

TAG Supported options / hybrids also
+ recommended eg split Rotoma / Rotaiti

From the following perspectives
Lakes wa, }
power }
economic }
technical }
left for committee to evaluate
(didn't include)
{ cultural
} social
} statutory

① transfer para
potential exchange to water
wahi tapu
Rule 11

TAGs order of preference is:

Rotaiti	Rotoma
1 (3.2)	1 (3.2)
2 (3.0)	8 (2.9)
8 (2.9)	
3 (2.7)	2 & 3 (2.8)

but not significantly different
but within the bounds of error.

② Land availability
Cheaper than 3
transfer para
Rule 11
Wahi tapu

③ Land availability
more expensive than 2, especially for Rotoma
less wahi tapu constraints
no rule 11 issues
Provides for a difference of opinion bet Rotoma / Rotaiti

④ biotech with land treatment (incl. C. beds)
Land availability (2-2 clusters: 2 Rotoma, 5 Rotaiti)
Requires costing
Technology evaluation for everything, but specifically Carbon beds
RIK's acceptance (own biotech? but have accepted grinder pump)
Subsidies confirmation - will MOH subsidy rely on who owns biotech?
Land capacity of P-retention & crop N uptake
Provides for a difference of opinion between Rotoma / Rotaiti
Some RIK concern around a less engineered approach & reliance on natural processes
More flexibility design around cultural / wahi tapu issues