ŌHAU RIVER DIVERSION WALL

LAKE ROTOITI - KÕURA AND KĀKAHI MONITORING



REPORT NUMBER 12 PREPARED FOR BAY OF PLENTY REGIONAL COUNCIL

lan Kusabs & Associates Ltd Rotorua, New Zealand November 2018

Table of Contents

E	XECUT	IVE SUMMARY	1
1	INTF	RODUCTION	2
2	MET	HODS	2
	2.1	TAU KŌURA LOCATION AND LAY OUT	2
	2.1.1	KÕURA MEASUREMENTS	4
	2.2	Какани	4
	2.3	DATA ANALYSES	4
3	RES	ULTS	5
	3.1	Kōura	5
	3.1.1	KÕURA ABUNDANCE	5
	3.1.2	KÕURA BIOMASS	7
	3.1.3	<i>KŌURA SIZE</i> 1	.0
	3.1.4	FEMALE TO MALE RATIO	.3
	3.1.5	EGG-BEARING TIMES AND MOULTING	-
	3.2	КАКАНІ 1	
	3.2.1	SAMPLING CONDITIONS	
	3.2.2	Kākahi Abundance	.4
4	DISC	CUSSION	.6
	4.1	Kōura1	6
	4.2	КАКАНІ 1	7
5		ICLUSIONS1	
6	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	9
7	REF	ERENCES1	9

Cover photo: A white-coloured koura captured in the Okere Arm, Lake Rotoiti, March 2018. Most probably due to a recessive trait resulting from a mutation in the gene responsible for pigment formation. Ian Kusabs

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Koura and kakahi monitoring sites, Lake Rotoiti, 2005-18. Numbers in red boxes (1 = Okere, 2 =
Te Ākau, 3 = Manupirua) show the approximate locations of the koura monitoring sites and numbers in
black circles indicate kākahi sites (refer Table 1 for kākahi site names)
Figure 2 Schematic diagram of a tau koura. The depth and length of tau are indicative and can be varied
depending on lake bathymetry
Figure 3 Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of koura (\pm SD; n = 10) captured in tau koura set in Okere
Arm, Te Ākau and Manupirua, Lake Rotoiti, 8 December 2005 to 8 August 2018
Figure 4 Relationship between mean CPUE of koura Okere, Te Akau and Manupirua and time. The
arrows indicate when the diversion wall was completed at month 30 (July 2008)
Figure 5 Mean Biomass Per Unit Effort (BPUE) of koura (\pm SD; n = 10) captured in tau koura set in
Ōkere Arm, Te Ākau and Manupirua, Lake Rotoiti, 8 December 2005 to 8 August 2018. Arrow indicates
when the diversion wall was completed (July 2008)7
Figure 6 Relationship between estimated mean koura biomass and time (sampling period beginning
December 2005). The arrows indicate when the diversion wall was completed at month 30 (July 2008) 9
Figure 7 Length-frequency distributions of koura captured from Te Akau, Lake Rotoiti, in February 2007
and August 2017, December 2017 and May 2018 (combined) on two tau koura comprised of 10
whakaweku. OCL = Orbital Carapace length 11
Figure 8 Koura collected from Manupirua, August 2018
Figure 9 Relationship between mean OCL (mm) of koura and time (sampling period beginning December
2005). The arrows indicate when the diversion wall was completed at month 30 (July 2008) 12
Figure 10 Mean annual densities of kākahi ($m^{-2} \pm SD$) at five sampling sites, Lake Rotoiti from 2005 to
2018 (47 surveys). The light bars represent those counts recorded prior to completion of the Ōhau channel
diversion wall, dark bars, those counts after completion, and the orange bars represent this year's count (15
October 2017 to 14 August 2018) 14
Figure 11 Kākahi density at five sites (0.5 m x 40 m transects) situated in Lake Rotoiti, over the sampling
period June 2005 to August 2018. The arrows indicate when the diversion wall was completed at month 30
(July 2008)

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Sampling site, number, location, grid reference and direction of	transect for six kākahi
monitoring sites located in Ökere Arm and Lake Rotoiti	
Table 2Mean CPUE (\pm SD) of koura collected from tau koura set at \bar{O} koura	ere, Te Ākau and Manupirua
from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 2018 and mean CPUE for the 2017 to	2018 and 2005 to 2018
sampling periods	
Table 3 Estimated mean biomass $(g; \pm SD)$ per whakaweku of koura col	lected from tau koura (n =10) set
at Ökere, Te Äkau and Manupirua from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 20	18 and mean BPUE for the 2017
to 2018 and 2005 to 2018 sampling periods.	
Table 4Mean OCL $(mm \pm SD)$ of koura collected from tau koura set at 0	Ōkere, Te Ākau and Manupirua
from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 2018 and mean of means OCL from 2	2005 to 2018 11
Table 5 Number of koura analysed and percentage of female koura collect	ed in samples from tau koura set
at Ökere, Te Äkau and Manupirua from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 20	18. Overall percentage of female
koura for 2017 to 2018 and mean of means for 2005 to 2018	
Table 6 Percentage (%) and actual number (n) of breeding sized females w soft shelled koura collected in samples from tau koura set at Okere, Te Aka	
December 2017 to 8 August 2018 (overall mean) and 2005 to 2018 (mean of Table 7 Mean (\pm SD) densities of kākahi (m ⁻²) at five sampling sites (20	
October 2017 to 14 August 2018 and from 2005 to 2018. * No survey resul	ts available14

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Kōura and kākahi are considered taonga species by Te Arawa iwi and are an important ecological component of Lake Rotoiti. The main purpose of this study is to monitor long term trends in the kōura and kākahi populations in Lake Rotoiti. Seasonal monitoring surveys of kākahi commenced in 2005 and kōura at Ōkere in 2005, Te Ākau in 2007 and Manupirua in 2009. The Ohau diversion wall was completed, and became fully operational in July 2008.

The Lake Rotoiti kõura population was sampled using the tau kõura a traditional Māori method of harvesting kõura in Te Arawa and Taupõ lakes. Tau kõura were located at Õkere, Te Ākau and Manupirua in Lake Rotoiti with each tau kõura composed of 10 whakaweku (bracken fern bundles). The kākahi monitoring methodology developed by NIWA specifically for community and iwi groups was used to determine kākahi densities at five sites around Lake Rotoiti (i.e., transects 40 m long x 0.5 wide).

The Ōkere Arm and Lake Rotoiti continue to support abundant kōura and kākahi populations a decade after the completion of the diversion wall. In December 2017, the third highest mean CPUE (77.2 kōura whakaweku⁻¹) was recorded at Ōkere the since surveys began in 2005. It is probable that kōura abundance at this site has always been highly variable because the population is composed mainly of small-sized juvenile kōura (the life stage most likely to experience large scale fluctuations).

In contrast, there has been a significant decline in kōura abundance and biomass at Te Ākau since 2007. Length frequency analysis shows that this decline is due to a marked reduction in the numbers of kōura <29 mm OCL. The decline in Te Ākau kōura abundance and biomass could be due to (a) increased catfish predation particularly of juvenile kōura (b) reduced lake productivity and consequently a decrease in available food supply for kōura (c) periodic deoxygenation of the bottom waters in summer. It is possible that the flow from the Ōhau River may have influenced water and sediment conditions at the Te Ākau site more than originally thought, with the cessation of flow leading to periodic deoxygenation events and the accumulation of fine sediment on the lake bed. It is recommended that dissolved oxygen concentrations in Lake Rotoiti (all sites) are monitored in the future and that sediment samples are collected at Te Ākau and compared with sediment samples analysed in 2009.

Kākahi remain abundant in the Ōkere Arm and Lake Rotoiti where high densities are present. Kākahi abundance has remained relatively stable at all of the monitoring sites in Lake Rotoiti except at the ditch site (a treatment site) since surveys began in 2005.

1 INTRODUCTION

Kōura (*Paranephrops planifrons*) and kākahi (*Echyridella menziesi*) support important customary fisheries in Lake Rotoiti where they are harvested for human consumption by local Māori. As part of the efforts to improve water quality in Lake Rotoiti, the Bay of Plenty Regional Council has built a wall that diverts nutrient rich water from Lake Rotorua down the Kaituna River, preventing it from entering Lake Rotoiti. The wall has separated Lake Rotoiti into two ecologically separate waterways, an eastern basin (no Lake Rotorua influence) and a very small western basin (Lake Rotorua influence). Wall construction was completed, and became fully operational, in July 2008.

Baseline monitoring of koura and kakahi populations in the Okere Arm and Lake Rotoiti from December 2005 to September 2007 and showed that koura and kakahi were abundant in both the Okere Arm and Lake Rotoiti (Kusabs and Emery 2006; Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Following the completion of the diversion wall in July 2008 monitoring surveys of koura and kakahi have been carried out on a seasonal basis in Lake Rotoiti. The principal aim of this study is to monitor long term trends in the koura and kakahi populations in Lake Rotoiti.

2 METHODS

2.1 Tau koura location and lay out

The Lake Rotoiti kōura population was sampled using the tau kōura, a traditional Māori method of harvesting kōura in the Te Arawa and Taupō lakes (Kusabs and Quinn 2009). Three tau kōura were set in Lake Rotoiti, located in the Ōkere Arm (Ōkere) at NZMG E 2803800 N 6348162, off Te Ākau Point (Te Ākau) at E 2803747 N 6346463, and near Manupirua hot pools (Manupirua) at E 2806499 N 6345889, (Fig. 1). Kōura surveys for this annual monitoring period were carried out on 14 December 2017, 18 February 2018 (Te Ākau & Manupirua), 15 March 2018 (at Ōkere), 10 May 2018 and 8 August 2018.

The methods used in this study are described in previous reports (see Kusabs *et al.* 2010). Each tau koura was comprised of 10 whakaweku (dried bracken fern; *Pteridium esculentum*, bundles), with c. 10-14 dried fronds per bundle, which were attached to a bottom line (a 200 m length of sinking anchor rope) and set in the Okere Arm, Te Akau and Manupirua in depths ranging from 4 to 7 m, 7 m to 17 m and 11 m to 27 m, respectively (Fig. 2).

The tau koura were left for at least one month to allow koura to colonise the fern and retrieved every three months. Tau koura were returned to the water once koura had been analysed. Owing to decomposition, whakaweku (particularly those in the Okere Arm) were replaced after six months.



Figure 1 Kõura and kākahi monitoring sites, Lake Rotoiti, 2005-18. Numbers in red boxes ($1 = \overline{O}$ kere, $2 = Te \overline{A}$ kau, 3 = Manupirua) show the approximate locations of the kõura monitoring sites and numbers in black circles indicate kākahi sites (refer Table 1 for kākahi site names).



Figure 2 Schematic diagram of a tau koura. The depth and length of tau are indicative and can be varied depending on lake bathymetry.

2.1.1 Koura measurements

Orbit-carapace length (OCL, mm) of each kōura was measured using vernier callipers $(\pm 0.5 \text{ mm})$ and the sex of kōura (OCL > 11 mm) assessed. A power regression equation (previously determined by B. Hicks and P. Riordan, University of Waikato) was used to determine kōura wet weight (Kusabs et al. 2015a). After processing, all kōura were returned to the water in close proximity to the tau kōura. Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) was defined as the number of kōura per whakaweku and Biomass Per Unit Effort (BPUE) as estimated wet weight (g) of kōura per whakaweku (Kusabs et al. 2015b).

2.2 Kākahi

Kākahi transects were located at five sampling sites in Lake Rotoiti (Fig. 1, Table 1)¹. At each site 40 m transects, 0.5 m wide, and perpendicular to the shore, were inspected out into the lake from standard points to a depth where the water was regularly wadeable. All kākahi in an area of 0.5 m wide running parallel to and up-current from a weighted survey line were counted using an underwater viewer. Counts were summed for each 1 m interval. Where possible, surveys were carried out when weather conditions and water clarity allowed good visual observations to be made. Kākahi surveys for this annual monitoring period were carried out on an approximate three-monthly basis from 15 October 2017 to 14 August 2018.

2.3 Data analyses

Time series analyses were performed using Time Trends (version 6, 2016) for koura and kakahi data. Where necessary, data were \log_{10} or Sqrt transformed to approximate the normal distribution.

Sampling site	Location	Grid reference (NZ Geodatum)		
1. Boat Ramp	Ōkere Arm	E 2802931 N 6346315		
2. Rest area	Ōkere Arm	E 2803075 N6346554		
3. Ditch	Ōkere Arm	E 2803237 N 6346621		
4. Ōkawa Bay	Lake Rotoiti	E 2802903 N 6345642		
5. Ruato Bay	Lake Rotoiti	E 2811245 N 6343779		

 Table 1
 Sampling site, number, location, grid reference and direction of transect for six kākahi monitoring sites located in Ōkere Arm and Lake Rotoiti.

¹ Note: Kākahi counts at Tumoana Bay were discontinued in 2011 due to the very low numbers present.

3 **RESULTS**

3.1 Kōura

3.1.1 Kōura abundance

A total of 2457 kõura were collected at Õkere (n = 1433), Te Äkau (n = 208) and Manupirua (n = 816) in 2017/18, an increase of 139% on 2016/17 (Table 2). This was mainly due to an increase in the number of kõura captured at Õkere, although counts were also higher at Te Äkau and Manupirua. As in previous years, kõura abundance varied markedly amongst the seasons, with the highest mean CPUEs once again recorded in Spring (December 2017) (Table 2). Only eight kõura were captured at Te Äkau in February 2018, the lowest number ever recorded (Table 2). The mean CPUEs recorded at Õkere and Manupirua for 2017-18 were above the long-term means (2005-2018); however, the mean CPUE at Te Äkau for 2017-2018 remains far below the long-term average (Table 2).

Table 2Mean CPUE (± SD) of koura collected from tau koura set at Okere, Te Akau and
Manupirua from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 2018 and mean CPUE for the 2017 to 2018
and 2005 to 2018 sampling periods.

	Mean CPUE						
Date	Ōkere	SD	Te Ākau	SD	Manupirua	SD	
14 December 2017	72.2	62.8	13.7	9.5	38.4	10.6	
² February/March 2018	10.6	7.7	0.9	1.2	9.9	4.2	
10 May 2018	26.7	16.5	3.9	2.3	22.7	12.6	
8 August 2018	33.8	13.3	3.2	2.5	38.4	10.6	
2017 - 2018	35.8	39.4	6.7	7.3	27.1	15.4	
2005 - 2018	31.9	29.8	18.0	23.7	21.0	17.3	



Figure 3 Mean catch per unit effort (CPUE) of kōura (± SD; n = 10) captured in tau kōura set in Ōkere Arm, Te Ākau and Manupirua, Lake Rotoiti, 8 December 2005 to 8 August 2018.

 $^{^2}$ Sampling was carried out on 18 February 2018 at Te Ākau and Manupirua and 15 March 2018 at Ōkere; this was to allow more time for kōura to colonise the whakaweku which were replaced at the Ōkere site in January 2018.

Over the entire sampling period (2005 to 2018) there have been declines in mean CPUE at \bar{O} kere (P < 0.05) and Te Åkau (P < 0.01) but not at Manupirua (P = 0.35) (Fig. 4). An analysis of post 2008 data (after wall completion) also shows significant declines in kōura CPUE at \bar{O} kere (P = 0.03) and Te Åkau (P = 0.004)³.



Figure 4 Relationship between mean CPUE of koura Okere, Te Akau and Manupirua and time. The arrows indicate when the diversion wall was completed at month 30 (July 2008).

³ Manupirua is not included as there was no pre-wall monitoring at this site.

3.1.2 Koura biomass

Mean biomass estimates (BPUE) for the 2017/18 surveys ranged from; 567 g per whakaweku at Manupirua to 24.3 g per whakaweku at Te Ākau (Table 3). The highest BPUEs at Ōkere and Te Ākau were recorded in December 2017 and in August 2018 at Manupirua (Table 3, Fig. 5).

Table 3Estimated mean biomass (g; ± SD) per whakaweku of kõura collected from tau kõura (n=10) set at Õkere, Te Äkau and Manupirua from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 2018 andmean BPUE for the 2017 to 2018 and 2005 to 2018 sampling periods.

Estimated mean biomass (g)										
Date	Ōkere	SD	Te Ākau	SD	Manupirua	SD				
14 December 2017	506.8	431.7	539.8	397.3	401.2	212.6				
February/March 2018	65.1	53.9	24.3	36.6	143.8	77.7				
10 May 2018	73.1	39.8	207.8	123.7	428.3	252.5				
8 August 2018	124.9	47.0	122.7	91.7	566.6	148.8				
2016 - 2017	192.5	280.8	268.7	293.7	397.7	234.3				
2005 - 2017	147.7	170.8	428.8	412.7	332.2	283.1				



Figure 5 Mean Biomass Per Unit Effort (BPUE) of kõura (\pm SD; n = 10) captured in tau kõura set in Õkere Arm, Te Äkau and Manupirua, Lake Rotoiti, 8 December 2005 to 8 August 2018. Arrow indicates when the diversion wall was completed (July 2008).

Monitoring data from 2005 to 2018 suggests that there have been declines in BPUE at Ōkere (P = 0.001), Te Ākau (P = 0.029) but not at Manupirua (P > 0.05) (P = 0.08) (Fig. 6). However, an analysis of post 2008 data showed no significant changes in mean BPUE at Ōkere (P = 0.10) or Te Ākau (P = 0.14)⁴.



⁴ Manupirua is not included as no pre-wall monitoring was conducted there.

Figure 6 Relationship between estimated mean koura biomass and time (sampling period beginning December 2005). The arrows indicate when the diversion wall was completed at month 30 (July 2008).

3.1.3 Kōura size

As in previous years, the largest koura were found at Te Akau, followed by Manupirua, and the smallest at Okere (Table 4). Koura ranged in size from 10 to 40 mm at Okere, 11 to 54 mm at Te Akau and 11 to 45 mm at Manupirua.

There have been no significant changes in kōura size at Ōkere (P = 0.15) or Manupirua (P = 0.64) since surveys began in 2005 and 2009, respectively (Fig. 9). However, at Te Ākau kōura mean size has increased significantly (P < 0.01) since 2007 (Fig. 8). Length frequency analysis of Te Ākau kōura size data for February 2007 and 2017 (August 2017, December 2017 and May 2018) shows that the increase in kōura OCL is mainly due to the reduction kōura <29 mm OCL, with a moderate increase in the numbers of kōura >35 mm OCL (Fig. 7).



- Figure 7 Length-frequency distributions of koura captured from Te Akau, Lake Rotoiti, in February 2007 and August 2017, December 2017 and May 2018 (combined) on two tau koura comprised of 10 whakaweku. OCL = Orbital Carapace length.
- Table 4Mean OCL (mm ± SD) of koura collected from tau koura set at Okere, Te Akau and
Manupirua from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 2018 and mean of means OCL from 2005
to 2018.

	OCL Range (mm)						
Date	Date Ōkere SD Te Ākau SD Manupirua SD						Ōkere Te Ākau Manupiru
14 December 2017	20.3	4.6	34.1	8.3	23.0	6.6	14 - 40 11 - 54 11 - 40
February/March 2018	18.1	5.5			24.5	6.1	11 - 31 15- 40
10 May 2018	14.4	4.3	39.4	6.3	27.4	6.3	10 - 37 28 - 52 11 - 45
8 August 2018	16.5	3.0	34.5	8.7	25.7	6.6	12 - 28 15 - 46 13- 45
2017 - 2018	17.5	5.0	35.0	8.2	24.9	6.7	10 - 40 11 - 54 11 - 45
2005 - 2018	16.4	2.6	31.7	4.5	26.3	2.1	



Figure 8 Koura collected from Manupirua, August 2018.



Figure 9 Relationship between mean OCL (mm) of koura and time (sampling period beginning December 2005). The arrows indicate when the diversion wall was completed at month 30 (July 2008).

3.1.4 Female to male ratio

The mean percentage of females in subsamples from Ōkere Arm, Te Ākau and Manupirua in the 2017 - 2018 surveys were 57%, 51 and 45%, respectively. Female kōura comprised approximately 50% of all kōura analysed over the 2005 to 2018 study period (Table 5).

Table 5 Number of koura analysed and percentage of female koura collected in samples from tau koura set at Okere, Te Akau and Manupirua from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 2018. Overall percentage of female koura for 2017 to 2018 and mean of means for 2005 to 2018.

	Num	ber of kōura a	analysed	% female			
Date	Ōkere	Ōkere Te Ākau Manupi		Ōkere	Te Ākau	Manupirua	
14 December 2017	171	137	219	56.7	46.3	46.6	
February/March 2018	106	8	79	50.0		49.4	
10 May 2018	135	37	143	57.1	67.6	45.1	
8 August 2018	111	30	146	59.1	46.7	40.4	
2017 - 2018	523	212	587	57.1	51.2	45.0	
2005 - 2018	6937	4372	5246	53.5 ± 5.4	47.6 ± 9.9	47.7 ± 5.3	

3.1.5 Egg-bearing times and moulting

Females with eggs or young were present throughout the year, particularly in May, August and December with few in February/March (Table 6). The mean percentage of koura with soft shells from Okere Arm, Te Akau and Manupirua in the 2017/18 season were, 3.9%, 19% and 7.4%, respectively (Table 6). The percentage of koura with soft shells in 2017/18 was similar to that recorded over the 2005 - 2018 monitoring period (Table 6). There was, however, an unusually high percentage of soft shelled koura recorded at Te Akau in May 2018 (27%) which may be due to the low sample size (n = 39) (Table 2).

Table 6 Percentage (%) and actual number (*n*) of breeding sized females with eggs and percentage (%) of soft shelled koura collected in samples from tau koura set at Okere, Te Akau and Manupirua from 14 December 2017 to 8 August 2018 (overall mean) and 2005 to 2018 (mean of means \pm SD).

	% Breedin	g size female (n)	es with eggs		% soft shells	
Date	Ōkere	Te Ākau	Manupirua	Ōkere	Te Ākau	Manupirua
14 December 2017	0.0 (0)	36.2 (21)	0.0 (0)	4.7	13.9	4.0
February/March 2018	0.0 (0)		3.6 (1)	6.6		6.3
10 May 2018	25.00 (2)	44.0 (11)	35.7 (20)	2.2	27.0	4.2
8 August 2018	40.0 (5)	69.2 (9)	56.3 (27)	3.6	13.3	5.5
2017 - 2018				3.9	19.0	7.4
2005 - 2018				5.0 ± 5.6	10.6 ± 8.3	11.3 ± 6.9

3.2 Kākahi

3.2.1 Sampling conditions

Water clarity is an important consideration when counting kākahi and there has been a noticeable improvement in water clarity in Lake Rotoiti and the Ökere Arm since monitoring began in 2005. However, this has been offset somewhat by the prolific growth of benthic algae over the past four years, which has compromised kākahi counts at all sites particularly in Okawa Bay and at the Boat Ramp site.

3.2.2 Kākahi abundance

The highest densities of kākahi in this year's survey were once again recorded at Okawa Bay (control) and at the Ditch (treatment) sites (Table 7, Fig. 10). Kākahi abundance has remained relatively stable over the sampling period (2005 to 2018, Fig. 11) except at the ditch site (treatment) where there has been a significant decline (P < 0.01) (Fig. 11).

Table 7Mean (\pm SD) densities of kākahi (m⁻²) at five sampling sites (20 m²), Lake Rotoiti from 15October 2017 to 14 August 2018 and from 2005 to 2018. * No survey results available.

Date	Boat	t ramp R	est Area	Ditch	Ōkawa Bay	Ruatō Bay	
15 October 20	18 4	.40	5.60	13.45	8.25	0.75	
25 January 20	18	*	7.55	10.05	11.15	1.50	
21 May 2018		.45	1.60	7.00	13.95	1.05	
14 August 201	8 1	.80	5.75	7.25	8.70	0.90	
2017 - 2018			13 ± 2.51	9.43 ± 3.01	10.51 ± 2.62		
2005 - 2018	2.67	± 1.39 5.5	51 ± 2.99	12.66 ± 10.47	13.53 ± 5.60	1.84 ± 1.04	
ר ⁵⁰							2005
45 -			T				2 006
ε ₄₀			_				2 007
							2 009
cahi							2 010
- 30 -					Т		2011
ነው 25 - እ			╟╏	Т	. T		2012
is 20 -				. J	, Thr L		2013
Mean density of kākahi per m ² 32 - 35 - 30 - 15 - 10 - 10 -		т		J⊤ T_ H			2014
⁶⁹ 10 -		ILT	т_	肛肛州			2015
5 -	ᆧᅖᆝᆊ	स्राधिकसः	₫┧╢║║			- T I I-	2016
o ال ت						┍┰┽╢┽╠┱┰╣╏╸	2017
	Boat ramp	Rest area	a [Ditch	Okawa Bay	Ruato Bay	2018
				-			





Figure 11 Kākahi density at five sites (0.5 m x 40 m transects) situated in Lake Rotoiti, over the sampling period June 2005 to August 2018. The arrows indicate when the diversion wall was completed at month 30 (July 2008).

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Kōura

A decade after the installation of the \bar{O} hau Channel diversion wall koura are still abundant in the \bar{O} kere Arm and Lake Rotoiti. In December 2017, the third highest mean CPUE (77.2 koura whakaweku⁻¹) was recorded at \bar{O} kere the since surveys began in 2005.

Monitoring data shows that there is considerable variability in mean CPUE and BPUE at Ōkere since surveys began in 2005, both before and after installation of the wall in July 2008. This may be due to the large numbers of juvenile koura at this site; the life stage most susceptible to large fluctuations.

At Manupirua, the koura population has remained relatively stable with no change in abundance or biomass since 2009 (when this site was added to the monitoring programme). In contrast, at Te Ākau (control) there has been a significant koura abundance and biomass since July 2008. There was also considerable variation in koura abundance at Te Akau in 2017/18 with a mean CPUE of 13.7 koura whakaweku⁻¹ recorded in December 2017 and 0.9 koura whakaweku⁻¹ in February 2018; the lowest number ever recorded at this site. Upon retrieval it was noted that the whakaweku (which were deployed at depths ranging from 7 m to 17 m) had been affected by hypoxia. Future increases in summer water temperatures may further exacerbate seasonal variations in koura abundance at Te Akau and in other shallow, lentic areas in Lake Rotoiti⁵. This site was originally chosen as a control site as it was thought to be unaffected by the diversion wall. It is possible, however, that the flow from the Ōhau River may have influenced water and sediment conditions at Te Ākau (and the western basin) more than originally thought, with the cessation of flow leading to periodic deoxygenation events and the accumulation of fine sediment on the lake bed. Hypolimnetic deoxygenation (DO <5 mg l^{-1}) is known to force koura to move into shallower, oxygenated epilimnetic waters, resulting in effective loss of habitat area. Given, its influence on koura distribution and abundance, consideration should be given to monitoring dissolved oxygen concentrations in Lake Rotoiti (all sites) in future surveys. Furthermore, it is suggested that sediment samples are collected at Te Ākau and compared with sediment samples analysed in 2009 by Kusabs et al. (2015b).

Length frequency analysis of koura data shows that the decline in the Te Akau koura population is due to a marked reduction in the numbers of koura <29 mm OCL. The reasons for this decline are unknown but it could be due to increased predation of koura by brown bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus nebulosus*), reduced lake productivity or increased aquatic macrophyte growth. Interestingly, there has been a similar decline in koura abundance

⁵ Note: Although the Ōkawa monitoring site is shallower than Te Ākau, there is continual movement of water towards the Kaituna River.

(~80%) in Lake Rotorua since 2009 (Kusabs 2018). Live, brown bullhead catfish were officially recorded in Lake Rotoiti in March 2016 (however, they could have been present as early as 2009) and are now well established in the lake and the Ōhau River. Catfish have been reported to commonly consume kōura (particularly juvenile kōura) in Lake Taupō and are considered a more effective predator of kōura than trout (Barnes and Hicks 2003). It maybe coincidence, but the Te Ākau monitoring site is in close proximity to Te Weta Bay, which has the highest abundance of catfish in Lake Rotoiti, with >7000 catfish captured in one night in 2018 (pers. comm. S Grayling, BOPRC).

The change in kōura population since 2005 in Lake Rotoiti and 2009 in Lake Rotorua has coincided with steadily improving water quality in both lakes. In Lake Rotoiti the trophic level index (TLI) has decreased from 4.4 in 2004 to 3.8 in 2017 and in Lake Rotorua from 5.03 in 2004 to 4.06 in 2017 and (BOPRC data). This has resulted in a decrease in algae production and an increase in water clarity. The reduced primary production may have resulted in a decrease in food supply and therefore reduced abundance of kōura in both lakes. Conversely, increased water clarity may have led to an increase in the growth and extent of introduced macrophytes (e.g., hornwort). Weed proliferation and accumulation of decaying organic matter can markedly degrade the habitat quality of the surrounding lake bed. periodic deoxygenation of the bottom waters at this site in summer and autumn.

4.2 Kākahi

Kākahi abundance examined over the sampling period has remained relatively stable at all of the monitoring sites in Lake Rotoiti except at the ditch site (a treatment site). Sediment type is an important determinant of mussel density in lakes (James 1985). Since the diversion wall has been in place there has been a noticeable accumulation of silt in the Ōkere Arm monitoring sites particularly at the Ditch site where the mean silt depth has increased 10-fold (I. Kusabs, unpublished data). Interestingly, over the past three years or so this silt has been colonised by extensive growths of low growing turf species e.g. *Glossostigma elatinoides*. This has resulted in the consolidation of the lake bed, creating habitat more suitable to kākahi. It is possible that the establishment and proliferation of these turf plants is due to the shelter provided by the diversion wall, which has markedly reduced easterly wave action.

5 CONCLUSIONS

- The Ōkere Arm and Lake Rotoiti continue to support abundant koura and kakahi populations a decade after the completion of the diversion wall.
- Monitoring data shows that there is considerable variability in mean CPUE and BPUE at Ōkere since surveys began in 2005, both before and after installation of the wall in July 2008. This may be due to the large numbers of juvenile koura at this site.

- At Manupirua, the koura population has remained relatively stable with no significant change in abundance or biomass since 2009
- There has been a significant decline in koura abundance and biomass at Te Akau since 2007. Length frequency analysis shows that this decline is due to a marked reduction in the numbers of koura <29 mm OCL.
- The Ōhau diversion wall may have caused periodic deoxygenation events and the accumulation of fine sediment at the Te Ākau site. It is recommended that dissolved oxygen concentrations in Lake Rotoiti (all sites) are monitored in the future and that sediment samples are collected at Te Ākau and compared with sediment samples analysed in 2009 by Kusabs et al. (2015b).
- Kākahi remain abundant in the Ōkere Arm and Ōkawa Bay where high densities are present. Kākahi abundance has remained relatively stable at all of the monitoring sites in Lake Rotoiti except at the ditch site (a treatment site) since surveys began in 2005.

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Te Whaeoranga Smallman, Laura Francis, and Joe Butterworth for assistance with fieldwork. Thanks also to Andy Bruere for project liaison.

7 REFERENCES

- Barnes, G. E., and B. J. Hicks. 2003. Brown bullhead catfish (Ameiurus nebulosus) in Lake Taupo. Page 27–35. *in* D. of C. 2003, editor. Managing invasive freshwater fish in New Zealand. Proceedings of a workshop hosted by Department of Conservation, Hamilton, New Zealand.
- James, M. R. 1985. Distribution, biomass and production of the freshwater mussel, Hyridella menziesi (Gray), in Lake Taupo, New Zealand. Freshwater Biology 15:307–314.
- Kusabs, I. A., and W. Emery. 2006. Ohau Channel Diversion Wall An assessment of the koura and kakahi populations in the Okere Arm and Lake Rotoiti. Report prepared for Bay of Plenty Regional Council. Ian Kusabs and Associates Ltd, Rotorua, New Zealand.
- Kusabs, I. A., B. J. Hicks, J. M. Quinn, and D. P. Hamilton. 2015a. Sustainable management of freshwater crayfish (koura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Te Arawa (Rotorua) lakes, North Island, New Zealand. Fisheries Research 168.
- Kusabs, I. A., and J. M. Quinn. 2009. Use of a traditional Māori harvesting method, the tau koura, for monitoring koura (freshwater crayfish, *Paranephrops planifrons*) in Lake Rotoiti, North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 43(3).
- Kusabs, I. A., J. M. Quinn, and D. P. Hamilton. 2015b. Effects of benthic substrate, nutrient enrichment and predatory fish on freshwater crayfish (koura, *Paranephrops planifrons*) population characteristics in seven Te Arawa (Rotorua) lakes, North Island, New Zealand. Marine and Freshwater Research 66(7).
- Kusabs, I. A. 2018. Lake Rotorua kōura and kākahi monitoring programme. Report prepared for Bay of Plenty Regional Council. Ian Kusabs and Associates Ltd, Rotorua, New Zealand. 17 pp.