# \$3.3 Million – Decision Making Framework

23 July 2015 Stakeholder Advisory Group



**Proud Partners** 









## To weight or not to weight?

#### Possible options:

- No weighting. Written assessment against each criteria recorded in full.
- Weight all criteria, e.g. on a scale of 1 to 5.
- Weight criteria subset, e.g. priority criteria.
- 4. Others?













### To note

- Anyone and everyone may apply, no restrictions.
- Check all applications against eligibility criteria.
- No restrictions on maximum amount of applications (assessment panel guidance 3 x \$1 million rounds?).
- Panel may seek additional advice from technical experts (administration cost).
- Funding can be granted with conditions.
- Ability to compare options, rather than consider ad-hoc.













## Suggested assessment panel

- Two Land TAG representatives to be nominated by Land TAG.
- Incentives Scheme representative Te Taru White.
- Regional Councillor to be nominated.
- Catchment Landowner representative to be nominated by StAG?
- Non-scoring secretary.













## StAG guidance sought

- Thoughts on funding minimum of \$10,000?
- Thoughts on assessment panel (casting vote)?
- Thoughts on weighting criteria?
- Thoughts on providing weightings to applicants prior?
- Thoughts on 3 x \$1 million rounds?











