown to be less isotopically variable than other tissues (Pinnegar and Polunin 1999). Muscle tissue was examined for skin or scales and bones, which were removed before samples were dried and ground. For crayfish, samples of white abdominal muscle were taken from the tail region. All fish and crayfish were analysed individually, where the size (>2 mg) of the sample permitted. The smallest fish species, gambusia, were decapitated, and gut tracts were removed.

Invertebrates were sorted into taxonomic groups, and when necessary, individuals were pooled to produce a sufficient sample for analysis. Snails were removed from their shells prior to analysis, to avoid carbonate contamination (Carabel et al. 2006). The guts of dragonfly larvae and damselfly larvae were removed before being dried. All animal samples were dried at 50°C for 48 h and subsequently ground.

Winter phytoplankton and zooplankton samples were poured through a 100-µm sieve in the lab in an attempt to separate out the larger zooplankton from the phytoplankton. A small mesh size was used as the samples were lacking larger crustaceans, and contained smaller zooplankton species (I. Duggan, University of Waikato, pers. comm.). Autumn seston samples were analysed whole as there were

few zooplankton. The resulting samples were dried at 50°C for 48 h and subsequently ground for analysis.

Tips of macrophytes were thoroughly cleaned of algae before drying. All samples were washed with deionized water several times before being dried in aluminium cups at 50°C for 48 h. Coarse particulate organic matter was cleaned using tap water and sorted of stones and large debris. The remaining fraction was dried at 50°C for 72 h and subsequently ground. Terrestrial leaves were cut up and dried at 50°C for 72 h. The singular periphyton sample was checked for small gravel and foreign vegetation, before being dried at 50°C for 48 h. Samples were ground using a mortar and pestle or a ball mill, which was either wiped with ethanol or washed and dried between samples. For stable isotope analysis, between 2 mg and 7.5 mg of sample was weighed to the nearest 0.01 mg in aluminium cups.

4.4.5 Gape-length relationship in catfish

Fresh catfish (n = 63, fork length range 75-372 mm) were collected from various locations in the Waikato and Bay of Plenty regions including the University of Waikato campus lakes and lakes Rotorua and Rotoiti over a 4-month time period from 26 January to 12 April 2018. Fresh catfish were obtained using various methods, including the university's electrofishing boat, course mesh fyke nets, and an electrofishing backpack (EFM200). Upon being captured, catfish were euthanised using benzocaine and stored at 4°C for analysis. Frozen catfish (n = 65, fork length range 51-355 mm) were obtained from BOPRC freezers, which were caught from Lake Rotoiti between 27 January 2017 and 22 February 2018.

Measurements of mouth gape were taken on freshly euthanised and previously frozen catfish, to see what effect freezing of the fish had on gape size. Prior to measurements being taken, frozen catfish were thawed overnight. To measure gape size, a gape tool (Figure 4-2) was made by University of Waikato workshop staff, which consisted of a hand-held aluminium cone-shaped device with millimetre increments ranging from 2 to 60 mm. The tool was based on the gape tool developed by National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) staff in Hamilton. To measure catfish gape size, the tool was inserted into the fish's mouth and pushed back towards the gill arches until its lips were firmly around the tool,

being careful not to touch the back of the fish's mouth. Measurements of gape were taken when the gape tool could not move further into the mouth without applying excessive force. This was to avoid extending the fish's mouth opening beyond what is naturally achievable. Two gape measurements were taken, including one from the top of the lip and the other from the side of the mouth to the nearest millimetre. Other catfish measurements taken included weight, which was measured using calibrated scales to the nearest gram, and fork length (snout to fork in tail) using a measuring board to the nearest millimetre.



Figure 4-2. Gape tool used to measure catfish gape height and gape width in fresh and frozen catfish.

4.4.6 Statistical analyses

Differences in stable isotope results across sites were analysed by comparing $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values for bullies, smelt, kõura and catfish using a one-way ANOVA. To determine if different sized catfish consumed different foods the mean contribution of each food group (e.g., chironomids and fish) to catfish diet was analysed by catfish size class using a one-way ANOVA. Niche overlap between bullies, goldfish, catfish and kõura in Te Weta Bay was estimated using R package nicheROVER (Swanson et al. 2015).

Individual and combined gape-length relationships of fresh and frozen catfish, were analysed using linear regression models of gape height and gape width as dependent variables, against fork length as the independent variable. Summary statistics including the Y intercept, line slope, degrees of freedom, and the adjusted R² value where calculated. To evaluate whether separate regression models were justified for fresh and frozen catfish, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to test the equality of the two slopes and the equality of the Y intercepts. All analyses were performed in R statistical software version 3.4.1; $\alpha = 0.05$ was used as the critical *P* value.

4.5 Results

4.5.1 Catfish stomach contents analysis

The guts of 238 catfish caught between April 2016 and October 2018 from the main six study sites and two additional sites were analysed for diet. Very few catfish had empty stomachs or gastrointestinal tracts with 12% of all catfish being fully empty, followed by 29% being almost empty with trace remains (Table 4-3).

Table 4-3.The number of small, medium, and large catfish stomachs and the combined percentage of stomachs that are empty, have trace remains, are half full, full, and distended.

Stomach fullness	Small (<100 mm)	Medium (100-200 mm)	Large (>200 mm)	Overall percentage
Empty	11	7	11	12%
Trace remains	29	17	22	29%
Half full	20	6	25	21%
Full	17	17	17	21%
Distended	7	23	9	16%
Number of stomachs analysed	84	70	84	

Catfish diets were highly diverse and contained 29 different food items of animal and plant origin. Food items that were found in less than a combined 10% of catfish size classes were omitted (Table 4-4). These included, from highest to lowest importance, fingernail clams, other terrestrial invertebrates, ticks and mites, gambusia, *Oxyethira* larvae, Lymnaeidae snails, Coleoptera larvae, smelt, goldfish, amphipods, chironomid adults, *Potamopyrgus* snails, free-living caddisfly and stony-cased caddisfly.

Small catfish (<100 mm FL) consumed significantly more (ANOVA *P* <0.001) chironomids than any other size class, with chironomids being the most abundant food item in small catfish guts being found in 77% of stomachs. Guts of small catfish also contained similar amounts of detritus, chironomid pupae, purse caddis larvae (*Paroxyethira hendersoni*), unidentified animal material, and unidentified insects. Volumetrically, chironomid larvae contributed the most to small catfish diet (48%), followed by other invertebrates, and unidentified animal matter (Table 4-5). Fewer small catfish contained Odonata (i.e., damselflies and dragonflies), common bully, snails, unidentified fish, oligochaetes, Hemiptera and isopods than the larger

size classes, and koura were absent from small catfish diet. Of the size classes, small catfish had the highest occurrence of zooplankton in their stomachs.

Chironomid larvae, detritus, Odonata, and common bullies were the most commonly found items in medium (100-200 mm FL) sized catfish guts. Consumption of fish was significantly (ANOVA P < 0.001) higher in medium sized catfish than the other size classes, with ~46% of their diets by volume coming from fish. Medium catfish stomachs also contained the most Odonata and unidentified fish of the catfish size classes, being found in 46% and 32% of stomachs, respectively. Volumetrically, medium sized catfish stomachs contained significantly (ANOVA P < 0.001) less detritus than the other size classes, with an average contribution of 9%. No kōura remains were found in medium sized catfish stomachs.

Large catfish (>200 mm FL) diet consisted of largely detritus and chironomid larvae, which were found in 80% and 66% of stomachs respectively, with lesser equal amounts of chironomid pupa, unidentified animal material and Odonata. Volumetrically, detritus and chironomid larvae contributed the most to large catfish diet. Large catfish stomachs had the highest occurrence of detritus in their stomachs of the size classes and contained the greatest volume of other invertebrates. Furthermore, they were the only size class to contain kōura, with 12% of large stomachs containing kōura (i.e., 9 out of 73 full catfish stomachs contained kōura), contributing an average of 5% to large catfish diet. Catfish that contained kōura were all >240 mm in fork length and were caught in Te Weta Bay.

	Percentage occurrence of food items in catfish size classes							
Food items	Small (<100 mm)	Medium (100-200 mm)	Large (>200 mm)					
Chironomid larvae	77	68	66					
Detritus	36	57	79					
Chironomid pupae	34	33	30					
Purse caddis larvae	24	16	16					
Unidentified animal flesh	23	13	26					
Unidentified insect	20	19	10					
Odonata	15	46	32					
Zooplankton	8	3	1					
Common bully	5	44	15					
Physa snail	5	14	21					
Unidentified fish	4	32	15					
Oligochaetes	4	10	12					
Hemiptera	4	8	4					
Isopod	1	10	3					
Kōura	-	-	12					

Table 4-4. The average percentage occurrence of small, medium and large catfish stomachs containing one or more food items. Food items with combined percentages of <10% were omitted. Catfish were all caught from Lake Rotoiti between April 2016 and October 2018.

Table 4-5. The average volumetric percentage contribution of fish, detritus, kõura other invertebrates, chironomids, and unidentified animal matter to small, medium and large catfish diet. One-way ANOVA P values indicate whether there is a significant difference between size classes. Total combined displaced volumes for each size class and 95% confidence intervals are given.

	Volumet	Volumetric contribution of food items in catfish size classes					
	Small (<100 mm)		Medi (100-200	Medium (100-200 mm)		ge mm)	
Food group	Mean	CI	Mean	CI	Mean	CI	P value
Chironomids	48.1	9.3	22.7	8.8	22.2	7.6	<0.001
Non-koura invertebrates	17.9	6.1	16.4	6.5	18.9	7.2	0.87
Unidentified animal flesh	16.6	8.1	6.4	5.3	18.3	7.9	0.06
Detritus	11.0	5.1	8.7	5.7	25.9	8.7	<0.001
Fish	7.3	5.8	45.7	11.3	9.9	6.1	<0.001
Kōura	0		0		4.9	4.3	0.009
Total volume (mL)	15.	.9	138	.5	167	.9	

4.5.2 Koura stomach contents analysis

The guts of 28 kōura (mean OCL \pm SD 26.1 \pm 3.0 mm, OCL range 21.1–31.7 mm) caught between June and August 2018 were analysed for diet. None of the stomachs analysed were empty and 7% had trace remains (Table 4-6). Animal material was the most abundant food group in kōura guts, followed by detritus, unidentified matter, and inorganic matter. Inorganic matter comprised <1% of kōura diet (Table 4-7). Zooplankton and phytoplankton were also present in kōura guts but were removed during the sieving process that retained items >100 µm.

Fish was the most abundant food item in koura guts, occurring in 100% of stomachs analysed, contributing to >50% of their diets volumetrically. Common bullies were the main fish species in their stomachs based on the characteristic spotting pattern on the bullies' skin (personal observation). Invertebrates were the second most common item in koura guts, occurring in 96% stomachs, but contributed only 11% to overall koura diet. Invertebrates comprised chironomid larvae and pupae, koura exoskeleton, oligochaetes, dipteran larvae, purse caddis larvae, daphnids, and fragments of wings and mouth parts of unidentified insects. The presence of koura exoskeleton in koura guts was confirmed by an unidentified invertebrate sample, which was sent to the Waikato DNA Sequencing Facility. Results indicated that the DNA sequence was 98-100% similar to *Paranephrops planifrons*.

Terrestrial organic matter occurred in 93% of kõura stomachs and consisted of leaves, sticks, and possible raupō fragments. Terrestrial organic matter had the second overall highest contribution to kõura diet (23%). Aquatic macrophytes and unidentified animal flesh was also abundant in kõura stomachs, being found in 71% and 46% of stomachs respectively, but only contributed ~4% volumetrically to overall diet. Filamentous algae, oligochaetes, inorganic matter, and unidentified matter were less common in kõura guts, and contributed the least overall to kõura diet.

Gut fullness	Number of stomachs	Percentage of stomachs (%)
Empty	0	0
Trace remains	2	7
Half full	3	11
Full	16	57
Distended	7	25

Table 4-6. The number and percentage of koura stomachs analysed (n = 28) that are empty, have trace remains, were half full, full or distended.

Table 4-7. Occurrence of the different food items in koura guts, including the number and percentage of individuals containing each food subgroup, and the average percentage contribution of each subgroup to koura diet.

Food groups	Subgroups	Number of individuals	Percentage of individuals (%)	Average volumetric contribution (%)
	Fish	28	100.0	52.4
	Invertebrate	26	92.9	11.1
Animal material	Animal flesh	13	46.4	3.8
	Unidentified animal matter	2	7.1	0.5
	Oligochaetes	1	3.6	1.2
	Terrestrial matter	26	92.9	22.9
Detritus	Aquatic macrophytes	20	71.4	4.1
	Filamentous algae	6	21.4	0.1
Unidentified matter		3	10.7	2.2
Inorganic matter		1	3.6	0.1

4.5.3 Stable isotopes

To test whether isotope results for food items could be combined across sites, values of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C were compared for bullies, smelt, catfish, and kōura. δ^{15} N values were significantly different between sites for all species (Table 4-8; Figure 4-3). δ^{13} C values were also significantly different between sites for catfish and bullies, but not for smelt or kōura. Because of the difference in δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values between sites, the isotope data was not combined across sites for the main analysis of catfish and kōura diet. Instead, Te Weta Bay, which had the most samples, was analysed separately with the addition of a few important species (e.g., chironomids and damselfly larvae), which we were unable locate at the site. Some items were difficult to collect across sites (e.g., rainbow trout and longfin eels), as such, a combined site stable isotope plot was necessary to make inferences about the diet of other fish species.

Table 4-8. Mean A. δ^{15} N and B. δ^{13} C values for catfish, bullies, smelt, and catfish, including sample sizes (*n*) and confidence intervals for the different study sites (*n* = 6). One-way ANOVA *P* values indicate whether there is a significant difference between sites.

	Species									
Site	Catfish		Bullies		Smelt		Kōura			
	n	Mean	n	Mean	n	Mean	n	Mean		
Okawa Bay	12	9.18	5	8.85	2	10.20	2	9.20		
Okere Inlet	16	10.03	5	9.47	5	11.00	5	9.34		
Otaramarae	12	9.63	5	9.04	3	11.90	5	8.02		
Southern Shoreline	14	8.11	5	6.52	5	10.50	5	5.81		
Te Arero Bay	0		5	8.62	4	11.40	5	8.60		
Te Weta Bay	122	8.41	5	7.69	5	10.50	6	8.26		
<i>P</i> value	<0.001		0.041		0.020		0.001			

A. δ^{15} N values (‰)

B. δ^{13} C values (‰)

	Species										
Site	Catfish		Bullies		Smelt		Kōura				
	п	Mean	п	Mean	n	Mean	n	Mean			
Okawa Bay	12	-15.00	5	-16.20	2	-17.60	2	-19.60			
Okere Inlet	16	-17.00	5	-16.80	5	-19.30	5	-15.10			
Otaramarae	12	-17.10	5	-18.80	3	-19.90	5	-18.50			
Southern Shoreline	14	-17.20	5	-20.10	5	-18.80	5	-18.40			
Te Arero Bay	0		5	-18.60	4	-19.10	5	-16.80			
Te Weta Bay	122	-14.40	5	-18.70	5	-17.30	6	-17.70			
P value	<0.001			0.029		0.055		0.156			


Figure 4-3. Mean stable isotope ratio plots of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C with 1 SE bars for A. catfish (all size classes), B. koura, C. bullies and D. smelt at the six study sites in Lake Rotoiti. Note that no catfish we caught in Te Arero Bay.

94

4.5.3.1 Complete food web

A total of 340 samples of fish, invertebrates (including kōura), seston, coarse particulate organic matter (CPOM), terrestrial plants and macrophytes were collected from six sites in Lake Rotoiti for stable isotope analysis between April 2016 and November 2018. Inter-site variability and more than 2-year sample collection time has undoubtedly introduced variation in δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values. However, catfish were the only species that were sampled more than 1 year ago and exhibit little variation between sites with small standard error bars (Figure 4-4), likely due to the large sample size (*n* =122) and little dietary change over time (Collier et al. 2018). Isotopic values for sample types ranged between -1.51 and 13.51‰ for δ^{15} N and -30.59 and -6.48‰ for δ^{13} C. Isotope results by site can be found in Appendix 2.

Terrestrial plants and aquatic macrophytes including *Coprosma* spp., māhoe (*Melicytus ramiflorus*), willow (*Salix fragilis*), raupō (*Typha orientalis*) and elocharis (*Eleocharis palustris*) were the most depleted in δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N, and based on their isotopic distance from consumers, did not likely contribute to invertebrate or fish diet. Charophytes, *Myriophyllum* spp. and lagarosiphon (*Lagarosiphon major*) were also depleted in δ^{15} N but were enriched in δ^{13} C, indicating possibly a different photosynthetic pathway or carbon source. Of the plants examined, charophytes, egeria (*Egeria densa*), hornwort (*Ceratophyllum demersum*) and periphyton appear to be important food items for fish and invertebrate species based on their position close to consumers.

A number of insects, plants and seston including dragonfly larvae, oligochaetes, snails, water boatmen, chironomids, damselflies, zooplankton, phytoplankton, hornwort, egeria and periphyton shared a similar position in the food web ($\delta^{15}N 0.7$ to 6.7‰ and $\delta^{13}C$ -11.4 to -26.5‰). The positioning of these species and the expected trophic increase (i.e., ~2.3‰ increase in $\delta^{15}N$ and a 0-1‰ increase in $\delta^{13}C$, compared to their food source; McCutchan et al. 2003) suggested they were of importance to small fish and kōura diet. The large standard deviation bars for seston, chironomid larvae, snails and bullies suggested isotopic values were variable between sites or samples. Isotopic values of zooplankton, phytoplankton and seston collected during winter and spring are close together.

Bullies, gambusia, kõura and goldfish had a similar trophic position as shown by their similar carbon and nitrogen values. Medium, large, and small sized catfish also shared similar trophic positioning, indicating that catfish diet did not change much with size. Large catfish had the highest δ^{15} N values of the size classes, but shared a similar δ^{13} C signature to small catfish. Medium sized catfish had lower δ^{13} C values. The close positioning of kõura to catfish in the food web indicates there could be some dietary overlap between both species

Isotopic values for large rainbow trout (>400 mm FL) were very different to that of small rainbow trout, suggesting they had different diets. Large rainbow trout were the most enriched in δ^{15} N relative to other taxa, and appeared to be eating smelt, gambusia, kōura and bullies. This could be similar to what longfin eels were consuming, with the exception of smelt. Small rainbow trout (<100 mm FL) shared a similar trophic niche to gambusia and bullies.



Figure 4-4. Stable isotope plot of organisms collected from six sites in Lake Rotoiti; error bars are 1 SE.

4.5.3.2 Catfish and koura diet

A total of 169 samples of catfish and their potential prey items were collected for stable isotope analysis from Te Weta Bay between April 2016 and September 2018. Additional missing values from other sites were used in the analysis for chironomids (n = 3), damselflies (n = 5), and water boatmen (n = 1). To determine what was contributing to the nutrition of small, medium, and large catfish and kōura, the assumed trophic increase of 0.4‰ for δ^{13} C and a 2.3‰ δ^{15} N for aquatic animals was deducted from their carbon and nitrogen values based on McCutchan et al. (2003). The aim of the correction is to correct for trophic enrichment so that consumers should overlap more closely with their food sources (Phillips 2012). For a mixing model to be accurate, the trophically-corrected consumer isotope values should fall within the space defined in the polygon (dashed line), which is formed around the end members (i.e., food sources at the outer most edges) to be considered part of their diet (Phillips 2012).

Catfish appear to have 3 main groups of end members including invertebrates, smelt, and periphyton, which are all of aquatic origin (Figure 4-5). There is a large spread in the end members with values ranging from -21.8 to -13.3‰ for δ^{13} C, and between 1.96 to 10.6‰ for δ^{15} N, which is larger than expected (McCutchan et al. 2003), implying important food groups could be missing. Damselflies appear to be the most important to catfish diet based on their close proximity, and its positioning overlaps with small catfish. Goldfish are also in close proximity to catfish indicating a shared food resource. Several invertebrates appear to contribute to catfish diet, including snails, dragonfly larvae and chironomid larvae. Although hornwort and egeria are in the polygon, they are not below catfish, implying they are largely indistinguishable, making it hard to determine the relative importance of kōura or bullies to catfish diet. Gambusia also fall within the polygon, suggesting they could be consumed by catfish.

Kōura have 3 main end members including bullies, periphyton, and seston which are all of aquatic origin (Figure 4-6). There are no food groups in immediate proximity to kōura, indicating that there are missing food items. Of the items sampled, egeria, bullies and damselflies are likely of the most importance to kōura

diet. Hornwort is the only other plant that appears to be part of koura diet. Invertebrates particularly dragonfly larvae and chironomid larvae appear to be contributing to koura nutrition.



Figure 4-5. Dual isotope plot for catfish diet in Te Weta Bay, with 1SE bars. Presumed trophic enrichment has been subtracted from catfish values.



Figure 4-6. Dual isotope plot for koura diet in Te Weta Bay, with 1SE bars. Presumed trophic enrichment has been subtracted from koura values.

4.5.4 Dietary overlap

Values of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C for bullies, catfish, goldfish and kōura from Te Weta Bay (Table 4-9) were analysed using nicheROVER programme in R to estimate 95% niche regions of each species. Results indicated that catfish and kōura diet overlapped, with kōura occupying a greater proportion of catfish niche space than contrary. Kōura shared between 30-90% of niche space with catfish, whereas, catfish shared between 12-79% of their niche space with kōura, suggesting that catfish ate similar things to kōura, but had a broader diet (Table 4-10; Figure 4-7). Catfish also shared a large proportion of their niche space with goldfish and vice versa, implying they consume similar things. There was little dietary overlap between catfish and bullies.

Table 4-9.	Mean $\delta^{15}N$ and	i δ ¹³ C values fo	r bullies,	catfish,	goldfish,	and kōura	caught	in Te
Weta Bay,	, showing the n	umber of samp	les, with	1SD.				

	δ ¹⁵ Ι	N	$\delta^{13}C$	<i>n</i> samples	
Species	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Weta Bay
Bullies	7.96	1.45	-18.71	1.07	5
Catfish	8.41	0.90	-14.38	1.84	122
Goldfish	7.74	1.02	-14.14	1.02	8
Kōura	8.26	0.63	-17.75	1.89	6

Table 4-10. Probabilistic niche (95%) overlap (the probability of species B overlapping with species A) between bullies, catfish, goldfish and koura, showing 2.5 and 97.5% quantile probabilities.

		Species B						
Species A	Quantile probability	Bullies	Catfish	Goldfish	Kōura			
Bullios	2.5%	-	2	1	23			
Bullies	97.5%	- 38 40 94 6 - 45 30 60 - 95 90	94					
Catfish	2.5%	6	-	45	30			
Catlish	97.5%	60	-	95	90			
C-146-1	2.5%	2	66	-	25			
Goldlish	97.5%	95	100	-	100			
	2.5%	24	12	8	-			
Koura	97.5%	90	79	74	-			



Figure 4-7. Probabilistic niche overlap (probability of species B overlapping with species A) showing 95% niche regions for bullies, catfish, goldfish and koura. Means and 95% confidence intervals are displayed in green.

4.5.5 Gape-length relationship for fresh and frozen catfish

4.5.5.1 Gape size and length regressions for all fish

Data from fresh and frozen catfish were combined to obtain linear regressions of gape height (mm) vs fork length (mm), gape width (mm) vs fork length (mm), and gape height (mm) vs gape width (mm) (Figure 4-8). All of the regressions were significant (ANOVA P < 0.001) and yielded high adjusted r^2 values (>0.9), indicating strong relationships between the variables. The summary statistics and regression equations can be found in Table 4-11.



Figure 4-8. Relationships for catfish gape height (A) and gape width (B) against fork length; and the relationship between catfish gape width and gape height (C).

Table 4-11. Summary statistics for linear regressions (Y = a + bX) for catfish gape height (X) vs fork length (Y), gape width (X) vs fork length (Y) and gape width (X) vs gape height (Y).

Relationship	Intercept (a)	Slope (b)	P value	Adjusted R ²	Degrees of freedom	n
Gape height vs fork length	-3.086	0.149	< 0.001	0.971	126	128
Gape width vs fork length	-1.911	0.108	< 0.001	0.934	126	128
Gape width vs gape height	0.439	0.718	< 0.001	0.948	126	128

4.5.5.2 Comparison of gape height and length regressions for fresh and frozen catfish

The slopes of regression lines for gape height vs fork length for fresh and frozen catfish were the same (ANCOVA P 0.550 for the interaction between fork length and gape height; Figure 4-9; Table 4-12). However, there was a significant difference between the intercepts for the regressions for fresh and frozen catfish gape height and length (ANCOVA P 0.022), meaning that the gape heights (mm) of fresh and frozen catfish would need to be obtained from fork length (mm) using separate equations. The separate regression equations and summary statistics for



gape height (mm) vs fork length for fresh and frozen catfish are given in Table 4-

Figure 4-9. Relationships of gape height (Y) against fork length (X) for fresh and frozen catfish.

Table 4-12. ANCOVA test for equality of regression slopes for gape height (Y) against fork length (X) and condition (fresh or frozen).

Coefficients	Estimate	Standard error	t-value	P value
Fork length (mm)	0.154	0.004	43.003	<0.001
Condition	2.167	0.933	2.322	0.022
Fork length (mm):Condition	-0.003	0.005	-0.599	0.550

Table 4-13. Summary statistics and regression equations (Y = a + bX) for fresh and frozen catfish gape height (mm) (Y) vs fork length (mm) (X).

Catfish condition	Intercept (a)	Slope (b)	<i>P</i> value	Adjusted R ²	Degrees of freedom
Fresh	-4.762	0.154	<0.001	0.974	61
Frozen	-2.595	0.151	< 0.001	0.972	63

4.6 Discussion

The main objective of this study was to understand the threat that catfish pose to koura and native fish species in Lake Rotoiti. This was investigated by dissecting catfish and koura stomachs caught from Lake Rotoiti between 2016 and 2018. Additionally, catfish's potential food items were collected from six sites in the lake for stable isotope analysis, to determine (i) what catfish are eating, (ii) the contribution of koura to catfish diet, and (iii) to determine dietary overlap between both species. The secondary objective was to create a gape-length relationship for catfish, so mouth size can be related back to feeding habits.

4.6.1 Catfish stomach contents analysis

Flexibility in diet is an important adaptation of foraging fishes, allowing colonisation of environments where food availability is inconsistent (Dill 1983). In Lake Rotoiti, catfish diets were diverse comprising a total of 29 different items, with few prey items making up the majority of catfish diet. Chironomid larvae were the most abundant item in catfish diets, being the first or second most commonly consumed food item in catfish guts across all size classes. The high occurrence of chironomid larvae in catfish diet is consistent with other studies (Wise 1990; Kane 1995; Declerck et al. 2002; Collier et al. 2018), where chironomid larvae are the most commonly consumed food item regardless of catfish size (Patchell 1977; Keast 1985a; Declerck et al. 2002). In this study, chironomid larvae made up a greater proportion of small catfish diet than that of medium and large catfish. The importance of chironomids to small catfish diet has been noted in New Zealand and overseas (Barnes 1996; Declerck et al. 2002).

Detritus was common in catfish guts across all size classes, but contributed little volumetrically to catfish diet except for in large catfish. Studies have found detritus to be a frequent item in catfish guts (Patchell 1977; Wise 1990; Kane 1995). Patchell (1977) found that catfish from the Waikato region consumed large amounts of aquatic macrophytes and detritus, making up a large proportion of their gut contents. Similarly, detritus was the second most abundant food item in catfish guts in Hamilton Lake, after chironomid larvae (Kane 1995). Studies have found the consumption of detritus by catfish could be unintentional being consumed when picking out invertebrates and other food items from macrophytes and bottom 104

substrates (Patchell 1977; Collier et al. 2018). Large catfish probably contain more detritus because of their larger gape that would reduce prey item selectively (Patchell 1977).

Fish (mainly common bullies), although not consumed by as many catfish (a combined 64% of catfish stomachs), made up a large proportion of catfish diet, particularly that of medium catfish. The high consumption of bullies is unsurprising given their high numbers in the lake (chapter 3). In shallow Waikato lakes, common bullies were found to be important items in large catfish diet (Collier et al. 2018); and fish were the third most abundant item in catfish guts in Hamilton Lake (Kane 1995).

Koura were infrequent items in catfish stomachs and were only found in large catfish (>240 mm FL) that had been caught in Te Weta Bay. Of the full large catfish stomachs analysed (n = 73), 9 contained koura (12% of large catfish stomachs), which made up 5% of large catfish diet. Sizes of intact koura found in catfish stomachs ranged from approximately 10 to 35 mm OCL. Barnes and Hicks (2003) found that 64% of large catfish stomachs (>250 mm FL) taken from rocky sites in Lake Taupo contained koura, that suggests that the koura consumption rates by catfish in Lake Rotoiti should be higher due to the abundance of the resource. However, in a different site in Lake Taupo which was weedy, only 15% of large catfish stomachs contained koura, indicating that habitat may play an important role in koura consumption (Barnes 1996). The higher consumption of koura in rocky sites is likely because both catfish and koura aggregate around rocky substrates for shelter (Johnsen and Taugbøl 2008), likely making koura the most abundant food source. Rocky substrates are uncommon in the littoral zones of Lake Rotoiti, and are situated more around the entrances of bays, including Te Weta Bay (G. Ewert, BOPRC, pers. comm.).

Invertebrates, including Odonata, unidentified insects and snails were infrequent items in catfish stomachs, occurring more in medium and large catfish stomachs than in small catfish. Volumetrically, invertebrates made up similar proportions of small and medium catfish diet, making up a larger proportion of large catfish diet. Barnes (1996) also found that Odonata consumption increased with increasing catfish size, and snails were consumed in relatively equal proportions by all size classes.

Zooplankton occurred in 7% of small catfish stomachs, 3% in medium and 1% in large catfish stomachs. Other studies have found cladocerans (zooplankton) to be important items in catfish diet, particularly that of small catfish (Keast 1985a; Barnes 1996). In this study, zooplankton were largely removed when the samples were sieved, meaning that its contribution to catfish diet could not be examined using stomach contents.

4.6.2 Koura stomach contents analysis

Detritus, plants, and small invertebrates are key items in koura diet (Devcich 1974; Nyström et al. 1996; Whitmore et al. 2000). In this study, koura stomachs contained between 1 and 6 food subgroups (e.g., fish, invertebrates, terrestrial matter), with an average 4.4 food groups out of a possible 10. Animal material was the most abundant food group in koura stomachs occurring in all 28 koura analysed, and was mostly fish (mostly common bullies). There is a possibility that the importance of fish has been overestimated, because some fish parts (e.g., vertebrae and otoliths) persist in the gut long after muscle, skin, and fins have been digested (Momot 1995). Koura and bullies could come in to contact when bullies move inshore at night to feed in the littoral zone (Forsyth and James 1988), or during summer and spring when bullies lay their eggs in nets in shallow waters (<5 m; Rowe 2002). Koura could also scavenge on dead fish remains.

Invertebrates were found in the majority of koura guts, but only contributed to 11% of their diet. Invertebrate remains included chironomid larvae and pupae, koura exoskeleton, oligochaetes, dipteran larvae, purse caddis larvae, cladocerans, and fragments of wings and mouth parts of unidentified insects. Other studies have also found that similar quantities of invertebrates in koura stomachs, with invertebrates representing ~10% of koura diet in Lake Rotoiti in 1974 and in Powder Stream in Otago (Devcich 1974; Whitmore et al. 2000).

Detritus was the second most important food group in koura guts, contributing a third to koura diet. Detritus largely composed of terrestrial vascular plant material

and aquatic macrophytes, with small quantities of filamentous algae. Other studies have also noted the importance of vascular plant detritus to crayfish diet. Whitmore et al. (2000) found that the majority of kōura stomachs analysed from a forested stream in Otago contained vascular plant detritus. Similarly, a previous study in Lake Rotoiti found that detritus (80%) and vascular plant material (10%) made up a large proportion of kōura diet (Devcich 1974). The consumption of detritus is thought to increase with crayfish size beyond 30 mm OCL (Gutiérrez-Yurrita et al. 1998; Whitmore et al. 2000), which may explain why plant detritus consumption was low in this study, as the kōura analysed were all under 32 mm OCL.

4.6.3 Stable isotopes

4.6.3.1 Complete food web

Most aquatic macrophytes and terrestrial plant species in Lake Rotoiti, with the exception of egeria, coarse particulate organic matter (CPOM), hornwort, and charophytes were either very depleted or enriched in δ^{13} C relative to other taxa. This suggests that they are not important foods to the higher trophic levels given their distance from consumers. Further evidence to support this claim came from the large spread (>20‰) in δ^{13} C values, indicating that aquatic macrophyte contribution to the food web is low (Hecky and Hesslein 1995). δ^{15} N macrophyte values are also further than the 2.3‰ expected increase away from consumers (McCutchan et al 2003).

Aquatic macrophytes are not always important to lake food webs in temperate climates (Hecky and Hesslein 1995; James et al. 2000; McBride 2005). Animals in lakes could instead be supported by attached algae or phytoplanktonic carbon (Hecky and Hesslein 1995; James et al. 2000; Vadeboncoeur and Power 2017). In this study, periphyton scraped from a rock was highly enriched in δ^{13} C relative to invertebrates, implying that the periphyton sample was unrepresentative of the true value, or that the invertebrates did not consume periphyton. Algal communities have variable δ^{13} C values, which is reflected by the broad range of invertebrate δ^{13} C values (Hecky and Hesslein 1995). This suggests that more samples should be taken in order to obtain better estimates of the true value. Another alternative is to use the signature of aquatic snails, as they are effective long-term integrators of the resource (Post 2002).

Several aquatic insect species including dragonfly larvae, oligochaetes, snails, water boatmen, chironomid larvae, and damselflies shared a similar positioning in the food web, and had δ^{13} C values closer to that of the pelagic food web. The majority of these invertebrates likely consume the same algal resource either directly or indirectly by consuming invertebrates as suggested, based on their proximity to grazing snails (Post 2002).

Isotopic values of zooplankton, phytoplankton, and seston were variable with the season. Winter zooplankton and phytoplankton samples were enriched in δ^{15} N relative to spring seston, with δ^{13} C being similar among the three samples. The lower δ^{15} N values for spring seston suggests that it was missing zooplankton, which was confirmed under the microscope with the sample consisting of *Dolichospermum* (30%) and diatoms (70%). Winter zooplankton samples were void of large bodied zooplankton (I. Duggan, University of Waikato, pers. comm.), but contained smaller bodied zooplankton species. The variability in isotopic values is unsurprising given seston composition changes dependent on where and when and how you sample (Zohary et al. 1994). Mesh size used can also affect species composition of the sample (McBride 2005). Mussels are efficient consumers of seston, and could be used as isotopic indicators in the same way that snails have been used as indicators of periphyton isotopes (Post 2002); if this is the case, seston samples were indeed depleted in δ^{15} N relative to mussel flesh.

Close positioning of bullies, gambusia, smelt, koura, catfish and goldfish in the food web suggests they could have similar diets, which likely consists of benthic invertebrates such as chironomid larvae, Odonata and snails. This is consistent with the literature, with small invertebrates, particularly chironomid larvae and other insects (e.g., snails, Odonata, snails, amphipods) being important dietary items of all species (Boubee and Ward 1997; Mansfield and McArdle 1998; Morgan and Beatty 2007; Wilhelm et al. 2007). Zooplankton (copepods and daphnids) was also of importance to the fish and crustacean species examined, either as juveniles or throughout their lives.

Large tout (>400 mm FL) were the most enriched in δ^{15} N compared to other taxa, which is likely due to the consumption of common smelt (McBride 2005; Blair et al. 2012), crayfish (Blair et al. 2012), and other fish species such as bullies (Rowe 108)

1984) and kōaro (Blair et al. 2012). Unfortunately we were unable to obtain kōaro for SIA, but they are a food source for trout (Rowe 2002) and potentially catfish. Small trout (<100 mm FL) possessed different diets to large trout, and were less enriched in δ^{15} N. Blair et al. (2012) found juvenile rainbow trout (<200 mm FL) in Lake Rotoiti consumed amiphods, oligochaetes, smelt, shrimps and terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates.

4.6.3.2 Catfish and koura diet

Catfish

Dual isotope plots of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C corrected for catfish indicated that catfish had diverse diets consisting of fish and invertebrates. The high number of potential food items in the food web was unsurprising given catfish's diverse and opportunistic diet (Barnes and Hicks 2003). Diet also appeared to change little among catfish size classes, getting slightly more enriched in δ^{15} N with increasing catfish size, likely to the increased consumption of fish and koura with size (Scott and Crossman 1973; Barnes 1996; Declerck et al. 2002).

Positioning of damselflies in the food web suggested that they were the main source of catfish nutrition from the sites examined. This was unexpected given few catfish stomachs contained damselflies (Odonata consisted mainly of dragonfly larvae) and the damselfly numbers were low during whakaweku sampling (chapter 3). This is similar to what Collier et al. (2018) found where Odonata or other predatory invertebrates with similar signatures, were important for catfish nutrition based on core niche ellipses, even though they were infrequent items in catfish guts. Collier et al. (2018) hypothesised that catfish were consuming large numbers of invertebrates when their abundances are high (i.e., insect emergence) and retain the assimilated carbon signature and trophic positioning, even with their varied diets. Carbon and nitrogen muscle tissue turnover rates of fish can also be slow. For example, when common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) were switched to a plant-based diet it took 44 days for δ^{13} C in muscle flesh to reach 50% equilibration (t₅₀) and 190 days to reach equilibrium (t₉₅); for δ^{15} N it took 102 days in muscle flesh to reach 50% equilibration (t₅₀) and 441 days to reach equilibrium (t₉₅; Winter et al. 2019). Dragonfly larvae and chironomids appeared to be of similar importance to catfish diet. Based on stomach contents, it was hypothesised that chironomid larvae would be positioned closest to catfish in the isotope plots, but this was not the case. This suggested that although commonly consumed (Patchell 1977; Keast 1985b; Kline and Wood 1996; Declerck et al. 2002; Collier et al. 2018), chironomids are not as important to catfish nutrition.

Egeria and hornwort were inside the polygon, suggesting they are a potential carbon source of catfish. However, both plant species had lower δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values than catfish, even allowing for the assumed trophic shift between a consumer and its food (-0.4‰ for δ^{13} C and 2.3‰ for δ^{15} N; McCutchan et al. 2003). Catfish were on average between 3.48‰ more enriched in δ^{13} C than egeria, and 4.8‰ more enriched in δ^{13} C than hornwort. Furthermore, δ^{15} N values of egeria and hornwort were on average -3.99‰ and -4.42‰ more depleted than catfish. This suggests macrophytes are unimportant to catfish nutrition, even though they occurred in many guts. Nutrition and energy from plant matter could instead be gained through the consumption of invertebrates and other animals (Collier et al. 2018).

Bullies and koura were too isotopically similar to be distinguished, so the contribution of both species to catfish diet could not be examined. Gut content analysis revealed that catfish consumed koura and bullies, but ate considerably more bullies.

Kōura

A food web corrected for kōura identified invertebrates (i.e., dragonfly larvae, oligochaetes, and chironomids), bullies, periphyton and aquatic macrophytes (i.e., hornwort and egeria) as items of moderate to little importance to kōura nutrition, suggesting that kōura gain most of their nutrition from elsewhere. Kōura could be obtaining most of their nutrition from other invertebrate species not collected for SIA, as chironomid larvae were the only invertebrates positively identified in kōura guts obtained for SIA.

4.6.3.3 Dietary overlap

Diets of catfish and kōura overlapped, with kōura's niche space overlapping more with catfish's than vice versa, likely due to catfish's broad diet (Stewart et al. 2017). Dietary items both species had in common in gut contents and stable isotope food webs were chironomid larvae, Odonata larvae and common bullies; implying that dietary overlap would be highest when invertebrates are most abundant (e.g., emergence during warmer periods; Collier et al. 2018). This is because both species utilize invertebrates when available (Whitmore et al. 2000; Collier et al. 2018). Invertebrates are particularly important for kōura, as they require animal protein for growth (Momot 1995) and are an essential component of their diets (Devcich 1974; Nyström et al. 1996; Whitmore et al. 2000). This suggests that catfish have the potential to affect kōura indirectly through competition, as well as directly through consumption in Lake Rotoiti. Catfish diet overlapped the most with goldfish of the species investigated, which is unsurprising as both species occupy the same habitats and eat the same things (i.e., plant material, detritus, small insects and crustacea; Collier and Grainger 2015).

4.6.4 Gape-length relationship in catfish

Mouth size of fishes is considered the most important factor influencing the size and type of prey items consumed, whereby the larger the predator, the larger the size of prey that can be consumed (Wilson 1975; Boubee and Ward 1997). Brown bullhead catfish are opportunistic feeders with broad mouths (Keast 1985a) that consume prey types of varying sizes (Barnes and Hicks 2003). As catfish grow in size they become increasingly piscivorous and include koura in their diets as their gape size and food availability allows (Barnes and Hicks 2003). The ability of large catfish to utilize these larger resources gives them a competitive advantage over their smaller counterparts (Wilson 1975), and helps the fish to achieve their increasing energy demands (Galis et al. 1994). Currently, no gape size-length relationship for brown bullhead catfish exists. Therefore, the present aim is to create a relationship between gape characteristics and fork length so in the future, mouth area can be tested against feeding habitats.

In order to be able to determine the size of prey that catfish can consume, gapelength relationships were established for gape height vs fork length, gape width vs fork length, and gape height vs gape width. All of the relationships were significant (ANOVA P < 0.001) and had high adjusted R² values (>0.9). However, catfish are often frozen prior to analysis for preservation, so we further aimed to determine if fresh and frozen gape-length relationships were the same.

When comparing the relationships for fresh and frozen catfish, the slopes were the same (ANCOVA *P* 0.550) but the intercepts were not (ANCOVA *P* 0.022). This meant that fresh and frozen catfish had different gape height-length relationships of Y = -4.762+0.154x and Y = -2.595+0.151x (where x is fork length) for fresh and frozen catfish respectively. Differences in the intercepts of fresh and frozen catfish are thought to be due to the shrinkage of catfish when placed in the freezer. Catfish fork length was reduced by ~10 mm, with shrinkage decreasing with increasing fish size. Although catfish fork length was reduced when frozen, gape size of the catfish remained the same, giving rise to the two equations. A reduction in size when frozen is not uncommon. Buchheister and Wilson (2005) found that freezing of juvenile walleye pollock, capelin and eulachon significantly reduced fish mass and length. Lengths of the juvenile fish (<2 years old) reduced in length between 1.8 and 4.2 mm dependant on species. However, once frozen, the fish do not continue to shrink over periods of 1.5 to 27 months, suggesting the equation does not need to be corrected for time spent frozen.

4.7 Conclusion

Catfish diet was highly diverse and included food items of plant and animal origin. Chironomid larvae were the first or second most commonly consumed item by catfish size classes, followed by detritus and fish (largely common bullies). Detritus was the most commonly found item in large catfish guts and contributed little to catfish nutrition. Kōura and other invertebrates were less common in catfish guts, with kōura only occurring in 12% of large catfish (>200 mm FL) stomachs. Stable isotope plots corrected for catfish suggested that catfish diet did not change much with size and that damselflies, although not common in catfish guts, contributed the most to catfish's nutrition. This was thought to reflect seasonal availability of invertebrates and slow δ^{13} C muscle tissue turnover rates. Kōura diet comprised largely of animal remains and detritus. Common bully remains were found in all kōura stomachs analysed, but were unimportant to kōura nutrition, likely reflecting the longevity of hard fish remains in kōura stomachs. Invertebrates were also found in the majority of kōura guts. Diets of kōura and catfish overlapped, with kōura's niche area overlapping more with catfish's than vice versa, likely reflecting the broader diet of catfish. Based on stomach contents and SIA, chironomid larvae, Odonata and common bullies are shared resources of catfish and kōura, suggesting that dietary overlap would be highest when invertebrates are most abundant.

Gape-length equations for fresh and frozen catfish were different, which was due to catfish's length shrinking when placed in the freezer, but its gape size staying the same. In future, these equations can be used to estimate catfish gape size, which can be related to the size and number of prey items consumed to make inferences about catfish's feeding habits.

4.8 References

- Barnes G. 1996. The biology and general ecology of the brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) in Lake Taupo [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Barnes GE, Hicks BJ. 2003. Brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) in Lake Taupo. Wellington, N.Z.: Department of Conservation.
- Bay of Plenty Regional Council. 2018. Lakes Water Quality Society annual general meeting notes January 2018 brown bullhead catfish.
- Birchall J, O'Connell TC, Heaton THE, Hedges REM. 2005. Hydrogen isotope ratios in animal body protein reflect trophic level. Journal of Animal Ecology 74:877-881.
- Blair JM, Hicks BJ, Pitkethley R, Ling N. 2012. Diet of rainbow trout in Lake Rotoiti: an energetic perspective. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 46:557-565.
- Boubee JAT, Ward FJ. 1997. Mouth gape, food size, and diet of the common smelt *Retropinna retropinna* (Richardson) in the Waikato River system, North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 31:147-154.
- Bruesewitz DA, Hamilton DP, Schipper LA. 2011. Denitrification potential in lake sediment increases across a gradient of catchment agriculture. Ecosystems 14:341-352.
- Buchheister A, Wilson MT. 2005. Shrinkage correction and length conversion equations for *Theragra chalcogramma*, *Mallotus villosus* and *Thaleichthys pacificus*. Journal of Fish Biology 67:541-548.
- Carabel S, Godínez-Domínguez E, Verísimo P, Fernández L, Freire J. 2006. An assessment of sample processing methods for stable isotope analyses of marine food webs. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 336:254-261.
- Collier K, Francis L, Garrett-Walker J, Melchior M, Özkundacki D, Pingram MA. 2018. Trophic overlap between non-native brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) and native shortfin eel (*Anguilla australis*) in contrasting shallow lakes. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 27:888–897.

- Collier KJ, Grainger N. 2015. Introduction to invasive fish. In: New Zealand Invasive Fish Management Handbook. Hamilton, N.Z.: LERNZ; The University of Waikato and Department of Conservation; p. 3-6.
- Declerck S, Louette G, De Bie T, De Meester L. 2002. Patterns of diet overlap between populations of non-indigenous and native fishes in shallow ponds. Journal of Fish Biology 61:1182-1197.
- DeNiro MJ, Epstein S. 1978. Influence of diet on the distribution of carbon isotopes in animals. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 42:495-506.
- DeNiro MJ, Epstein S. 1981. Influence of diet on the distribution of nitrogen isotopes in animals. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 45:341-351.
- Devcich AA. 1974. Aspects of the biology of the freshwater crayfish *Paranephrops Planifrons* White in Lake Rotoiti [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Dill LM. 1983. Adaptive flexibility in the foraging behavior of fishes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 40:398-408.
- Ehleringer JR, Rundel P. 1988. Stable isotopes: History, units, and instrumentation. In: Rundel P, Ehleringer JR, Nagy KA, editors. Stable Isotopes in Ecological Research. New York: Springer-Verlag; p. 1-15.
- Elsdon TS, Ayvazian S, McMahon KW, Thorrold SR. 2010. Experimental evaluation of stable isotope fractionation in fish muscle and otoliths. Marine Ecology Progress Series 408:195-205.
- Focken U, Becker K. 1998. Metabolic fractionation of stable carbon isotopes: implications of different proximate compositions for studies of the aquatic food webs using δ^{13} C data. Oecologia 115:337-343.
- Forsyth DJ, James MR. 1988. The Lake Okaro ecosystem 2. Production of the chironomid *Polypedilum pavidus* and its role as food for two fish species. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 22:327-335.
- Galis F, Terlouw A, Osse JWM. 1994. The relation between morphology and behaviour during ontogenetic and evolutionary changes. Journal of Fish Biology 45:13-26.
- Gannon JE. 1976. The effects of differential digestion rates of zooplankton by Alewife, *Alosa pseudoharengus*, on determinations of selective feeding. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 105:89-95.

- Gutiérrez-Yurrita PJ, Sancho G, Bravo MÁ, Baltanás Á, Montes C. 1998. Diet of the red swamp crayfish *Procambarus Clarkii* in natural ecosystems of the Doñana National Park temporary fresh-water marsh (Spain). Journal of Crustacean Biology 18:120-127.
- Hecky RE, Hesslein RH. 1995. Contributions of benthic algae to lake food webs as revealed by stable isotope analysis. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 14:631-653.
- James MR, Hawes I, Weatherhead M, Stanger C, Gibbs M. 2000. Carbon flow in the littoral food web of an oligotrophic lake. Hydrobiologia 441:93-106.
- Johnsen S, Taugbøl T. 2008. Add stones, get crayfish is it that simple? Freshwater Crayfish 16:47-50.
- Kane DS. 1995. The status of fish in Hamilton Lake (Lake Rotoroa) [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Keast A. 1985a. Growth responses of the brown bullhead (*Ictalurus nebulosus*) to temperature. Canadian Journal of Zoology 63:1510-1515.
- Keast A. 1985b. Implications of chemosensory feeding in catfishes: an analysis of the diets of *Ictalurus nebulosus* and *I. natalis*. Canadian Journal of Zoology 63:590-602.
- Kline JL, Wood BM. 1996. Food habits and diet selectivity of the brown bullhead. Journal of Freshwater Ecology 11:145-151.
- Krigbaum J. 2008. Stable isotope anyalysis. In: Pearsall DM, editor. Encyclopedia of Archaeology. 1 ed. Oxford,UK: Elsevier Science & Technology; p. 2075-2077.
- Kusabs IA, Hicks BJ, Quinn JM, Hamilton DP. 2015. Sustainable management of freshwater crayfish (koura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Te Arawa (Rotorua) lakes, North Island, New Zealand. Fisheries Research 168:35-46.
- Kusabs IA, Quinn JM. 2009. Use of a traditional Māori harvesting method, the tau kōura, for monitoring kōura (freshwater crayfish, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Lake Rotoiti, North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 43:713-722.
- Mann RHK, Orr DRO. 1969. A preliminary study of the feeding relationships of fish in a hard-water and a soft-water stream in Southern England. Journal of Fish Biology 1:31-44.

- Mansfield S, McArdle BH. 1998. Dietary composition of *Gambusia affinis* (Family Poeciliidae) populations in the northern Waikato region of New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 32:375-383.
- McBride CG. 2005. Stable isotopes in the Rotorua lakes: food web structures and relationships to lake morphology and limnology [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- McCutchan HH, Lewis WM, Kendall C, McGrath CC. 2003. Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur. Oikos 102:378-390.
- Momot WT. 1995. Redefining the role of crayfish in aquatic ecosystems. Reviews in Fisheries Science 3:33-63.
- Morgan D, Beatty S. 2007. Feral Goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) in Western Australia: a case study from the Vasse River. Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia 90:151-156.
- Nyström P, Brönmark C, Granéli W. 1996. Patterns in benthic food webs: a role for omnivorous crayfish? Freshwater Biology 36:631-646.
- Patchell GJ. 1977. Studies on the biology of the catfish: *Ictalurus Nebulosus* Le Sueur in the Waikato Region [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Phillips DL. 2012. Converting isotope values to diet composition: the use of mixing models. Journal of Mammalogy 93:342-352.
- Pilger TJ, Gido KB, Propst DL. 2010. Diet and trophic niche overlap of native and nonnative fishes in the Gila River, USA: implications for native fish conservation. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 19:300-321.
- Pinnegar JK, Polunin NVC. 1999. Differential fractionation of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N among fish tissues: implications for the study of trophic interactions. Functional Ecology 13:225-231.
- Post DM. 2002. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. Ecology 83:703-718.
- Rounick J, Winterbourn M. 1986. Stable carbon isotopes and carbon flow in ecosystems. BioScience 36:171-177.

- Rowe DK. 1984. Factors affecting the foods and feeding patterns of lake-dwelling rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdnerii*) in the North Island of New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 18:129-141.
- Rowe DK. 2002. Fish in New Zealand lakes. Wellington, N.Z.: Ministry for the Environment.
- Scott WB, Crossman EJ. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Bulletin 184. Fisheries Research Board of Canada 1973. International Review of Hydrobiology 1-102.
- Smith D, Hicks BJ, Pingram M, Kelly D, Fraley KM. In preparation. Fin tissue can substitue for muscle tissue in isotopic studies of longfin and shortfin eels in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research.
- Stewart SD, Hamilton DP, Baisden WT, Dedual M, Verburg P, Duggan IC, Hicks BJ, Graham BS. 2017. Variable littoral-pelagic coupling as a food-web response to seasonal changes in pelagic primary production. Freshwater Biology 62:2008-2025.
- Swanson HK, Lysy M, Power M, Stasko AD, Johnson JD, Reist JD. 2015. A new probabilistic method for quantifying n-dimensional ecological niches and niche overlap. Ecology 96:318-324.
- Vadeboncoeur Y, Power ME. 2017. Attached algae: the cryptic base of inverted trophic pyramids in freshwaters. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 48:255-279.
- von Westernhagen N. 2010. Measurements and modelling of eutrophication processes in Lake Rotoiti, New Zealand [PhD thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Whitmore N, Huryn AD, Arbuckle CJ, Jansma F. 2000. Ecology and distribution of the freshwater crayfish *Paranephrops zealandicus* in Otago. Science for Conservation 148:5-42.
- Wilhelm FM, Closs GP, Burns CW. 2007. Seasonal diet and amphipod size selection of juvenile common bully, *Gobiomorphus cotidianus*, in a coastal New Zealand lake. Hydrobiologi 586:303-312.
- Wilson DS. 1975. The adequacy of body size as a niche difference. The American Naturalist 109:769-784.

- Winter ER, Nolan ET, Busst GMA, Britton JR. 2019. Estimating stable isotope turnover rates of epidermal mucus and dorsal muscle for an omnivorous fish using a diet-switch experiment. Hydrobiologia 828:245-258.
- Wise G. 1990. The biology of three exotic freshwater fish species in Hamilton Lake [MSc thesis]. Hamilton, N.Z.: University of Waikato.
- Zohary T, Erez J, Gophen M, Berman-Frank I, Stiller M. 1994. Seasonality of stable carbon isotopes with Lake Kinneret pelagic food web. Limnology and Oceanography 39:1030-1043.

5 Chapter 5

General discussion

The overarching aim of this study was to attempt to quantify the effects of brown bullhead catfish (Ameiurus nebulosus) on koura (Paranephrops planifrons) in Lake Rotoiti (a lake recently invaded by catfish). Long-term monitoring suggests that koura populations are in decline in Lake Rotoiti and catfish are a potential culprit (Kusabs 2016). However, only one study has tried to quantify the effects of catfish on koura in Lake Taupo by doing an inter-lake comparison using tau koura, and the method used likely under sampled areas where catfish and koura are most likely to interact (depths <10 m; Kusabs and Taiaroa 2015). Several studies have indicated that catfish could be having an impact on koura populations in the Waikato region. Large numbers of koura were observed in catfish stomachs from Lake Taupo (Barnes and Hicks 2003), and when populations of catfish were high in the Waikato River, koura numbers were generally lower (Clearwater et al. 2014). There is also an absence of overseas literature on the effects of A. nebulosus on crayfish species. The lack of understanding around the impacts that catfish can have on koura, a taonga (treasured) and ecologically significant species is concerning, given the rapid population growth and expansion of catfish in the Bay of Plenty region. It is also highly likely that catfish will spread to previously uninhabited waterbodies in the Bay of Plenty and Waikato regions (Leathwick et al. 2016). Therefore, it is imperative that the effects of catfish on koura are better studied to inform future catchment management decisions.

To address the knowledge gap on catfish and native species interactions, long-term Bay of Plenty Regional Council (BOPRC) routine monitoring fyke netting data collected between 2016 to 2018 was used in combination with data collected from a short-term habitat survey. Routine fyke netting data was used to explore the relationship between koura and native fish abundance and catfish densities, as well as observing the expansion of catfish in the lake. The short-term habitat study used fine-meshed fyke nets and whakaweku (bracken fern bundles) to examine koura habitat preferences, and koura numbers, sexes, and sizes. In addition to fishing, catfish and koura stomachs were examined to determine diet; and samples of fish, invertebrates, terrestrial plants, aquatic macrophytes, and seston, were also collected for stable isotope analysis, to make inferences about trophic structure, dietary overlap, and carbon and nitrogen flows through food webs.

5.1 Catfish spread and effects

Catfish have spread throughout the western end of Lake Rotoiti since March 2016, and their abundance is rapidly increasing in the lake due to the successful recruitment of juveniles. The number of known sites where catfish are present in Lake Rotoiti has also increased from six sites in 2016 to 11 sites in 2018. The rapid expansion of catfish in the lake is thought to be in-part due to the availability of suitable catfish habitat (i.e., sheltered bays with exotic macrophytes) and warmer temperatures aiding catfish spawning (Hicks and Allan 2018). This suggests that habitat availability is not restricting catfish expansion in Lake Rotoiti, unlike in Lake Taupo where catfish's preferred habitat is minimal (Barnes and Hicks 2003).

Long-term fyke net monitoring data indicated that koura catch rates have declined in Lake Rotoiti since 2016 as catfish have spread, suggesting that catfish are primarily responsible. Koura catch per unit effort (CPUE) has declined in the lake since the initiation of the fyke netting programme, with mean catch rates dropping from 10.6 koura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016 to 4.2 koura net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2018. Over the same period, catfish CPUE has increased, with the highest catch rates in Te Weta Bay, where mean catch rates have increased from 1.1 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2016 to 63.7 catfish net⁻¹ night⁻¹ in 2018. Kusabs (2016) also noted a reduction in koura numbers in the lake between 2005 and 2016 and identified catfish, expansion of exotic aquatic macrophytes, and changes in physiochemical conditions as potential reasons for their decline. When analysing routine fyke netting data from 2016-18 using a Spearman's rank order correlations, catfish were the only species to be negatively associated with koura CPUE (r = -0.180). The inverse relationship between catfish and koura could be due to catfish reducing koura numbers through direct consumption or competitive exclusion from the lake's littoral zones. Catfish also had different habitat preferences to koura, which could have contributed to the inverse relationship between both species. Catfish CPUE was highest on muddy substrates and at sites that hosted dense stands of exotic macrophytes, whereas koura were positively associated with broken rock substrates and were negatively associated with muddy substrates. Koura have also been negatively associated with

aquatic macrophytes through exclusion from the littoral zone (Hessen et al. 2004). Although, our study did not find a significant relationship. Whakaweku were ineffective at catching koura in the shallow littoral zones of Lake Rotoiti, which was attributed to cool autumn water temperatures, low koura numbers in the lake littoral zone, and the availability of alternative koura habitat (e.g., rocks, logs and vegetation).

5.2 Catfish and koura diet and dietary overlap

The stomachs of 238 catfish were analysed for diet from Lake Rotoiti. Catfish contained 29 different food items in their stomachs, with few items making up the majority of catfish diet. Chironomid larvae, detritus, fish (mostly common bullies), and non-kōura invertebrates (unidentified insects, Odonata, and snails) were key items in catfish diet. Kōura were less common. Large catfish (>200 mm FL) were the only size class to consume kōura, with 12% of large catfish containing kōura (i.e., 9 out of 73 full catfish stomachs contained kōura), which made up 5% of large catfish diet. The consumption of kōura by large catfish provides further evidence to suggest that catfish are responsible for the recent reductions in kōura abundance.

Diet changed little among the catfish size classes, with slightly differing consumption rates for certain food groups (e.g., fish and koura), shown by the differing δ^{15} N values of catfish. Stable isotope plots corrected for catfish in Te Weta Bay suggested that damselflies or a food item with a similar signature were important for catfish nutrition. However, few Odonata were found in catfish guts and consisted largely of dragonfly larvae with few damselflies. This suggested that catfish can integrate long-term signatures of food groups, resulting from a time when the insect was most available (e.g., insect emergence; Collier et al. 2018). Chironomid larvae, detritus, and common bullies, although commonly consumed by catfish, contributed little to assimilated catfish carbon and nitrogen. It is possible that a key food item is missing from the isotope data, or that the assumed trophic enrichment is incorrect (Elsdon et al 2010).

The stomachs of 28 koura from Lake Rotoiti were also dissected for diet. Koura stomach contents mostly comprised of common bullies, detritus, and invertebrates. Common bully remains were found in all koura stomachs analysed. Koura could be

catching bullies or consuming dead fish remains. Detritus was the second most commonly consumed food group by koura, which contributed a third volumetrically their diet, and comprised vascular terrestrial organic matter and aquatic macrophytes. Invertebrates were found in the majority of koura guts analysed, but contributed little to koura diet volumetrically. Invertebrates found in koura stomachs included chironomid larvae and pupae, koura exoskeleton, oligochaetes, dipteran larvae, purse caddis larvae, and cladocerans. Stable isotope corrected for trophic enrichment of koura revealed that bullies, chironomid larvae, and aquatic macrophytes, were of roughly equal importance to koura nutrition, as indicated by stomach contents.

Niche regions of catfish and kōura did overlap, with kōura's niche area occupying more of catfish's than the contrary, likely due to the broader diet of catfish. Using 95% probabilistic niche regions, catfish shared between 12-79% of their diets with kōura, and kōura shared between 30-90% of their diets with catfish. Shared dietary items were common bullies, chironomid larvae, and Odonata, suggesting dietary overlap would be higher when invertebrates are most abundant. Invertebrates are important for kōura growth (Parkyn et al. 2001), suggesting catfish can affect kōura indirectly through competition for shared food resources.

5.3 Management implications and future work

This study has furthered our understanding of the threat that catfish pose to koura. The catfish population in Lake Rotoiti is rapidly expanding and they are spreading where habitat is suitable, including in areas outside of the lake. At present, catfish are being removed by the BOPRC, but the catfish problem is no longer able to be mediated by removal. With time, we can expect the number of large catfish in the lake to increase. This is of particular concern for koura, as with more large catfish, we predict higher koura predation rates and competition for shared food resources. This research emphasises the importance of preventing the spread of catfish and other introduced species, which can have devastating and unforeseen consequences on native biota. Education on the spread of introduced species is necessary, and nets and boat trailers should be checked and cleaned if they have been in waters with catfish. An unchecked boat trailer from Lake Taupo is probably how catfish arrived and established in Lake Rotoiti (Bay of Plenty Regional Council 2018a).

In future, it would be worthwhile continuing fyke netting in the lake to monitor fish and kõura catch rates as the catfish population expands. In addition to measuring catfish length during routine fyke netting, it would also be useful to measure kõura size, so the effects of catfish can be further examined. Factors other than catfish may also be contributing to the decline of kõura, and more research is needed to understand the effects of exotic macrophytes and physicochemical changes on kõura distributions and abundances in the lake. Although kõaro catch rates were not negatively associated with catfish in this study, they could be important food items for catfish and we recommend that kõaro are obtained for stable isotope analysis to add to the trophic information. Lastly, we have established a gape-length equation for catfish. It would now be useful to relate prey size in catfish's stomach to gape size to make inferences about the potential feeding habits of catfish.

5.4 References

- Barnes GE, Hicks BJ. 2003. Brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) in Lake Taupo. Wellington, N.Z.: Department of Conservation.
- Bay of Plenty Regional Council. 2018. Lakes Water Quality Society annual general meeting notes January 2018 brown bullhead catfish.
- Clearwater SJ, Kusabs IA, Budd R, Bowman E. 2014. Strategic evaluation of koura populations in the upper Waikato River. Hamilton, N.Z.: NIWA.
- Collier K, Francis L, Garrett-Walker J, Melchior M, Özkundacki D, Pingram MA. 2018. Trophic overlap between non-native brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) and native shortfin eel (*Anguilla australis*) in contrasting shallow lakes. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 27:888–897.
- Elsdon TS, Ayvazian S, McMahon KW, Thorrold SR. 2010. Experimental evaluation of stable isotope fractionation in fish muscle and otoliths. Marine Ecology Progress Series 408:195-205.
- Hessen DO, Skurdal J, Braathen JE. 2004. Plant exclusion of a herbivore; crayfish population decline caused by an invading waterweed. Biological Invasions 6:133-140.
- Hicks BJ, Allan MG. 2018. Estimation of potential contributions of brown bullhead catfish to the nutrient budgets of lakes Rotorua and Rotoiti. Environmental Research Institute, The University of Waikato, Hamilton, N.Z.: E.R.I report 115.
- Kusabs IA. 2016. Ohau Channel diversion wall: Monitoring of koura and kakahi populations in the Okere Arm and Lake Rotoiti. Rotorua, N.Z.: Bay of Plenty Regional Council.
- Kusabs IA, Taiaroa R. 2015. Koura populations in Lake Taupo and comments on the effects of catfish. Rotorua, N.Z.: Ian Kusabs & Associates Ltd and Tūwharetoa Māori Trust Board.
- Leathwick JR, Collier KJ, Hicks BJ, Ling N, Stichbury G, de Winton M. 2016. Predictions of establishment risk highlight biosurveillance priorities for invasive fish in New Zealand lakes. Freshwater Biology 61:1522-1535.
- Parkyn SM, Collier KJ, Hicks BJ. 2001. New Zealand stream crayfish: functional omnivores but trophic predators? Freshwater Biology 46:641-652.

Appendices

	CPUE (number net ⁻¹ night ⁻¹)									
Year	Site	Catfish	Bullies	Kõura	Kōaro	Goldfish	Longfin eel	Smelt	Trout	<i>n</i> nets
	Delta	0	72.7	5.9	0	0.3	0	0	0	7
	Eastern Lake	0	56.7	11.0	0	0.2	0	29.7	0	6
	Mid-lake	0	61.7	32.7	0	0.4	0	27	0	7
	North	0	33.8	23.2	0	13.8	0	6.3	0	10
	Ohau Channel									0
2016	Okere Inlet	0	159.3	14.9	0	15.6	0.03	17.9	0	30
2010	Otaramarae	0	98.5	9.6	0	7.6	0.05	5.9	0	21
	Outside Te Weta Bay	0	91.4	10.6	0	16.1	0	5.2	0	14
	South	0	106.0	12.8	0	4.0	0	0	0	5
	Southern Shoreline	0	71.6	11.4	0	5.5	0	4.9	0	21
	Te Arero Bay	0	34.9	13.4	0	2.4	0	0	0	7
	Te Weta Bay	0.94	145.4	4.8	0	6.5	0	1.1	0.01	71
	Delta	0	144.3	4.8	0	9.0	0	12.7	0.08	26
	Eastern Lake	0	216.7	15.0	0	2.3	0	30	0	3
	Mid-lake	0	68.3	11.8	0.09	3.1	0.09	12.9	0	23
	North	0.05	57.6	14	0.48	9.7	0	13.4	0.04	82
	Ohau Channel									0
	Okawa Bay	0.05	285	1.8	0.02	18.1	0.02	69.9	0.41	128
2017	Okere Inlet	0.08	140.3	9.5	0.03	23.8	0.02	21.3	0.05	277
	Otaramarae	0.01	52.1	5.6	1.12	10.1	0	5.8	0	68
	Outside Te Weta Bay	0.05	52.7	7.1	0.74	9.0	0	17.4	0.06	158
	South	0	111.4	9.2	0.58	9.2	0	18.8	0	33
	Southern Shoreline	0.05	89.2	6.8	0.04	14.4	0	58.5	0.01	195
	Te Arero Bay	0	57.2	19.4	4.00	14.8	0	10.8	0	20
	Te Weta Bay	5.08	215.3	2.4	0.03	19.8	0	9.9	0.01	737
	Delta	0.67	103.9	3.1	0.04	2.2	0	23.9	0.01	202
	Eastern Lake	0	108.2	4.4	1.37	1.6	0	10.7	0	19
	Mid-lake	0.16	65.5	11.4	0.84	1.5	0.02	10.7	0.08	49
	North	3.37	91.5	8	0.81	5.3	0.01	9.4	0.01	155
	Ohau Channel	1.96	43.9	0.8	0.02	2.3	0.03	51.5	0.02	713
	Okawa Bay	3.05	286.4	1.5	0.43	23.5	0.02	94.6	0.01	145
2018	Okere Inlet	25.84	193.9	9.3	0.27	22	0.05	75.8	0.02	185
	Otaramarae	0.21	105.6	5.0	2.56	16.3	0.02	6.6	0.02	63
	Outside Te Weta Bay	12.15	51.5	5.6	0.28	12.6	0.04	8.2	0	81
	South	0.18	110.9	6.5	0.18	4.9	0	7.3	0	11
	Southern Shoreline	3.21	162.8	7.4	0.46	13.7	0.01	13.2	0	151
	Te Arero Bay	0	72.3	13.2	0.59	17.4	0.03	9.4	0	32
	Te Weta Bay	65.27	106.6	0.9	0.2	19.9	0	3.6	0.01	407

Appendix 1. Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of koura and fish in choked and unchoked fine-meshed meshed fyke nets, and the number of fine-meshed nets set for 2016-18 years.

Appendix 2. Mean δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values and ranges for items collected for stable isotope analysis from A. Okawa Bay, B. Okere Inlet, C. Otaramarae, D. Southern Shoreline, E. Te Arero Bay, and F. Te Weta Bay in Lake Rotoiti.

		δ ¹⁵ N (‰)			δ ¹³ C (‰)
	<i>n</i> samples	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.	Mean (SD) Min. Max.
Coarse particulate organic matter	1	2.49			-27.95
Common bullies	5	8.85 (1.10)	7.52	9.93	-16.19 (0.89) -17.4 -15.45
Catfish size classes combined	12	9.18 (0.66)	7.58	10.10	-14.98 (1.24) -16.55 -12.78
Large Catfish	5	9.19 (0.53)	8.79	10.10	-14.76 (1.24) -16.15 -12.78
Medium Catfish	2	9.30 (0.10)	9.23	9.37	-15.06 (0.96) -15.74 -14.38
Small Catfish	5	9.12 (0.95)	7.58	9.89	-15.19 (1.53) -16.55 -12.79
Chironomid larvae	1	4.39			-19.54
Kōura	2	9.20 (0.34)	8.96	9.44	-19.62 (0.59) -20.03 -19.20
Oligochaetes	1	5.57			-13.81
Phytoplankton (winter)	1	4.76			-23.97
Rainbow trout <100 mm	1	7.92			-20.22
Smelt	2	10.23 (0.34)	9.99	10.47	-17.63 (0.01) -17.63 -17.62
Snail: Physa	1	2.70			-11.60
Zooplankton (winter)	1	5.18			-21.53

A. Okawa Bay

B. Okere Inlet

	-	δ ¹⁵ N (‰)			δ ¹³	δ ¹³ C (‰)			
	<i>n</i> samples	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.		
Coarse particulate organic matter	1	3.43			-22.05				
Common bullies	5	9.47 (0.93)	8.37	10.41	-16.85 (2.25)	-19.19	-14.13		
Catfish size classes combined	16	10.03 (0.73)	8.55	11.14	-17.03 (1.44)	-19.06	-14.40		
Large Catfish	6	10.16 (0.95)	8.89	11.14	-16.06 (1.38)	-17.92	-14.40		
Medium Catfish	5	10.22 (0.45)	9.54	10.79	-18.06 (1.00)	-19.06	-16.90		
Small Catfish	5	9.69 (0.68)	8.55	10.31	-17.17 (1.29)	-18.58	-15.47		
Chironomid larvae	1	4.84			-21.05				
Kōura	5	9.34 (0.34)	8.88	9.82	-15.08 (1.17)	-16.51	-13.70		
Longfin eel	3	11.62 (0.90)	10.58	12.14	-19.44 (1.87)	-21.31	-17.57		
Phytoplankton (winter)	1	5.27			-22.56				
Rainbow trout size classes combined	3	11.80 (2.57)	8.84	13.51	-19.63 (1.13)	-20.65	-18.42		
Rainbow trout <100 mm	1	8.84	8.84	8.84	-20.65				
Rainbow trout >400 mm	2	13.28 (0.33)	13.05	13.51	-19.12 (0.99)	-19.82	-18.42		
Smelt	5	11.00 (0.43)	10.37	11.58	-19.34 (0.61)	-19.95	-18.34		
Snail: Physa	1	6.68			-19.36				
Zooplankton (winter)	1	5.68			-21.06				

		δ ¹⁵	N (‰)		δ ¹³	C (‰)	
	<i>n</i> samples	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.
Coarse particulate organic matter	1	1.74			-28.86		
Common bullies	5	9.04 (1.22)	8.06	10.89	-18.81 (3.02)	-22.58	-15.59
Catfish size classes combined	12	9.63 (0.89)	8.49	11.43	-17.13 (2.43)	-21.97	-13.91
Large Catfish	2	10.09 (1.01)	9.37	10.80	-15.92 (2.25)	-17.51	-14.33
Medium Catfish	5	9.98 (1.04)	8.49	11.43	-18.39 (2.63)	-21.97	-14.82
Small Catfish	5	9.09 (0.47)	8.65	9.81	-16.35 (2.14)	-19.50	-13.91
Damselflies	5	5.47 (0.24)	5.17	5.77	-14.58 (0.62)	-15.56	-13.86
Dragonfly larvae	3	4.03 (0.24)	3.83	4.30	-13.46 (1.98)	-15.31	-11.38
Gambusia	1	8.59			-20.46		
Kōura	5	8.02 (0.50)	7.38	8.59	-18.48 (3.72)	-24.06	-15.79
Mussel	1	6.98			-18.76		
Phytoplankton (winter)	1	4.97			-23.00		
Seston (spring)	1	5.14			-21.61		
Smelt	3	11.90 (0.50)	11.32	12.24	-19.93 (0.82)	-20.83	-19.22
Snail: Physa	1	3.54			-24.46		
Zooplankton (winter)	1	5.54			-23.90		

C. Otaramarae

D. Southern Shoreline

		δ ¹⁵ 1	N (‰)		δ ¹³	δ ¹³ C (‰)		
	<i>n</i> samples	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.	
Coarse particulate organic matter	1	-1.51			-26.43			
Common bullies	5	6.52(1.14)	5.08	7.75	-20.15 (0.95)	-21.41	-19.20	
Catfish size classes combined	14	8.11 (0.87)	6.84	10.12	-17.20 (1.36)	-19.38	-14.39	
Large Catfish	5	8.06 (0.32)	7.82	8.62	-17.06 (1.48)	-18.96	-15.11	
Medium Catfish	4	8.31 (0.95)	7.30	9.48	-18.01 (0.95)	-19.38	-17.20	
Small Catfish	5	8.00 (1.28)	6.84	10.12	-16.68 (1.45)	-18.37	-14.39	
Chironomid larvae	1	1.10			-22.01			
Dragonfly larvae	5	1.59 (0.39)	1.26	2.23	-22.31 (0.71)	-23.44	-21.46	
Gambusia	1	8.63			-20.90			
Kōura	5	5.81 (1.90)	3.85	8.63	-18.38 (2.40)	-20.62	-14.71	
Longfin eel	1	11.19			-16.03			
Phytoplankton (winter)	1	3.71			-24.95			
Rainbow trout <100 mm	1	9.86			-21.16			
Smelt	5	10.47 (0.28)	10.16	10.89	-18.79 (1.27)	-20.21	-16.74	
Snail: Physa	1	0.66			-22.97			
Water Boatmen	1	3.10			-17.07			
Zooplankton (winter)	1	4.18			-24.02			

E. Te Arero Bay

		δ ¹⁵ N (‰)			δ ¹³ C (‰)			
	<i>n</i> samples	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.	
Coarse particulate organic matter	1	1.85			-30.10			
Common bullies	5	8.62 (2.24)	5.18	10.88	-18.63 (1.92)	-20.65	-15.69	
Kōura	5	8.60 (1.55)	6.01	10.11	-16.75 (2.26)	-20.13	-15.01	
Phytoplankton (winter)	1	6.19			-25.92			
Smelt	4	11.41 (0.52)	10.75	11.96	-19.11 (0.38)	-19.36	-18.54	
Snail: Physa	1	5.66			-20.61			
Zooplankton (winter)	1	5.81			-26.47			

F. Te Weta Bay

		δ ¹⁵ N (‰)			δ ¹³ C (‰)
	<i>n</i> samples	Mean (SD)	Min.	Max.	Mean (SD) Min. Max.
Coarse particulate organic matter	1	2.29			-29.10
Egeria densa	1	4.93			-18.37
Common bullies	5	7.96 (1.45)	5.53	9.39	-18.71 (1.07) -19.70 -16.92
Catfish size classes combined	122	8.41 (0.90)	6.23	10.45	-14.38 (1.84) -21.71 -10.30
Large Catfish	54	8.81 (0.81)	7.18	10.37	-14.21 (1.32) -17.24 -11.73
Medium Catfish	28	8.28 (0.85)	7.21	10.45	-15.35 (1.60) -18.47 -12.12
Small Catfish	40	7.97 (0.82)	6.23	10.44	-13.93 (2.33) -21.71 -10.30
Charophytes	1	-0.17			-16.50
Coprosma spp.	1	0.05			-29.35
Crack willow	1	2.23			-30.37
Dragonfly larvae	5	3.51 (0.52)	2.81	4.22	-18.13 (2.86) -23.20 -16.54
Gambusia	1	7.73			-16.59
Goldfish	8	7.74 (1.02)	5.90	8.83	-14.14 (2.57) -18.17 -11.28
Hornwort	1	3.61			-18.80
Kōura	6	8.26 (0.63)	7.45	9.15	-17.75 (1.89) -19.60 -15.48
Lagarosiphon major	1	1.76			-7.18
Mahoe	1	0.80			-30.59
Oligochaetes	1	1.96			-21.78
Myriophyllum spp.	1	2.84			-6.48
Peripyton	1	4.55			-13.26
Phytoplankton (winter)	1	5.94			-22.22
Raupo	1	3.01			-29.37
Seston (spring)	1	2.33			-21.97
Smelt	5	10.55 (1.00)	9.10	11.50	-17.25 (2.07) -18.80 -13.79
Snail: Physa	1	2.67			-19.11
Eleocharis	1	4.57			-28.08
Zooplankton (winter)	1	6.02			-21.07